1

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

3

MR. GALLI: If you have any cell

_

phones, please put them on vibrate. Pagers or

The first application tonight is 34

5

anything.

6

North Plank Road, Section 80; Block 7; Lot 25,

for an amended site plan, represented by Mario

9

Salpeppi from Coppola Associates.

10

11 property is located at the northwest corner at

12

the intersection of Winding Lane and North Plank

MR. SALPEPPI: Good evening.

13

Road. It is currently located in a B Zone. The

14

strip along North Plank Road in this area at some

In 1990 the owner of this property

15

point was all residences, and at some point they

16

all became merchantile or office uses.

17

applied for several variances in order to convert

19

the residence into an office building. They

received several variances to do so but then

never proceeded with the Planning Board or a

building permit for the work which was performed.

20

21

22

23

24

property for eight years. They were not involved

Our current -- the current owner has owned the

25

in the original application.

What we are here for tonight, obviously, is to begin any dialogue that the Planning Board may have on the property and be referred over to the Zoning Board to begin the process all over again.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll turn to our Planning Consultant, Bryant Cocks, to review the necessary variances associated with 34 North Plank Road.

MR. COCKS: Yes. The variances are going to be needed for lot area, 14,182 are being provided while 40,000 square feet are required; lot width, 96 feet are existing, 150 are required; lot depth, 148.75 feet existing and 150 feet are required; the rear yard setback, 33.5 existing, 64.5 are required; one side yard, 19 feet existing, 30 feet required; and both side yards, 53 feet existing, 83 required.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any comments from Board Members. Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: No additional.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?

MR. MENNERICH: No additional.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?

1

MR. WARD: No additional.

3

5

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this particular point I think what you're looking for, and I'll have Mike Donnelly further that in a letter, you're looking for a referral to the ZBA for lot area, lot width, lot depth, rear yard, side yard, side yard, and both side yard variances.

MR. DONNELLY: If that's the Board's inclination, I'll send a letter to the Zoning Board. You will have to follow up by applying for those variances. I'll explain to them the requirement of Section 185-19 B(2), that a noncomplying building that changes the use, even though it's all pre-existing, needs to obtain a variance.

MR. SALPEPPI: Okay.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Does that answer your questions this evening?

MR. SALPEPPI: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion from the Board to refer 34 North Plank Road to the ZBA for an area variance, a width variance, a depth variance, a rear yard variance, a side yard variance and both side yard

1	34 NORTH PLANK ROAD 6
2	variances.
3	MR. COCKS: One front yard, too. I'm
4	sorry.
5	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
6	MR. WARD: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
8	Ken Mennerich. I have a second by John Ward.
9	Any discussion of the motion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
12	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
13	MR. GALLI: Aye.
14	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
15	MR. WARD: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So
17	carried.
18	Thank you.
19	MR. SALPEPPI: Thank you.
20	
21	(Time noted: 7:05 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

CERTIFICATION

the State of New York, do hereby certify

that I recorded stenographically the

proceedings herein at the time and place

noted in the heading hereof, and that the

foregoing is an accurate and complete

transcript of same to the best of my

knowledge and belief.

MR. GALLI: The next one is the Meigel lot line change, 50 and 52 Colden Hill Road, Section 86; Block 1; lots 23.2 and 23.11, conceptual two-lot subdivision, being represented by Steven Drabick.

MR. KROGAN: Mr. Drabick couldn't be here tonight. My name is Richard Krogan, I'm the attorney representing the applicant. I'm sorry, I don't have a survey with me. It's adjoining lots -- adjoining neighbors that Meigel are giving my client, Jacobowitz, approximately 1,800 square feet, basically to make the property better accessible for my clients.

I can put it up on the board.

You have the bigger lot, the smaller lot of Jacobowitz with the property squaring it off.

There's pre-existing nonconforming variances that were granted. I see from the notes that we're going to have to be referred to the Zoning Board, but the variances -- with the addition of the property, we're not decreasing the variances, we're increasing them. We're looking for a referral to the Zoning Board.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Would someone here this evening like to discuss what the referral would be to the Zoning Board?

MR. COCKS: Yes. Absolutely. The area variances will be for lot area where 27,909 square feet are proposed and 40,000 are required; lot width, 120 feet are proposed, 150 feet are required; one side yard, 16.5 feet are proposed, 30 feet required; both side yards, 43.1 are proposed, 80 are required. For the Meigel lot, the front yard, 48.7 are proposed and 50 feet are required.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly, would you further that as far as --

I've given the MR. DONNELLY: Yes. Board a letter. This is a recurring situation we have under the code. Our code has two somewhat seemingly inconsistent provisions, one that says that the protection of a noncomplying building is lost whenever the degree of nonconformity is increased, meaning if the side yard, as an example, doesn't meet setback and you're making that setback less conforming, you would lose the protection. There's another section, 185-19

2	B(2), that says the protection is lost unless the
3	degree of nonconformity is decreased. In 2004 we
4	sent that issue of interpretation to the Zoning
5	Board and they instructed us that the decrease
6	provision governs. Therefore, since only one of
7	these items of noncompliance is being decreased,
8	the other is staying the same, I suppose it's
9	somewhat an open question whether they all have
10	to decrease. In any event, it needs to go to the
11	Zoning Board for that interpretation, that this
12	is permissible or to grant a variance for each
13	item of noncompliance on the two lots that are
14	required to be protected.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you agree with
16	that?
17	MR. KROGAN: Yes. Although it seems
18	kind of simple, that it's just moving the lot
19	line from one side here and just moving it over a
20	little bit, we have to go back before the ZBA.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board
22	Members. Frank Galli?
23	MR. GALLI: I have no additional.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?
25	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.

MR. MENNERICH: So moved.

23

24

25

MR. GALLI: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the

noted in the heading hereof, and that the foregoing is an accurate and complete

CERTIFICATION

proceedings herein at the time and place

transcript of same to the best of my

knowledge and belief.

DATED: May 3, 2011

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

MR. GALLI: Next we have Lot 20 Hickory Shadow, Merritt Lane, Hickory Shadow
Subdivision; Section 7; Block 3; Lot 20.0, being
represented by TM DePuy Engineering. This is a
continuation of a public hearing, conceptual twofamily site plan and ARB.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Before we turn the meeting over to Tom DePuy to present the continuation of the public hearing, I'll have our Attorney, Mike Donnelly -- for those of you here this evening for this continuation of the public hearing, Mike Donnelly will inform you as to the meaning and purpose of a public hearing, and the order in which we represent the Town and the taxpayers, and how we would have sort of an acknowledgement by raising of our hand, giving our name and address.

MR. DONNELLY: Public hearings are not always required before the Planning Board can take action on matters. In the case of subdivisions they are always required. In special permits they're always required. They're optional for site plans. This is a site plan application. Knowing that this parcel was part

of a subdivision that was relatively recently approved, the Planning Board elected to hold a site plan public hearing. The site plan hearing was actually begun, I believe it was in February of this year, but because we were missing an item, and I forget what it was at this point, the hearing was continued until this evening's date.

The purpose of a public hearing is to allow the public to bring information to the attention of the Planning Board that will help them in their decision, therefore the Planning Board is interested, not so much in whether this is popular or if you're in favor of it, but in particular issues that bear on whether or not this is appropriate or what conditions might need to be added to make it -- to mitigate any impacts it might have.

After Mr. DePuy gives his presentation of what is proposed, the Chairman will ask those that wish to address the Board to raise your hand. You will be identified. We'll ask you, if you could, so you can be heard, to come forward, give your name and address to the Stenographer so we get an idea of where you live in relation to

the project. Please spell your name so we get it right in the transcript. If you have questions, the Chairman will direct those questions, if they can be easily answered, to either Mr. DePuy, the project engineer, or one of the Town's consultants.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.

Tom, if you'd give your presentation please.

MR. DePUY: Basically Selpat, the owner of the project, they're proposing -- there's an existing building and partial -- or foundation on the site. This was originally the original barn for the whole farm, for this whole area, and we're looking to rehab it. The cooler part is there now but we're going to reconstruct this portion of the building back to a barn look.

We are proposing a two-bedroom unit
here. There were some issues with respect to -we finalized the floor plan. We originally had
presented this as a two-bedroom, but we're
proposing a four-bedroom unit in here. With
that, we're required to go back. We went back to
the Orange County Health Department and we

upgraded the septic system to accept six

bedrooms. So we had to put in a larger septic

tank, a dosing tank, and put in some additional

laterals here in the septic area.

here which will also be utilized.

Basically the building will gain its access off of Merritt Lane here. This is Jessica Court here. It will come in here. We're providing four parking spaces, here and here, two here and two here. Two for this and then you walk around here. We're leaving this as is here. There is an existing garage underneath here which

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point, if you would raise your hand and give your name and your address. Ma'am, please.

will be utilized, and there is an existing garage

MS. FABER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.

My name is D. Joy Faber, I live at 33 Merritt

Lane and I'm directly adjacent to the property

proposed. D., J-O-Y, F, as in Frank, A-B-E-R.

I have photographs I would like to present to you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Members of the Board, Mr. Chairman and Consultants, thank you for your time, once again.

requested.

And thank you to Mr. DePuy, and on behalf of Mr. Staples, for providing the documents that I

If this approval process must move

forward, I am urging the Board, and so are my

fellow neighbors, to please take into

consideration the following facts:

Please protect and preserve the character of this existing neighborhood to be maintained as single-family homes.

I've briefly come to know Mr. Staples when he started a construction project directly behind my home. As the photos will show, that you have in your packet sir, this project did not go very well at all. I'm well aware that Mr. Staples is very well known in the Town of Newburgh and he adds significantly to the tax rolls with his construction projects. I applaud his spirit of entrepreneurship. Our brief encounters have been cordial, and I hope they

For the Members of the Board, please examine the methods of management that Mr.

Staples has been doing. I was ignored when the

remain that way.

home he built on an open lot directly behind my home, as the photos will show, was built without any consideration for privacy, headlight glare or any buffers between the properties. Mr. Staples left excavated construction debris from the home he built and scattered the debris along my property line, as the photos show. They're all in your packets, sir.

He might call it a berm to satisfy the Town rules, but weeded vegetation has grown there, small animals now thrive there. And worst, the stormwater runoff from the debris has caused extensive flooding in the rear yard of my home as a result of this.

I brought this matter to Mr. Staples' attention and he verbally agreed that he would correct the drainage problem, but to no avail.

And why? Because he could.

Corn was planted for the first time just last year since I bought my property, and that was five years ago. Once again, Mr. Staples was able to go around a Town ordinance to keep agricultural vehicles on this property. Why?

Because he could.

Additionally Mr. Chairman, my neighbors have since informed me of a myriad of uncompleted projects in this development that have been neglected by Mr. Staples, the realignment of the roads, the proper paving of the roads, improper drainage issues on various lots that have not been addressed. One lot for sale was fenced in after a sink hole was discovered. The placement of utility lines have not been done. The list goes on and on and on, all unaccounted for.

And just last month, Mr. Chairman, and because the Board was wise enough to inquire, Mr. Staples had you believing that his proposed complex would house five bedrooms until he magically turned a bedroom into a den to satisfy the rules.

Members of the Board, my neighbors and I love and chose to live in the Town of Newburgh for the quality of life it affords and for, among other things, the calming, sweeping views. But now, to add further insult to injury, our views are going to be blocked, obstructed and ruined.

In addition to being burdened with the growing list of other items of quality of life

issues, we are being ignored. Needless to say, an apartment complex in a development of single-family homes would not only dramatically affect the character of the neighborhood but will do nothing to preserve property value, but instead will bring our property values down. And, by the very nature of rentals, it will attract transients to our small and close-knit community of families and children.

Please reconsider your approval of construction of this multi-dwelling. If the management of a single-family dwelling has been so grossly insufficient and has brought such consternation, what can we expect with a multi-family dwelling?

Last summer, as a concerned neighbor, I had to inform a local realtor to remind Mr.

Staples to cut the grass in front of the development. Such a minor task. What can we hope for with the maintenance of an apartment complex?

As Section 185-21 of the local law on buffer and setbacks states, what about the maintenance of dumpsters, noise generated by

parking areas including slamming doors, engine start-ups, car stereos, occasional car alarms and horns, conversation and other inconveniences?

Even the section states that these activities become excessive and offensive to nearby residents.

If you must proceed, Members of the Board, I implore you, please, at least strongly reconsider removing the three ornamental cupolas from the structure. This will further obstruct the views of the area. And by the way, are they in violation of any height variances?

Thank you for the landscaping scheme.

I would also implore you to reevaluate the current plan and consider adding more noise buffering vegetation along the perimeter boundaries of my home and the property of Mr. Staples. It is clear to see that our property directly abuts each other. Additional plantings should be required as well as appropriate fencing along the perimeter of the complex, particularly facing my home. The current revisions do not address this matter.

Mr. Staples visits this community

25

_	
2	periodically but he does not live here. We do.
3	We vote here and we pay our taxes here. Please
4	take my consideration and those of my neighbors
5	seriously enough to reevaluate. Please take into
6	account the previous management style, or lack
7	thereof, into account before approving an
8	apartment of multiple, transient dwellings where
9	proper maintenance and upkeep of the apartments
10	would be under Mr. Staples' jurisdiction.
11	Thank you, Members of the Board.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom, would you like
13	to speak to some of the points that were raised
14	this evening?
15	MR. GALLI: I just have a quick
16	question for Joy.
17	MS. FABER: Yes, sir.
18	MR. GALLI: The picture with all the
19	water in the backyard, I drove up there numerous
20	times. This is your house here?
21	MS. FABER: That is not my house. That
22	is the house Mr. Staples built behind my house.
23	There's a berm that he placed there which is the
24	construction debris.

MR. GALLI: The water sits there?

25

Τ.	LOT 20 HICKOKT SHADOW 20
2	MS. FABER: It sits there as a result.
3	It did not pool in that backyard until that home
4	was constructed behind my property.
5	MR. GALLI: Pat, did you get pictures
6	of these?
7	MR. HINES: I'm also looking at the
8	existing topography on the plan here. There
9	appears to be no reason for there to be that
10	ponding based on the topography on this map.
11	MR. GALLI: Okay. Well the picture
12	MR. HINES: I saw the picture.
13	MR. GALLI: I just wanted to make sure.
14	MR. HINES: I was looking at the very
15	same thing as Mrs. Faber was speaking.
16	MS. FABER: Ms. Faber.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom, do you want to
18	begin? We're talking about the drainage, we're
19	talking about the completion of the alignment of
20	Merritt Lane. Do you want to begin to discuss
21	that?
22	MR. DePUY: Mr. Staples will probably
23	have to help me out on a few issues. What
24	happened is we when we did the subdivision

originally, Merritt Lane was coming up through

yourself.

here. This road, the realignment is -Harcourt/Cosman were part of the new subdivision,
then we brought this road through here to hook
into Merritt Lane.

Now, the original, these lots up here, that road reconstruction is part of a Town project I believe. I think that's where your concern is there. I don't know.

Can I let Ham just --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: By all means.

Just for the record, introduce

MR. STAPLES: Hamilton Staples. Just to bring everybody current to the standing of Merritt Lane, I have -- myself, Robert Hankin, Larry Cosman from Mt. Airy Fruit Farm are in joint contract to a development agreement with the Town. I have a cost sharing for the improvement of Merritt Lane, which I posted a cash bond that is currently posted with the Town for my portion of those improvements. The problem is Central Hudson refuses to move the poles on Merritt Lane so the highway superintendent can complete the Town's portion of

1

16 17

18

14

15

19 20

21

23

24

25

the work. So that's where we're stuck. I posted the money in cash, it's sitting in the Town coffers. My obligation is secured. The problem is getting Central Hudson to move the poles so the Town can do their portion of the work. That's where we're stuck. We've been stuck there for several years, and both Jim Osborne, the town engineer, and Darrell have been on Central Hudson's back to get that accomplished, and they refuse to cooperate. It's not only the poles. They buried a gas line on Merritt Lane and they buried it too shallow, so that gas line also has to be removed and regraded. So that's the reason those improvements cannot be done on Merritt We've completed everything that we Lane.

And then Mrs. Faber -- Ms. Farber -- Ms. FABER: Faber.

MR. STAPLES: Faber, excuse me. She erroneously reported to the Board that I built a house behind hers. I didn't build that house. I had absolutely nothing to do with building the house. I sold the lot to Jaymark Realty and Jaymark Realty -- I had nothing to do with

can possibly complete at this time.

-

U

building that house. My company did a portion of an excavating contract that they were obligated to, which they didn't pay us for until we had to almost commence litigation. I had nothing to do with the building of the house.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think the best way is, rather than go into dialogue, tit for tat, tit for tat and then we're not going to discuss the other points that you raised, we'll find a way of responding to some of these. Let's keep an open dialogue, if you don't mind, because now we're talking about some drainage matters that may affect this property.

MR. STAPLES: I was trying to get to the drainage if I can. I believe, and I'm sure --

MS. FABER: Could you stand?

MR. STAPLES: Stand. Sure. I believe the drainage issues -- Tom could probably reiterate. Any water that goes there is because the drainage has not been completed on Merritt Lane. That's really it. The builder who built that house wanted a berm installed in back of that residence. That was done. I think that may

be trapping some of the water.

-

I mean I own -- I own this lot which adjoins this house behind lot 20. I also own this house. I mean if we can, we can try to make some grading swale back there to try to get that water out. When Merritt Lane is fixed, that water will not go there.

That's really the rock in the hard place. The water cannot get off Merritt Lane properly to get down into the storm system.

MR. DePUY: We have a whole storm system. I believe the installation ended here with the new, and we have a new storm system that's supposed to go in all the way up Merritt Lane and will intercept that water.

I believe in the interim, Ham, we can do some regrading to get rid of that birdbath that does occur there. That got left after all the topsoil and grading.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant for the Town?

MR. HINES: I'm looking at the photographs, and clearly there is a constructed berm creating, I would refer to it more as a bass

pond than a birdbath I guess. It looks like removal of the berm and the topography of the original subdivision would allow it to drain towards Harcourt. There is clearly a berm that's been constructed to the rear of the house on what was lot 14 of the subdivision.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Your further question in response to some of the points that he raised?

MS. FABER: Well Mr. Chairman, the error on Mr. Ham Staples doing the construction was on my part because, if I'm not mistaken, I do believe I saw him in one of the construction vehicles behind my house. That might have been someone else.

I might have mistaken that for someone else besides you, Mr. Staples.

MR. STAPLES: Just to clarify, we did some excavating work there but I did not construct that house, so you understand. I believe that that water problem is only really seasonal. When the ground is still frozen, correct me if I'm wrong Tom, but I think that's the only time we have that, or we have some real

1

heavy drainage.

The solution to it all is Merritt Lane. I really believe that. I think Tom could address that. I mean Pat even said it, too. If that drainage system is fixed on Merritt Lane, I mean it all disappears. It's just unfortunate. mean Darrell can't move Central Hudson and neither can Jim. So, you know, our agreement with the Town cannot be completed until the Town gets Central Hudson to move those poles. Both me and the Town are trying. The Town is doing the best they can.

14

15

16

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich? MR. MENNERICH: Do you know if the Town

17

has contacted the Public Service Commission?

MR. STAPLES: I won a case against the

18

Public Service Commission recently, I mean

19

against Central Hudson, for a substantial sum of

20

money. I instructed some of the residents on

Merritt Lane to go to the Public Service

21

22 Commission. I also explained that to Jim

23

24

25

to take with Darrell, to go to the Commission.

So I really think that's the -- that's really the

Osborne. I said maybe that's the route he wants

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

next step. I mean if Ms. Faber wants to focus her efforts, maybe everybody on Merritt Lane should get together and go to the Public Service Commission. I mean that's probably the next best step.

MS. FABER: Mr. Chairman, with all due respect, the comments that Mr. Staples is making does not go well for any confidence for any future projects to move forward, and that would include the project that is proposed here tonight, which is the focal point of our discussions for tonight.

There have been several inconsistencies with his previous projects, as he has clearly There are varied problems that have stated. evolved as a result of his construction projects. For the Town to allow him to move forward with this next development I think would be a grave mistake.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly, in reference to the mitigation measures that are being offered tonight by Tom DePuy who represents Ham Staples, and the review of Pat Hines, our Drainage Consultant who works for the Town and

3

the people, can you respond to the concern of the residents before us this evening?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. DONNELLY: I think Pat is saying the correct way to do it would be to remove all or part of the berm that's backing the water up. However, I don't know that Mr. Staples can do that because he no longer owns that property. The Town might be able to compel it by enforcement action. I think I'm hearing Tom say that short of that, you could make some grading changes in the field on property that is under the control of Mr. Staples that would alleviate the problem. The ultimate long-term solution is the drainage improvements in Merritt Lane extension. Ιf that's an offer to make those grading changes to accomplish that result, then I think it would be wise for the Planning Board to take advantage of that offer.

20 21

MR. GALLI: Why can't he -- I thought he owned that, where that pond is where he built it all up.

2324

22

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ DONNELLY: I thought the berm was on the other property.

25

MR. STAPLES: It was never on my

property. The builder who built that property --

MR. GALLI: So the person --

MR. STAPLES: Jaymark Realty.

MR. GALLI: Is that house sold now?

MR. STAPLES: Jaymark sold it to a private individual.

MR. GALLI: The private individual would have to give you permission to take that berm out.

MR. STAPLES: Yes. It's not on Ms.

Faber's property either. I do have some quasi
rights under my deed restrictions. There's
limits that I can go back and try to enforce
things. I mean I can, you know, write some nasty
letters and try to do things. The problem is to
try and get the guy that bought that property
now, I mean to pay to take that berm down --

MR. GALLI: The second issue I have, I just talked to John, is if Central Hudson isn't going to let you go any further, this project was approved, and to say the economy was good and this project was being built out as we speak, Merritt Lane would still be not finished completely and still be the way it is, so we

would have a problem. I don't know who is going to solve that problem but I don't think the Town should be responsible for paying and solving that problem. I don't know who is responsible. I realize -- I think the developer has to be the one who contacts Central Hudson, not the people. You won a lawsuit against them for some reason.

MR. STAPLES: That was for power. It wasn't a lawsuit. We just made a complaint to the Public Service Commission because of certain power requirements.

MR. GALLI: If they're holding your cash for this road improvement and it doesn't get done, I'd be kind of concerned. I'd be contacting the Public Service Commission myself.

MR. STAPLES: I can't, though, because I don't own the road. It was always a Town road to begin with. That's the real issue. I chose to make a financial contribution to the Town to fix that road. It was never my obligation to fix it in the first place. It's been a Town road ever since the beginning of time, before Cosman. I mean Cosman actually built that road for the Town. I mean --

1	LOT 20 - HICKORY SHADOW 37					
2	MR. GALLI: Is the person in the					
3	audience that owns that house?					
4	MS. FABER: I do, sir. That is my					
5	home. I own the property directly behind that					
6	house with the birdbath, as it is now being					
7	called.					
8	MR. GALLI: It's on your property, the					
9	birdbath?					
10	MR. DONNELLY: But not the berm that					
11	causes it.					
12	MR. STAPLES: I mean that was done by					
13	the guy that built the house. I mean that's the					
14	bottom line. I mean					
15	MS. FABER: Mr. Staples, you were in					
16	the construction vehicles that put the berm on					
17	the property. I don't know where that ownership					
18	or that line of distinction lies. Again, we're					
19	not here to really talk about the berm, we're					
20	here to talk about this multi-dwelling project,					
21	and I wish we would focus on that.					
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I appreciate your					
23	participation in managing the meeting					
24	MS. FABER: Thank you.					

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: -- but we're trying

	101 20 HICKOKI BIMDOW					
2	to answer some of your initial questions. One of					
3	them was drainage.					
4	MS. FABER: Yes, sir.					
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We want to focus on					
6	that, come up with a mitigation measure for that					
7	drainage					
8	MS. FABER: Thank you, sir.					
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: that is					
10	satisfactory to the professional PEs who are					
11	licensed in that field. The purpose of having					
12	consultants like we have before us is because					
13	they're licensed in that field to advise the					
14	Planning Board as to what mitigation measures can					
15	be put in place, and it's their license that's on					
16	the line. Just the way we look at your					
17	credentials on your letter. I believe you have					
18	an MBA.					
19	MS. FABER: Yes, I do sir.					
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're a					
21	professional in your field of endeavors. That's					
22	just how it works here.					
23	Mike Donnelly, in reference to the					

legal issue, the ownership of Merritt Lane and

the outstanding agreement with Central Hudson to

24

2 improve that, whose obligation is that?

MR. DONNELLY: Very often it's the developer's obligation. If they're -- say you're building, I don't know, a commercial site and the power lines go down the middle and you want it moved, you pay them to move it. I think this might be a little bit different here. These are power lines that are probably within the Town's right-of-way. The Town is looking to expand, or realign, or change the road. I don't know the details. I take it that either by rule or by the instrument under which Central Hudson has the authority to put those poles in in the first place, the Town's contention is it's Central Hudson's obligation to remove them. I haven't seen the instrument to know.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich who has experience with Central Hudson, do you want to speak on that?

MR. MENNERICH: I'm not sure about the specifics on this one but I would guess there's been a disagreement on who is to pay for the relocation. The only way I can see that you can get that settled is to go to the Public Service

Commission. 2

3

MR. STAPLES: Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FABER: Mr. Chairman, would the onus fall on the developer to do that or would it be the residents?

MR. DONNELLY: As I understand it, this is a Town road that needed some upgrade and the developer agreed to contribute to the Town, contribute toward the cost of making that upgrade. It was a Town road before, it's a Town road now. Mr. Staples tells us he put the money into the Town's hands and that's the extent of his contribution. As I'm hearing it this evening, it's a Town obligation. So the Town needs to either convince Central Hudson to move the poles or, if necessary, bear the cost of moving them themselves.

> CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Ham?

MR. STAPLES: If I may say, I obviously own property there. I mean I have an interest for the poles to be moved. I have no problem cooperating with the neighbors on the street. I told all the neighbors on that street, through Kevin Quinn, that I had no problem laying a

Town.

template down to go to the Public Service

Commission. I mean I have an interest in this

property. I have several other properties there.

I own a lot of land there, so I have a vested

interest to try to make that happen. So, you

know, outside of the approval for this, I have no

problem stating that I'll do what I can do to go

to the Public Service Commission. That's really

where it stands. That was my agreement with the

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The lady who was trying to speak, would you give your name and address, please?

MS. VAIKNESS: My name is Stacee Vaikness, S-T-A-C-E-E V-A-I-K-N-E-S-S, 47 Merritt Lane.

There may be problems with who is going to pay for what to move these poles and fix the road, but we've been living there for five to six years and it's not been done. This is going to make it worse. Ms. Faber's problem is not the only problem. My neighbor, Ms. Kann, gets a big pool in her front yard. The kids go fishing in it. I'm not joking. My backyard gets a big

puddle in it. The front of my house, when the water comes down, if it's a nice day and the water comes down and freezes at night, I have an ice skating rink in front of my house where my kids have to walk across to get on the bus.

years while he does this and makes it worse, I don't care that he has that money there. I don't want the road to get worse. I don't want more flooding in my neighborhood. I don't want my backyard flooding up. That's not going to be fixed immediately. I'm sorry, but I don't think that this should proceed because it's not going to get better, it's only going to get worse with more pipes, with more development, with more cars on the road. It needs to be fixed.

Not to mention the fact that I don't think anyone on our street can get what we paid for those houses. You're going to put multifamily houses in there. People get relocated, lose jobs, have to move. We're never going to be able to get what we paid for those houses now. You're going to bring the property values down. We have a ton of deed restrictions of what we can

_	15
2	and cannot do on our property. God forbid our
3	tree isn't five feet high and we just want a roof
4	on the shed, and we're going to go putting in
5	additional multi-family dwellings that are just
6	going to continue to lower our property values.
7	I don't think they should be doing that to us.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom, what's
9	affecting all the drainage overall on these
10	additional lots that Stacee just got done
11	speaking about?
12	MR. DePUY: Basically we have to
13	complete the drainage up on Merritt Lane.
14	There's some regrading that has to occur.
15	Probably there's an area that lays low. I know
16	where you're talking about. It comes across
17	Merritt Lane.
18	MR. STAPLES: That's not her house.
19	She lives
20	MS. VAIKNESS: That's my house.
21	MR. DePUY: The majority of the water
22	comes down and comes across, and that would all
23	be caught when all the storm sewer is finished up
24	on that portion of Merritt Lane.

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

MR. DONNELLY: Is there temporary

grading that can be done now to shift it to where it's supposed to go?

MR. DePUY: Well, without -- there's a dip in the road there. That's where the problem is. You can't get the water down.

MR. HINES: Catch basins aren't installed yet.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ DePUY: So we can't get the water to them.

MR. STAPLES: The problem is the catch basins. The gas line has to be moved, which I told them. We told them not to put it in in the first place, and they wouldn't listen. And the poles have to be moved. We can't put the drainage in because the poles are in the way.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: Personally, John, I think we ought to put this on hold until the drainage situation gets solved. I don't want to see any more developing going on, it's only going to make it worse. I think it ought to be solved first. Pat ought to check it and then we can move forward.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What would he be

checking?

it?

O

MR. GALLI: To make sure the drainage problem is fixed. I mean they have a drainage problem now and it's approved. We're going to approve something else that's going to cause more of a drainage problem. I don't think that's right. I think it ought to be solved first.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: How do you solve

MR. GALLI: I don't know. I'm not an engineer.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think if we're going to make a recommendation to act on something, then we should make a recommendation to have a course of action.

My understanding is, in listening to them, that whether it be an individual or a group of homeowners, it would sound to me like you're better working in numbers.

Ken Mennerich, who has more experience than I do with the Public Service Commission, I think everyone should get together the way they are here this evening and come up with a presentation to the Public Service Commission to

look for corrective measures.

If you're going to move on putting something on hold, I think for the betterment of all of us, I don't think anyone wants to live with water in their backyard for the next five years, no different than Ham Staples wants to wait another five years to do whatever he's proposing to do there.

I'm asking everyone now, what would be a course of action?

MR. MENNERICH: One thing that could be done is whenever we have site plans that have easements that involve Central Hudson, Central Hudson requests that we send them copies of the plans so that they can review it. I think we should send a letter to Central Hudson and ask them what the situation is with this, point out that there's a problem with the drainage and see what their response is. I mean we're hearing one side of the story and there must be another side. It just -- something doesn't add up.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is it possible as a group -- to meet as a group, put together your concerns as it relates to drainage, take those

concerns, work it into the engineering matters that are before us, and also the outstanding closed pipe systems that are supposed to be in place to mitigate this, and eventually put this letter together to Central Hudson? I mean something --

Mike Donnelly, what are your thoughts on putting this all together?

MR. DONNELLY: Well, one of the issues we have is this is an approved subdivision and there's nothing to prevent the owners of those lots from continuing to build houses unless the Town takes some kind of action to compel completion of the drainage system. The problem is, as I'm hearing it, part of that is on Townowned land. I think your idea that maybe the town engineer, the highway superintendent, I'll volunteer Pat Hines, that somebody can see if there's a temporary or permanent solution to this problem.

We're not doing a lot more with this project because the buildings exist already, although there is a driveway. Plenty more building could be done without any action from

2

3

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the Planning Board. This is a problem that goes beyond the house side of this project, but it's real. We're hearing a number of neighbors say that the drainage isn't functioning. We're hearing from the applicant and his engineers that it can't function because there's nothing to capture the drainage to get it where it's supposed to go. That's an unsatisfactory situation that needs to be resolved. Planning Board is not an enforcement agency. don't have any power in that regard. I think between the highway superintendent and the town engineer, that something has to be done to solve this problem. I don't know that necessarily ties directly to this, but something should be done about it.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would it be appropriate then for Tom DePuy and Pat Hines to meet with Darrell Benedict, the highway superintendent, and Jim Osborne, the town engineer, to put together a letter that would be forwarded on to Central Hudson?

MR. DONNELLY: I don't know that Jim Osborne or Darrell, or the Town Board for that

2

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

drainage problems that are occurring as a result of the incomplete drainage system. I think if it's what we're hearing tonight, then something has to be done sooner rather than later. So that idea I think is a good one.

matter, are aware of all these complaints of

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Realizing that you all share the same or similar concern or problem with drainage, if you can memorialize something in a letter that compounds all this into one story rather than saying I have this problem, I have that problem, and that way it's simpler. There's a problem, you can identify the lots where you live, and that letter could be made part of -- whether it be presented by Tom DePuy, Pat Hines, our drainage consultant, along with Darrell Benedict who is the town highway superintendent, and eventually forward it on to Central Hudson as to why there is a need to relocate the gas line, relocate the poles so this subdivision would effectively work without inconveniencing everyone. Does that sound -- I mean we have to find a beginning for this.

As far as some of the other comments

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you raised, as far as the value of your home today, in general, based upon what you paid for the house, I would think there's not a person in the United States today who has purchased a house in the last couple of years who doesn't feel threatened by values. That's something the Planning Board has no control over. We really don't. I'm not talking about the impact of someone coming in and putting in a two-family house as compared to a single-family house. were discussing it in general at our meeting this evening as far as the value of what anyone bought real estate wise in the last couple years as to what the value of it is today and how far down it may continue to go. That is a life-threatening issue in the United States right now.

Do you want to say something?

MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. Justin Miller,

I live on Dara -- J-U-S-T-I-N M-I-L-L-E-R. I

live adjacent on Dara Drive. My house is

approximately 400 meters from this location here.

My opinion as a resident here, and I'll be moving fairly shortly because I'm in the military, however I moved into a single-dwelling

subdivision. It should stay a single-family subdivision. Putting in a multi-family goes against that concept, which I don't understand why the decision was made to do this in the first place because once the precedent is set, any other lot in this location can be turned into a multi-family dwelling. Is that not correct?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll have Mike

Donnelly speak to you as far as what's permitted

in the AR Zone.

MR. DONNELLY: Two-family homes are permitted in this zone. The answer is this doesn't have to be a precedent, the ordinance already allows it.

MR. HINES: Larger lot sizes.

MR. MILLER: On the larger lot sizes, which leaves us with how many lots left in this that can be turned into a multi-family dwelling? Can you answer that?

MR. DONNELLY: Two-family is what I'm talking about.

MR. MILLER: So on top of that you have two-family, but you also said you have farming and farm equipment. The last time I checked,

those usually aren't good pieces of equipment to be operated in any family neighborhood. So is that authorized too, that you can have farm with a garage, with another garage on top of a multifamily in one lot?

MR. DONNELLY: This is an agricultural zone. Agricultural activities are permitted on any lot where agricultural activities are carried out. Farm equipment and machinery may be stored. And yes, both a residential use and a farm use can be conducted on the same lot. Indeed it's common. Most farms have a house where the farmer lives.

MR. MILLER: That's true. But they're probably not in a seventy-one family or seventy-one lot subdivision.

MR. DONNELLY: New York takes the approach that when you have an agricultural zone and residential uses now come into the zone, the residential uses must yield to the existing agricultural uses. As a matter of fact, in essence, the way the law approaches it is to prefer the protection and preservation of the agricultural use, and, if necessary, the houses

need to be setback from the field where there might be insecticides put down and so on and so forth.

There is an issue as to whether that agricultural equipment can be stored on the lot once the agricultural use ceases. The answer is it may not be stored there even if agricultural activities are being carried on on other lots. So it's dependent upon an existing agricultural use on this particular lot.

MR. MILLER: So obviously, pending a negative impact, it is legal to do that. I understand that. I just looked holistically as the big future of Newburgh. Is this in the benefit of the people that would end up in this neighborhood long term? Whether or not the laws authorize that, that's a good question. That's why we have people elected to make changes to existing laws that don't make sense or do not support what the people want in the neighborhood and what's better for the future of a community. So if you look shortsighted, and it obviously has a financial benefit to certain individuals, and I commend that as an American, but I think you need

to look at it holistically.

I wasn't informed of this because I do not live on Merritt Lane. I think it encompasses a problem that affects everyone in the neighborhood. We were not given the opportunity, and it was only through Joyce here contacting me through my neighbors that I found out about this. So they're not all present here, but I would like to say they have similar feelings about this whole process.

I have a young child, I've been around farm equipment. However, this just looks like a very unique system that I do not want to happen in the rest of the subdivision. I wanted to make that known.

If there's any other formal process, if you could inform me of that just so I can make my statement in a more formal or written manner so that the Board has that as just another record, I would like to know about that.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think your public comment is taken. There's a note of that. As Mike Donnelly presented, the zoning does permit and allow for this. When the zoning is adopted,

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they take a comprehensive plan in mind, and what they found was in the AR district it seems suitable to have two-family uses providing that there is approximately one-and-a-half acres to allow for that.

What the Town does also, in all fairness, in looking at a comprehensive plan is to allow for those people who can't afford to buy a home, who are just starting out, to have a place to rent. In most cases they may also want to have the opportunity of living in the countryside.

There's a strong need right now in the United States, or in Orange County for that matter, as much as the public may not want to hear this, for rental type units. I know it infuriates everyone to hear it but it is possible.

Also, based upon the value of homes today, the warm button in wanting homeownership isn't that warm anymore because people are afraid to buy something, not knowing whether the value of what they're buying at X amount of dollars today will still hold the same value a year from

now. Most indications are that people might prefer to rent these days and wait for the market to stabilize itself. There's a lot of unknowns out in the world today as it relates to all living issues, whether it be rentals, whether it be job security. I think we're all living with gradual hopes.

MS. FABER: Mr. Chairman, thank you for your comments, and you're exactly right. There was an article in The Wall Street Journal just yesterday that supports the support of apartments and people renting.

However, we are still in a very small community. We're dealing with our concerns here. I'm glad you've given us this time to hear our concerns.

I'd like to know what would be the next step for this particular process?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think the next step for this particular process, as we were summarizing, was between your group coming together with a concern letter. Between Tom DePuy, Pat Hines, Darrell Benedict and Jim Osborne, you will then solicit to Central Hudson

Thank you.

I don't feel we should be

MS. FABER:

MR. GALLI:

24

_

adding to it if we know there's a problem.

about whether we're approving the project,
whether we want a two-family or don't want a twofamily. I think in fairness, it's permitted in
the zone. We can go back and forth whether we
like it or we don't like it. The Planning
Board's function is we don't plan communities.

I'll have Ken Mennerich speak as to the purpose of what a Planning Board does in reference to adhering to the regulations. Ken.

MR. MENNERICH: Well, our function is to administer the process of what's included in the zoning, what the zoning indicates. We have consultants that help us to interpret what's in the zoning and point out where there's problems and things that can be corrected. That's what our process is. We can not just turn down something because we don't like the idea or -- you know, we have to go by what the zoning says.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, would you put together a closure on this as far as how we're going to work as a group to address this issue with Central Hudson?

MR. DONNELLY: I see them as two separate issues, but I understand, at least for this evening, until we get some report back, you're not going to take action on this particular application.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Right.

MR. DONNELLY: The larger issue, I think, is one that is a little bit unmanageable here but I think the idea would be for the town engineer, for the planning board engineer, for the highway superintendent and the applicant's engineer, at least as a start, to try to take stock of the fact that this is not just a we'll get to the Town road at some future date, that the lack of a drainage system is causing drainage problems to the residents in this neighborhood and something needs to be done about it.

It seems that it might be helpful if
the residents that have these drainage problems
in the meantime could put together, with
photographs and maybe a binder that shows and
identifies lots and what the problems are so that
the seriousness of the situation can be brought
first to the attention of the Town officials, and

22

23

24

25

1

then from there to Central Hudson and maybe the Public Service Commission to see if that solution can come forward. It needs to be done because it's a problem, not really because another project is going to be built, because, as I said, a lot more homes -- I don't know how many but more homes can be built in this area and there's nothing that the Planning Board can do to prevent that because they're already approved. So my suggestion is that we start with that core group meeting and seeing if there's a solution. In the meantime I would encourage the residents to put together some kind of showing as to what the drainage problems are, and certainly they can be delivered to the Planning Board or the Planning Board's engineer, or someone, so we can get this process moving.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would make a recommendation with Ham Staples' participation, because he has an interest in this, that this will be compiled, Pat Hines will work on behalf of Jim Osborne and Darrell Benedict to get their input, but the point person will be Tom DePuy at this point to consolidate all this information.

25

2	Ham, do you have anything to add to				
3	this at this point? I'm sure you do.				
4	MR. STAPLES: I don't think you want to				
5	hear what I have to say.				
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think you deserve				
7	the time.				
8	MR. STAPLES: The problem is that I'm				
9	being held up now because of absolutely no				
10	reason.				
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Right.				
12	MR. STAPLES: I'm held up here when				
13	everybody else got to build their house. The				
14	problem is the Board is holding up the applicant				
15	and it has nothing to do with Merritt Lane. I				
16	mean this is really the issue.				
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can we set a				
18	reasonable timeframe on this?				
19	MR. STAPLES: We'll be old by the time				
20	I mean I had a case between with the Public				
21	Service Commission for four years, okay. This is				
22	going to go no place. I have an agreement with				
23	the Town that the Town is in default of, okay. I				
24	told the people on Merritt Lane not to come here,				

to go to the Town Board, okay. I told Kevin

Quinn -- actually, Mike is here, Mike knows the situation, too. I said you've got to go to the Town Board. I've met my obligation, okay. I've met my obligation. Now I'm getting held up. I mean that's the bottom line.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What would be reasonable and fair on your behalf as far as a time delay? We have to look at that also. We do.

MR. STAPLES: I'll just --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: As the owner of a piece of property.

Mike Donnelly -- I know you can roll your eyes. As an owner of the piece of property he's entitled to rights. We have to balance that. It's not --

Mike, I'll let you speak on that behalf.

MR. STAPLES: If I can. If I can finish. This property is not even contributory to the drainage problem. I mean if the coin was phrased here, they're contributing to the drainage problem. I didn't even build their houses. The people that are here complaining,

Ham Staples didn't even build their houses.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Well, I think maybe --

MR. STAPLES: I mean this is -- that's the issue. I mean I'm really the one that's getting held up.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What if we were to do something where you would work as a group as we're saying, you come up with a proposal, present it to the Town Board, also present it to Central Hudson, the project then will come back as far as what's before us tonight, whether we have to put in buffering, whether we don't have to put in buffering, and just fine tune the amended site plan?

MR. DePUY: Can I just say this property is not tributary to the drainage problem that's here. The issue that I think we need to resolve tonight is if we want to give her buffering, let us give her buffering.

The other is if we want cupolas off the building, we'll take them off. We're getting way upstream from this site. I understand your concern and, you know, I'll go to Pat and Ham and

1	LOT 20 - HICKORY SHADOW 64
2	everybody and we'll try to do something temporary
3	to drain out the back. This is being held up now
4	for nothing that
5	MR. STAPLES: Absolutely no reason. I
6	mean
7	MR. DePUY: He would rather meet her
8	concerns about buffering, and if you want to
9	change the architecture on the building a little
10	bit.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank, what do you
12	feel about that?
13	MR. GALLI: I'm sorry, I can't as long
14	as there's a drainage problem.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: How long do you
16	want to hold them hostage?
17	MR. GALLI: You can vote.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We understand the
19	position as far as voting. You discussed that
20	earlier this evening. What we're trying to do is
21	come up with a reasonable timeframe to compile
22	the information we need. So one aspect of the
23	problem with the site is to move forward, at the
24	same time we can entertain the application before
25	us.

_						
2	MR. GALLI: You can close the public					
3	hearing. You can do that. We can close the					
4	public hearing and it's going to take them what,					
5	thirty days?					
6	MR. STAPLES: The bottom line is I met					
7	my obligation with the Town. Ham Staples posted					
8	cash for his obligation with the Town. I mean					
9	this is the problem. I mean I've met my					
10	obligation. I have an agreement with the Town					
11	that the Town is in default of.					
12	MR. DONNELLY: It's a practical problem					
13	tonight. There's a seven-member board. You need					
14	four members to vote. We're missing three					
15	members. I don't think you want to ask for a					
16	vote on this tonight.					
17	MR. STAPLES: I understand that. I					
18	would like to be reasonable, too. When do we have					
19	the quorum to vote? In thirty days?					
20	MR. DONNELLY: Almost always.					
21	MR. STAPLES: I understand. I					
22	understand. I mean this is not going to be					
23	resolved any time soon.					
24	MR. DONNELLY: I think we can take					

stock and better understand the problem --

1	LOT 20 - HICKORY SHADOW 66
2	MR. STAPLES: I understand.
3	MR. DONNELLY: to what extent this
4	contributes to it. We heard Tom say that it
5	doesn't. We can double check that. We can take
6	stock in the problem. We can try to guide the
7	solution, which is really for the Town Board and
8	Central Hudson. In the meantime we can hear from
9	the public and see what conditions we might want
10	to add. We're not going to be able to take
11	action tonight.
12	MR. STAPLES: I'm not asking you to
13	take action tonight.
14	MR. DONNELLY: Oh, yes you are.
15	MR. STAPLES: I obviously realize there
16	is going to be no action. I'm measuring how long
17	I'm going to get held up.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli is
19	saying he's willing to close the public hearing.
20	Is that correct?
21	MR. GALLI: I don't have a problem
22	closing it. We heard everything we're going to
23	hear.

that he's willing to revise the plans to show

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom DePuy is saying

24

buffering, removing of the cupolas on the roof, and at another particular point in time bring this project back to the Planning Board. So now we're talking about the immediate site plan before us. As far as the outstanding issue, that involves the Town, Central Hudson and all others, that's a separate matter on the table.

MS. FABER: Mr. Chairman and the Board, thank you very much for taking this matter into consideration. We, as a community, were not informed about the public hearing. I do realize, and I have been led to believe, that a notice was placed in a local newspaper, however it doesn't reach our community. Is there another method that you can communicate to us when we will get notices and updates as to when the Board will meet?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our agenda is online.

MS. FABER: So we should just go online to receive it. Thank you very much.

MR. WARD: Just so you know, you can have a community like a homeowners. I'm involved with one and that's how we communicate, e-mail,

neighbors talking to each other and you know what date it is. You put out a sign on the street.

You know when the meeting is going to be. You're all together. He's working with you. It all can work if you all -- a negative can come out positive. That's how you have to look at it.

You help him later in the long run building houses.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last question, the gentleman in the back.

MR. KINNEY: My name is Richard Kinney, K-I-N-N-E-Y, I live on 1 Jessica Court. My wife and I sit in our front living room and look across the street at Ham's barn, and I think -- Jodi, no offense -- the cupolas are just -- they go with the whole area. I've seen the design. It's a really good design. If you're going to have an apartment house there, why not aesthetically have it look like it belongs in the country. Just my opinion, but --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Those are always the issues that go back and forth. Everyone sees things in a different manner.

MS. FABER: I understand.

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

1	LOT 20 - HICKORY SHADOW 69					
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom, what are you					
3	offering tonight so we can move forward in					
4	closing the public hearing?					
5	MR. DePUY: Basically I'll revisit the					
6	buffering down this line here because that seems					
7	to be the question of your concern.					
8	MS. FABER: Yes.					
9	MR. DePUY: Architecturally, I like the					
10	cupolas myself. I mean					
11	MS. FABER: It's blocking our view.					
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We don't have to do					
13	an amended ARB because we've already approved the					
14	architecture of this building. Bear that in					
15	mind.					
16	MS. FABER: I'm aware of that, Mr.					
17	Chairman.					
18	MR. DePUY: Percentage wise very little					
19	of that will be blocked.					
20	MS. FABER: What is the total height at					
21	the tip of the cupola and it's antenna? What					
22	will the height be?					
23	MR. DePUY: That's not an antenna.					
24	That's a weather vein that would be present. On					

this side is the side you're facing. This would

25

The

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield, do

1 2 you want to add to that as far as what would be permitted for parking? 3 MR. CANFIELD: Well the parking, as Mr. Staples said --5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The storage. 6 7 MR. CANFIELD: The storage is only permitted to be incidental to its primary use. What's presented is a two-family residence, so 9 10 the only parking on the site, including the 11 basements, can be incidental, so to speak, for 12 the residential use. Previously it was presented 13 to this Board that the basement would be utilized 14 for parking of Mr. Staples' car collection. I 15 think that's the mixed use that we're referring 16 That is not permitted. If that in fact is Mr. Staples' wishes, then the project should be 17 referred to the ZBA because then it does become 18 19 mixed use. 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: How do you want to 21 respond to that? 22 MR. STAPLES: As I said, we are going 23 to comply with zoning. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would you have a

25

note on the plan, the final plan, that lists how

2	it	-	will	be	used?
_		_	**	200	abca.

MR. DePUY: We can do that. We'll make it an accessory use to the two-family dwelling.

MS. FABER: I have an additional question. Mr. DePuy, while you're here can you explain to me what exactly is this existing dwelling? There are three existing dwellings here. To my knowledge there is an existing dwelling directly behind the barn, there is an existing dwelling directly behind my property, but what is this existing dwelling? To my knowledge there isn't anything there.

MR. HINES: That's the proposed location.

MR. STAPLES: That's proposed.

MR. DePUY: I will change that for you right now.

MS. FABER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll move for a motion from the Board to close the continuation of the public --

MR. DONNELLY: Just as a follow up, I propose, because of the issue Jerry raised and that your attorney's letter raised, to include a

code.

condition that when you act on the project, it would read as follows: The applicant has proposed to store personal belongings and/or vehicles (a car collection) within either of the garage or the lower portion of the two-family residential building. It is noted that the use of either private garage area here is allowed only to the extent that it is accessory to the two-family dwelling use proposed. Cars stored within either garage may be those of the tenants of the two-family dwelling only. No personal property not belonging to an in-resident tenant may be stored on site. That's what Mr. Staples is saying he would need to do to comply with the

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.

At this point I'll move for a motion to close the continuation of the public hearing for Lot 20 of Hickory Shadow.

MR. GALLI: So moved.

MR. MENNERICH: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.

Any discussion of the motion?

1	LOT 20 - HICKORY SHADOW 74
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
4	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
5	MR. GALLI: Aye.
б	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	MR. WARD: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So
9	carried.
10	At this point Jim Osborne will be
11	contacted by Pat Hines and Darrell Benedict as
12	far as the comments that were discussed this
13	evening in relationship to the telephone poles
14	and the gas line.
15	MR. HINES: I will follow up with them.
16	I have not been involved in that issue to date so
17	I can't speak to it, but I will follow up with
18	them.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The residents will
20	put together the issues that they have before
21	them, and Tom DePuy will be the point person as
22	far as consolidating all of that and proposing it
23	to Central Hudson.
24	This item will be on the agenda again

on the 5th of May.

MS. FABER: Thank you very much, Mr.

Chairman and Members of the Board.

5 (Time noted: 8:15 p.m.)

б

CERTIFICATION

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public within and for
the State of New York, do hereby certify
that I recorded stenographically the
proceedings herein at the time and place
noted in the heading hereof, and that the
foregoing is an accurate and complete
transcript of same to the best of my
knowledge and belief.

25 DATED: May 3, 2011

MR. GALLI: The next order of business is Mid-Valley Mall 2. It's for the Mid-Valley Mall water tank, Section 75; Block; Lot 11.0. It's being represented by Clifford Rohde of Cooper, Erving, LLP.

MR. OLSON: Good evening. Mr. Rohde is not here. I'm here on his behalf. He had a prior engagement so he couldn't be here. My name is Scott Olson.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you have a card for the steno?

MR. OLSON: I'm an attorney with Cooper, Erving & Savage. This is Mr. Steve Hutchinson sitting down. He's with Tectonic Engineering.

We're here tonight just to present the special use permit site plan application that we submitted to the Board in May. We are looking to attach antennas to an existing water tank off of North Plank Road. The water tank is not currently used to store water. Currently it has three carriers on there. There's AT&T, T-Mobile and Nextel.

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

We are looking to put the antennas at

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approximately 112 feet on the water tank along the catwalk. The tank is about 132 feet or 140 feet. The critical thing here is we're not raising the height of the tank in any way.

We're proposing to put a 12 by 30 shelter towards the base of the tank for our equipment. The shelter will be fenced in, secure.

We believe that the application was submitted, as part of the pre-application process, to Mr. Michael Musso and he's reviewed it, and I believe he submitted a letter dated March 30th, which we've also received.

We're doing this for a couple of reasons. One, in Orange County Verizon Wireless is now starting to implement their PCS service. Currently they have cell service in the 850 megahertz range. They have a license to provide service in PCS, which is 1,900 megahertz. They also have a license, newly acquired, to provide service in the 700 megahertz spectrum, which is the 4G, what you may have heard about on the commercials. We don't have PCS service in this area right now. This site will be utilized for

PCS service, ultimately LTD, which is the 4G, and it will provide some additional capacity for our 850 megahertz. We have the application that demonstrates that. It's got propagation that shows you what service we have, and what we don't have, and what we will have with this site if it gets approved.

Even though this is a co-location, we did look at other alternatives. We looked at the St. Luke's Hospital. That was about a 115 foot tall roof. Our RF engineer looked at that.

Unfortunately that would not cover the service area. I think it was about fifty percent coverage compared to this site. We also looked at the Free Academy tower. I'm not familiar with that one.

MR. GALLI: It's the high school.

MR. OLSON: It's about 146 feet tall.

It's taller than that. We looked at putting antennas at the 146 level, and that would only cover about seventy-five percent. We ended up here. There are three other carriers here. It seemed like a pretty good location. We entered into a lease with the property owners and we got

-

their consent as part of the application.

We also gave, pursuant to Mr. Musso's request, a couple photo simulations that show you what their tank looks like now and what it will look like if it's approved with our antennas.

We are proposing to paint the antennas and the cables the same color as the water tower or tank so that it blends in as best as possible.

Something that might be of interest.

We have a radiofrequency safety report in the record, and it takes into consideration all the existing antennas on the tower right now as well as the proposed Verizon Wireless antennas. It confirms we are still one percent of the permitted FCC threshold. Primarily it's due to height. Once you get above thirty feet, the FCC's regulations say we're categorically exempt because the signal is considered a low-power signal, it disseminates fairly quickly. So there should be no health concerns whatsoever.

We're here to request the Board -certainly to answer any questions the Board may
have, and, if appropriate, schedule a public
hearing for the next meeting.

1	VERIZON WIRELESS - MID-VALLEY MALL 82
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines?
3	MR. HINES: We have nothing.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks?
5	MR. COCKS: A note this needs to be
6	referred to the Orange County Planning
7	Department. Also, the bonding for this water
8	tank because it's been submitted as part of the
9	Nextel application.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then I'll move for
11	the Board to grant conceptual approval for the
12	Verizon Wireless location, Mid-Valley Mall, to
13	declare ourselves lead agency, to circulate to
14	the Orange County Planning Department, and to
15	schedule the 5th of May for a public hearing.
16	If you contact Bryant Cocks in
17	reference to the mailing list, Bryant will help
18	you with that. If you'll coordinate with Bryant
19	Cocks as far as a notice of hearing and a
20	circulation of that.
21	MR. OLSON: Will do.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then I'll move for
23	that motion.
24	MR. GALLI: So moved.
٥.	

MR. MENNERICH: Second.

DATED: May 3, 2011

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

CERTIFICATION

Reporter and Notary Public within and for

the State of New York, do hereby certify

proceedings herein at the time and place

noted in the heading hereof, and that the

that I recorded stenographically the

foregoing is an accurate and complete

transcript of same to the best of my

knowledge and belief.

I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand

MR. GALLI: The next order of business is G&M Orange, LLC located at Crossroads Court and Route 17K, Section 95; Block 1; Lot 73, represented by Henry Kroll, Full Throttle Construction, conceptual site plan and ARB.

MR. DALY: My name is Robert Daly,
D-A-L-Y, and I'm a planner working with G&M
Orange. I'll do the presentation for you this
evening.

G&M Orange, LLC has ownership of this property which is here in the Town of Newburgh at the intersection of Route 17K and Crossroads

Court. 17K is the northerly boundary and

Crossroads Court is the easterly boundary. Orr

Avenue is also a road which is on the west side.

The property is being developed for a mixed use building. The owner, G&M Orange, will build to suit for the tenant, which will be Orange County Choppers. Orange County Choppers will mostly occupy this building.

Just by way of background, as you know they're currently involved, they being Orange County Choppers, in a foreclosure procedure with regard to their building, their existing building

at 14 Crossroads Court, and this would become their tenancy where they would move to.

If there's any further questions with regard to the foreclosure procedure, I certainly would refer them to counsel for Orange County Choppers, Mr. Markovits.

I know also before the Board a question was raised with regard to an application for a restaurant in that existing facility at 14

Crossroads Court pending Orange County -- the existing Orange County Choppers facility, and that application is a separate application and is currently on hold pending the foreclosure proceeding there. I know it's on hold here before the Board. Again, I would defer questions with respect to that to counsel for Orange County Choppers, Steven Markovits.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is he here this evening?

MR. DALY: He is not, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think in the future if you're going to make suggestions that any questions be referred to someone, for the benefit of the Planning Board we should have that

23

24

25

1

level it would be manufacturing, and we'll come back to that, and some offices. The use on level 2, which would be the main level fronting onto Route 17K, would be for retail and a cafe. retail would be 6,200 square foot, the cafe 2,000 square foot. On level 3 would be the offices and warehouse or retail section of that. warehouse would be 6,100 square foot and the offices about 2,000 square foot. The intended construction, the square footage involved would necessitate parking, and we calculated out the parking and provided it on the site plan. The parking shown covers 81 -- shows 81 parking spaces. We're required to have 79 based upon the uses which we've provided to the Board in this submission.

The combination of uses here at the site will demand a little over 3,000 gallons per day in terms of water and sewer needs, and our plans are drawn accordingly to show that.

Also, a prevalent feature would be some site work which will accommodate the building on the site and provide for landscaping to put the building in a perspective on the site and to

provide for stormwater, and the stormwater management plan is in preparation.

We have a couple of features which I know are of concern. One being it comes through here, a class A stream, tributary to Quassaick Creek. There is no planned activity in the creek, and we did note on the plan that there was a permit but with further investigation it's not a permit that we've had, it's a permit that has expired that was acquired by Hilton Gardens some years back with respect to their work on Crossroads Court, which is a private road.

Just with regard to the other aspects of the building, it's a steel frame building with a steel exterior and we're -- with a composite roof on it. In terms of what we're looking at for the building is basically an open design access between floors, and between uses will be provided by a lift and stair wells within the building.

The building is fully sprinklered and will have combination restroom services on each of the floors of the building.

The project, as I pointed out earlier,

1

has its primary access on Crossroads Court, which is a private road which provides access out onto New York State Route 17K. We have a secondary access shown which is on Orr Avenue, and that access is a Town road. It was recognized, I think, that we would need to seek Town approval for a curb cut on that road.

With regard to the development of this property, you can see it's an unusually shaped piece of property, and its unusual shape has really necessitated the plan that we have. configuration is -- the configuration of the line along the western most boundary, along the southernmost boundary and the existing Orange County Choppers building here at the corner of Crossroads Court, and it comes back up crossing back over the stream on the eastern side up to the northern boundary where it runs somewhat close to 17K. We have two intervening properties there, one being the Patton Cemetery. We did have this reviewed as part of a cultural review prepared by BTK Associates with respect to this property. The other intersecting piece that really keeps the frontage away from New York

1

State Route 17K is part of the State taking when this was subdivided many years back.

1

5

3

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

One of our key concerns here that we would ask for some guidance from this body with regard to is with respect to the parking arrangement and parking in the buffer area. Because of the large nature of the area from New York State Route 17K, from the pavement to the closest point in the parking area is 60 feet, and to most of the parking areas over 100 feet. So, you know, one of the issues was that there would be a visual buffer on properties that are adjacent to New York State Route 17K. You know, we certainly -- the intent with that would be to have this be shown as such, but there is some question of interpretation. I did take the opportunity to discuss it with the consultants at my end and, you know, we certainly would ask the Board to provide us with a little guidance on that.

With regard to the overall use, I think you have a good idea of what the volume of use and traffic is at the site. Currently we project a max of fifty vehicle trips per hour as a max.

That certainly is within what we see as our current use on the adjacent site. We had asked our transportation engineer, Phil Grealy, to update work that had been done there with respect to the transportation questions. Phil is here. In response to our questions, he felt that, you know, the design that we had was certainly well within the carrying capacity of the existing road system, 17K and the access.

The key point I think is that what we're looking to do here, G&M Orange, LLC is looking to provide a facility, a downsized facility for Orange County Choppers at a location that's recognizable here in Orange County. The benefit is clearly to save the jobs that are there and keep them right here in the Town of Newburgh. There's forty-five jobs. We project that will increase by six with the addition of the cafe facility. We also recognize that the capital investment, which we put down at \$3,900,000, will provide a significant short-term impact in terms of employment opportunities for construction and labor-related facilities. We project them to be about twenty-five people.

1

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we're here asking the Board to give us an opportunity to pursue this before the Board and give us a conceptual okay and we'll begin to address questions.

We did receive letters from the consultants. I received four letters, one from Ken Wersted on the traffic issues; and from Karen Arent on landscape issues; from Pat on the engineering-related issues; and from Bryant Cocks on the planning-related issues. We did not see anything there that was particularly troublesome. What we felt we would be moving towards was fleshing out the plans, providing things like a stormwater pollution prevention plan and addressing issues of landscaping and detail of landscaping, moving of a dumpster, the refuse container from the north side of the site to the south side of the site, and providing more detail in terms of where the plantings and the lighting would be placed so as to give a better sense of how this would appear.

I do have here sort of a rendering.

Mark, would you put up the rendering?

I do have here a copy of the rendering

full-blown restaurant?

3

MR. DALY: No.

_

issue with events, when we approved Orange County

6

be four events a year per se, which I know is not

because I've been to a few of them. There's

Choppers' original site, that there was going to

MR. GALLI: Events. We ran into an

7

true. There's more than four events a year

9

probably quite a few events. When you have events

11

up there, the place is jammed. Parking is very

limited. Now that you may not own the other

12 13

piece of property for whatever reason, where are

14

you going to put the overflow parking?

15

16

to this is there are no -- this is not going to

MR. DALY: I think the easiest response

17

be an event facility.

18

MR. GALLI: You already have it advertised in The Record as of Tuesday I think.

20

19

MR. DALY: That's the existing

21

1 facility.

22

going to stop you from running -- what's going to

MR. GALLI: That's correct. What's

24

stop you from running events now at the new facility? You put a limitation on the last

25

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

facility that wasn't followed. Now this facility, you can do the same thing. Why would you follow it if we tell you no and you do what you've got to do anyway? I don't think you have enough parking to really do what you're going to at that site.

MR. DALY: I would just point out, other than -- I don't know in reference that there have been many unplanned events. I can't speak to that.

MR. GALLI: I follow them.

MR. DALY: With regard to this site, you know, you point out very clearly that, just as I had stated to you, this is not a planned event site.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ GALLI}$: If we put a stipulation on the plan no events --$

MR. DALY: So you will.

MR. GALLI: The second thing is just a question, and I don't know if you can answer it. You said refer to your attorney, but he's not here. Is this going to be a tax exempt property like the last one?

MR. DALY: A tax exempt property?

whatever it is, this is the project we're looking at. I'm looking at the parking, the traffic, what's going on with the cafe, no events or events, tourists, whatever. Other properties that are there will be occupied. There's going to be a volume of traffic going out no matter how you look at it. You have Orr Road, 17K. With added parking with this facility, you've got to look at the overall picture. That's what we're looking at.

How high is the building? In your papers it says 32.

MR. DALY: I think -- Mark, the height of the building?

MR. KROLL: The height of the building actually at the apex is 35 feet from the bottom, the dirt.

MR. WARD: With the property going up by the graveyard -- the cemetery, excuse me, you're putting a retaining wall where you have to -- we'll go back to the engineer to tell you -- talk to you about that. To me, that's a very high wall next to a cemetery.

There was one other issue. There was

1	G&M ORANGE, LLC 100
2	parking
3	MR. DALY: The cemetery is actually
4	MR. WARD: Right next to the parking.
5	MR. DALY: It's actually higher.
6	MR. WARD: Yes. You're putting a
7	retaining wall there. There's no buffer between
8	it.
9	MR. DALY: I'm not aware there was a
10	need for a buffer between a retaining wall and
11	the cemetery. I'm not sure that the code
12	includes that. If it's a matter of code, we will
13	comply with the code. That's not a problem.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What John Ward is
15	also saying is in your presentation you eluded
16	to, was it BK that did a study on the impact?
17	MR. DALY: BTK.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Did you make that
19	part of your submission?
20	MR. DALY: What they looked at?
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you have any
22	kind of written report based upon
23	MR. DALY: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You made that part
25	of your submission?

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

MR. DALY: I did not make that part of the submission. I noted in there that these reports were available.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Again Mr. Daly, and I think you're a planner, you understand the process, to elude to something somewhere that isn't here, that we can't review, whether it be an attorney, a report, what do we do? Do we sit here and wait for it to magically appear or do you come complete with the information so that we, as a Board, and our consultants, can review it, so Mr. Ward can then know whether there is an impact. If there is an impact, should there be a mitigation measure. Whether it spells it out in the code or it doesn't spell is out in the code, what he's saying is is there a need for mitigation measures as far as the impact with the cemetery. You're saying you've done a report. We don't have the report. We need the report.

MR. DALY: Fine.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay.

MR. DALY: Fine.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John, please continue.

3

MR. WARD: One more thing was the parking lot being next to the creek.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerned about stormwater management with that, with the DEC.

102

MR. DALY: It's adjacent to it but it's not in the creek at all. I mean there's no -it's setback from it. It's actually -- as the plan indicates, it's a buffer. We do have -- you know, during the construction phase there would be further management taken to protect the stream in that case.

MR. WARD: What I'm saying is the drainage to show what you're going to plan on the stormwater drainage going by there.

MR. DALY: Yes. Well, that's part of the site plan that we, you know, will address as part of the stormwater runoff plan.

I neglected to address the issue as part of the plan that there is a Kortex system which will be used underneath the parking lot for the storage of stormwater. That detail, what is shown over here, it's actually in there as part of the stormwater management plan.

MR. WARD: Okay.

24

25

1

2

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll turn to our Consultants. We've been through this with Orange County Choppers. We'll try and summarize it. This is a Planning Board. We have consultants. We read every bit of information that is necessary to grant either conceptual approval, site plan approval. So in the future, rather than referring to something that doesn't exist and that in fact was reported upon, we won't accept the fact that you're not ready to give us what's necessary. You're a planner. I expect the most of you because we're talking about planning issues. I'm somewhat disappointed. We've been through this before. It's like pulling teeth sometimes as far as things being complete. It really is. I say that respectfully.

MR. DALY: Mr. Chairman, we had every intent, as a conceptual review, to provide you with whatever information is required. We're just trying to get to the point where we understand that this is a project that you would entertain as we've constructed it to this point so that we can bring these people in and provide 2 them to you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Fine. Whether we entertain or we don't, we need completeness in your presentation. Whatever materials you have, whatever studies you've had leading up to this presentation, we would like to have them.

Jerry Canfield?

MR. CANFIELD: I have nothing at this time.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, Drainage Consultant?

MR. HINES: I have a City of Newburgh flow acceptance letter will be required for the sanitary sewer flows discharged to the Town's collection system.

There is a proposed pump station that's going to discharge to a force main in Crossroads Court. This will be the third pump station in that force main, so when the design report is submitted we want to make sure that it functions along with the other pump stations. Mr. Daly can certainly provide that report.

Stormwater management must address DEC and Town standards.

We did note the Kortex system that was proposed, similar to the one used on the original Orange County Choppers site, we'll need the appropriate soil testing in that report to show there were substantial cuts in some of the areas where that Kortex system is going to be proposed. We need to make sure those soil tests are at the proposed grades.

The fire service and potable water
lines are depicted as separate right now. They
need to be combined together in compliance with
the Town's standards so that when the fire flow
water is terminated to the structure, the potable
water is also terminated.

At work session I discussed with the Planning Board the need for the stormwater pump station shown at the basement entrance, and we'll be looking for the design of that as well as the report supporting that.

I have a comment regarding the retaining walls. I talked to the Board at work session, which is I think Mr. Ward's comment also is the constructability of that twenty-foot retaining wall in close proximity to that

G&M ORANGE, LLC 106 1 cemetery. Normally a wall that high would need 2 to have some sort of geogrid or some form of 3 Tyvek for global stability. There's a concern about how close that is to the property line and 5 that cemetery, which we'll be reviewing. 6 7 Soil erosion and sediment control is an issue. Besides the Town of Newburgh and DEC 9 standards, there's a group fairly active in the 10 Town lately taking a look at that Quassaick Creek 11 watershed and the City of Newburgh water supply. You'll probably have them also taking a look and 12 13 reviewing your stormwater report. It's the Quassaick Creek Alliance I believe. They've been 14 15 fairly actively lately. 16 The plans for the grease trap, we need 17 sizing for that in the report. 18 I had a suggestion that Parks and 19 Recreation and Historic Preservation sign off on 20 the historic impacts to the cemetery, taking into 21 account the retaining wall design. 22 That's all we have on the concept plan.

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

Planning Consultant?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks,

MR. COCKS: The bulk table will need to

23

24

be revised to reflect this is a corner lot. The front yard setback on 17K should be sixty feet and the front yard setback on CrossRoads Court should be fifty feet. It looks likes it will be able to be done. The one thing I don't think we'll be able to be meet is the forty-five feet of front yard setback.

There needs to be a landscape buffer along Route 17K. I looked at the provision and it's in the exception to district regulations, and that can't be waived by the Planning Board. I think the applicant will need to go for a variance to provide that forty-five foot buffer.

I also had a comment on the retaining walls on the site that Pat just addressed.

The area around the building for the sidewalk looks to be very wide. I didn't know if there was landscaping to be proposed within this area to mitigate the impact on that much concrete around the building.

The Town of Newburgh design guidelines do not recommend having parking in the front yard of any new site. Parking is on Route 17K and Crossroads Court in this development. It's a

G&M ORANGE, LLC

have enough parking and it's going to run into a problem. I think you're going to infringe on the other people's property for parking when you have events there.

MR. DALY: You know, I don't know how to explain to you that events are not going to occur here. I mean we've addressed this question in looking at the design and it was not designed to have events there.

MR. DONNELLY: May I suggest, and this may not satisfy Frank's concern, you give us something called a project narrative in which you state exactly what the limits are of the activities you're going to carry out and what they are not, and then we can, at the time we act on this, if it's approved, tie into that narrative and say that no activity not listed in the project narrative may be carried out on site. At least then, from an enforcement point of view, the Town is in a better position. I'm not suggesting it will satisfy Frank but it may be a direction.

MR. DALY: That's fine. Just so you understand, just conceptually, the cafe part of

this is -- you know, I would liken it to a

Starbucks in a Barnes & Noble situation. I know
you're calling it a restaurant. It's not a
restaurant, it's a cafe. A light fare kind of a
thing which is integrated into the retail.

MR. GALLI: If you're looking for my opinion, I think the building is ugly. That's basically -- the red stripes around it. It's not a very good looking building and it's going to be right in the forefront. I mean -- I don't know. It's just a plain looking, old steel building with a couple stripes around it and OCC in the front of it.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The letter that Mike Donnelly just suggested you prepare, when can you have that ready by?

MR. DALY: I'll prepare it tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then what I'm going to do is schedule this for a meeting of -- our next meeting, which is the 17th -- 21st of April. Any additional supporting information you could supply us with, whether it be the study on the cemetery, we'll discuss that as far as the number and how to complete it. As far as scheduling

that to receive it, in which case I know we'll have one additional Board Member here and possibly two. Okay.

MR. DALY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

One item occurred to me. As we're continuing along here in the development of this, should we be in contact with the consultants to provide them information in response to their comment letters that we received?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think the initial comments you could begin working on. I think what will happen in that case, your revised plans — if you want to submit revised plans between now and the meeting of the 21st, that would need to be done in a timely manner. Those plans will be the same plans and responses that would come from the Planning Board Members and the Consultants. If you want to act somewhat in that direction.

MR. DALY: Fine. Thank you. Thank you, Members of the Board, Consultants.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. At this particular point I would like to close the meeting. At this particular point we have no