1		1
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
3	X In the Matter of	
4		
5	G&M ORANGE, LLC (2011-07)	
6	Crossroads Court & Route 17K	
7	Section 95; Block 1; Lot 73 IB Zone	
8	X	
9		
10	SITE PLAN & ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD	
11	Date: June 16, 2011	
12	Time: 7:00 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh	
13	Town Hall 1496 Route 300	
14	Newburgh, NY 12550	
15	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman	
16	FRANK S. GALLI KENNETH MENNERICH THOMAS P. FOGARTY	
17	JOHN A. WARD	
18	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.	
19	BRYANT COCKS JOHN R. SZAROWSKI	
20	KAREN ARENT GERALD CANFIELD	
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: ROBERT DALY	
23	X	
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive	
25	Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)895-3018	

1 2 MR. FOGARTY: Good evening, ladies 2 and gentlemen. Welcome to the Town of 3 Newburgh Planning Board meeting of June 16, 4 5 2011. At this time I'll call the meeting 6 to order with a roll call vote starting with 7 Frank Galli. 8 9 MR. GALLI: Present. 10 MR. MENNERICH: Present. 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present. 12 MR. FOGARTY: Here. 13 MR. WARD: Present. 14 MR. FOGARTY: The Planning Board has 15 professional experts that provide reviews and 16 input on the business before us, including 17 SEQRA determinations as well as code and planning details. I ask them to introduce 18 19 themselves at this time. 20 MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly, 21 Planning Board Attorney. 2.2 MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero, 23 Stenographer. 24 MR. CANFIELD: Jerry Canfield, Code 25 Compliance Supervisor.

1	G&M ORANGE, LLC 3
2	MR. SZAROWSKI: John Szarowski,
3	Engineer.
4	MR. COCKS: Bryant Cocks, Planning
5	Consultant.
6	MS. ARENT: Karen Arent, Landscape
7	Architectural Consultant.
8	MR. FOGARTY: Thank you. At this time
9	I'll turn the meeting over to John Ward.
10	MR. WARD: Please stand to say the
11	Pledge of Allegiance.
12	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
13	MR. WARD: Please turn off your phones.
14	MR. FOGARTY: The first item on the
15	agenda is G&M Orange. It's a site plan and ARB.
16	The presentation will be done by Henry Kroll from
17	Full Throttle Construction Management.
18	MR. DALY: My name is Robert Daly, I'm
19	a planner. I'll introduce you to Henry Kroll
20	here; and Jim Sonic from Sonic Landscape &
21	Design; and Mark Day from Day Engineering.
22	Just a quick recap of where we are.
23	There were very minor changes to the plan. We
24	did show a guardrail on the site plan, and you'll
25	see it both on the site plan and detail. That

was really the only change, and I'll defer to

Mark Day.

2.2

We had a couple letters to put together. They've all been forwarded to the Board in the case of each of them. I believe we have there with the Board those items that we needed.

There was one landscape plan, and I've got Jim Sonic here to talk about it, so I'll defer to him.

Mark Day first.

MR. DAY: As Mr. Daly had mentioned at the last meeting, the Board had asked -- I think Karen had asked if we would consider -- I believe it was Karen, sorry if I'm wrong -- consider a timber guardrail on the west side of the parking lot. I believe Karen asked that we show the color of the wall on the plain view, which we did in detail. What we're proposing for the guardrail is actually a timber -- two-rail timber system so it sort of blends in so you'll stop when you -- obviously when you hit this you're not going any further.

What we tried to do is come up with

some images of what the stone strong product is that we're proposing. It would be -- the retaining walls would be on the site. I know it's hard to see but I can pass them around.

These walls are actually being used at the Orange County Transfer Station. These pictures were taken from that.

2.2

The building itself, we're going to be using colors. It's a gray tone and a maroon trim on the actual buildings themselves. We're going to go with a pre-engineered building. This is actually maroon. We tried to match as best we could, although our printer put a change in it. That's the actual color. We're going with a darker gray metal siding, and also the roof will be the same color.

We're going to be using a glass front and glass windows here. These are going to be office windows on the second floor.

This is the front elevation. This is actually the rear elevation. This is both your left and your right elevations.

The same thing, on the left elevation, this would be facing Crossroads Court and 17K,

2.2

you're going to have a series of windows here, as well as parking of course.

This would be the other elevation which faces Orr Avenue. There's two windows here and the entrance doors would be in this front canopy here.

Any other questions?

I'm going to just have Jim speak to the landscaping plan.

MR. SONIC: Not that it's completely visible from across the room, but one of the comments, I believe, at the last meeting was about some screening at the back of the building for the air conditioning and generators. We've modified the planting plan at the rear of the building to incorporate a series of Colorado Blue Spruce in the back to screen it out and to really give a solid vegetative buffer there so you're not looking at the utilities.

I believe the rest of the planting scheme was in keeping with the last two submissions, with a series of Maple trees and Forsythia as well as some wetland plantings, or wetland style planting, natural plantings, along

1	G&M ORANGE, LLC 7
2	the stream.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll take comments
4	from Board Members. We'll start with John Ward.
5	MR. WARD: I like your guardrail. Thank
6	you very much for putting it there
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom Fogarty?
8	MR. FOGARTY: I got all my questions
9	answered.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?
11	MR. MENNERICH: I have no questions.
12	MR. GALLI: No additional.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So we're discussing
14	the site plan right now. You addressed the
15	outstanding comments that were made by the Board
16	Members and the Consultants.
17	MR. DALY: Yes, sir. We believe we
18	have.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield?
20	MR. CANFIELD: Mark had sent a letter
21	to the Orange Lake Fire District requesting their
22	comments and input. Today I did receive a
23	response from the Orange Lake Fire District,
24	which is the jurisdictional district. They have
25	no outstanding comments or concerns.

1	G&M ORANGE, LLC 8
2	If the Chairman likes, I would give you
3	a copy of their correspondence.
4	That's all I have.
5	MR. DALY: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John with McGoey,
7	Hauser & Edsall?
8	MR. SZAROWSKI: I believe all the
9	engineering comments have been addressed.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks?
11	MR. COCKS: I have no additional
12	comments. Mr. Daly did mention letters that were
13	received. The one was the City of Newburgh sewer
14	flow acceptance letter.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen Arent?
16	MS. ARENT: We just need a landscape
17	cost estimate for the project. When you prepare
18	the cost estimate and you submit any of the
19	bonds, make sure to refer to the Town of Newburgh
20	project number for your project.
21	MR. SONIC: Absolutely.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. Mike
23	Donnelly, can you present to the Board conditions
24	for final approval for the site plan before us
25	this evening known as G&M Orange, LLC?

MR. DONNELLY: Yes. We will include several findings of the design guideline waiver.

One, for parking in the front yard, you discussed these at earlier meetings where it's infeasible to comply with that because there are three front yards here. In any event, appropriate screening is being put in place.

2.2

Also, the design guidelines suggest that natural materials be used. That would be out of place here given the buildings and the surrounding area.

We will need, from the discussion at the work session, an approval from both the County DPW and the Town of Newburgh highway superintendent for the driveway utilization on Orr Avenue. I don't think that's a difficult issue. It was obtained the last time but it will be required here.

Beyond that, the conditions are that the applicant petition the Town Board pursuant to Section 1660-A of the Vehicle & Traffic Law, giving the Town authorization to enforce Vehicle & Traffic Law violations on the site.

The resolution will reference the sewer

2 flow letter that has now been obtained.

2.2

There is a requirement that the Orange County Health Department approve the grease trap to be utilized within the building. That will need to be satisfied before a building permit is issued.

The applicant will be required to comply at all times with the parking area maintenance standards of the code, Sections 131-1 through 131-5.

I believe we have a current updated final narrative of the proposed use, and that should be attached to the resolution. The resolution will recite that that is the limits of the activity that may be conducted on site. If any activities are going to extend beyond that, an amended approval will be required.

Finally, we will need a landscape security and inspection fee and a stormwater improvement inspection fee.

We will carry the standard condition that states that nothing may be built on site that is not shown on the approved site plan.

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do we have any

G&M ORANGE, LLC 11
comments, additions to the resolution presented
by Attorney Mike Donnelly?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Then I'll
move for a motion to grant final site plan
approval for G&M Orange, LLC subject to the
conditions presented by our Attorney, Mike
Donnelly.
MR. FOGARTY: So moved.
MR. MENNERICH: Second.
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
Tom Fogarty. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
Any discussion of the motion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
MR. GALLI: Aye.
MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
MR. WARD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So
carried.
Do you want to walk us through now the
ARB as far as materials?

2.2

MR. DAY: As I mentioned before, we're going to be utilizing a pre-engineered building with glass panels in the front and glass in the canopy area. We're using a fourteen-gauge metal siding which is basically a Kynar finish. It's going to be maroon. It will be the same for the gray. Both are fourteen-gauge Kynar finish.

The wall will be the same material as the roof, the same Kynar finish.

The timber rail, if you will, is going to be basically a timber rail and treated, and we're going to be using a Stone Strong retaining wall which basically will have this sort of pattern, if you will.

If you want to, I'll pass this around if it helps.

MR. GALLI: The trees and stuff you're showing there, does it cover the units?

MR. DAY: Yes. This is what Jim talked about earlier. The HVAC and the generator and such will be behind these.

Would you like to see these as well?

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen, do you want to discuss with them the specifics of what would

1	G&M ORANGE, LLC 13
2	have to be written out for the building
3	department as far as colors?
4	MS. ARENT: We need a drawing showing
5	all of your elevations and with the materials
6	called out and the colors. You know, be specific
7	as to what exact materials you're using.
8	MR. DAY: Okay.
9	MS. ARENT: Since there's no signage,
10	we don't need a sign chart.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You did say at the
12	last meeting there won't be any signage on the
13	building?
14	MR. DAY: We're looking to do a pylon.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any comments
16	MS. ARENT: Are you going to be
17	painting the dumpster enclosure to match the
18	building?
19	MR. DAY: That's actually going to be a
20	masonry. We're going to use brick. It will be a
21	natural gray brick.
22	MS. ARENT: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Anything else?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then I'll move for

1	G&M ORANGE, LLC 14
2	a motion to grant ARB approval for G&M Orange,
3	LLC subject to sign off from Karen Arent, and the
4	final plans being submitted and having all the
5	necessary details listed so the building
6	department can work effectively with those plans.
7	Okay, Karen?
8	MS. ARENT: Yes.
9	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have motion by
11	Ken Mennerich. Do I have a second?
12	MR. FOGARTY: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Second by Tom
14	Fogarty. Any discussion of the motion?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
17	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
18	MR. GALLI: Aye.
19	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
20	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
21	MR. WARD: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
23	carried.
24	Congratulations.
25	(Time noted: 7:13 p.m.)

1		16
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
3	X In the Matter of	
5	CRONK ESTATES (2010-07)	
7	Peaceful Court Section 1; Block 2; Lot 17.2 AR Zone	
9	x	
.0	SIX-LOT SUBDIVISION	
.1	Date: June 16, 2011	
2	Time: 7:14 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh	
.3	Town Hall 1496 Route 300	
.4	Newburgh, NY 12550	
.5	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI	
.7	KENNETH MENNERICH THOMAS P. FOGARTY JOHN A. WARD	
.8	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.	
.9	BRYANT COCKS JOHN R. SZAROWSKI	
20	KAREN ARENT GERALD CANFIELD	
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: CHARLES BROWN	
23	X	
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive	
25	Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)895-3018	

2.2

MR. FOGARTY: The second item on the agenda is Cronk Estates, project number 2010-07. This is a six-lot subdivision being presented by Charles Brown of Taconic Design Engineering.

MR. BROWN: This is a six-lot subdivision on Peaceful Court off of Cronk Road. We were here two weeks ago. We did have some technical comments regarding the engineering, the SWPPP, the intersection. I believe we took care of all those except for the SWPPP and stormwater.

One of the comments on that was about the maintenance. We did have some meetings, one with the town engineer and town attorney and another with the Town Board.

I'm going to turn it over to Jim, because he attended those meeting, and then I'll address the rest of the SWPPP comments.

MR. RAAB: At the Board's direction we had contacted Jim Osborne and we set up the meeting with himself and Mark Taylor. Wayne Booth, the supervisor, sat in on it. After a short period of discussion about what was involved and the practices we were using here, they decided it would be better off if we brought

25

1

it to a work session, which we attended last I explained to them all the practices night. that were going to be involved in the stormwater management of the project, and it was concluded that Jim Osborne would get together with McGoey, Hauser & Edsall, either with Pat Hines or with John, to get the gist of what they had thought would be a way of approaching this. Mark Taylor will be contacting -- will be contacted by Dan Bloom, who has been retained by the applicant, to deal with the -- with how ever way they deal with the drainage. Last night they were not -- they were not completely receptive to a drainage district but some type of vehicle -- legal vehicle that would allow the Town to step in if something went awry, as like an MS-4 violation or something of that nature. So that's why -- Dan Bloom has dealt with this in a couple of other towns and had something he felt would be a good idea to do with that, and he's going to discuss it with Mark Taylor. As I said, Jim Osborne hasn't been able to get in contact with Pat. They've been playing telephone tag based on our discussion last night. I'm sure that they'll be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in touch with each other next Monday, then we'll take it from there. Once Mark and Dan speak and Pat and John and Jim speak, then the Town Board will make a conclusion as to how they want to approach this.

MR. BROWN: Thanks, Jim.

I don't know whether you want to have the consultants go through their comments or if you want me to kind of make a general statement. I did get John's comments on the SWPPP. It seems most of it really is just a matter of coordinating the documentation so that we're both on the same page. I mean the measures that we've shown, I'm sure John will agree, are sufficient to do the task, and in some places maybe even we're going to overkill. To put together the SWPPP in the format John spoke of, I'm on the same page as him. To that effect, I would like to have the Planning Board's approval for us to set a meeting with John for Monday, Pat did say Monday is okay with him, to put those issues to bed.

Other than that, I believe we're ready for a public hearing. Again, that's up to the

Board.

2.2

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll turn to John at this point for his recommendation and advice to the Board. John.

MR. SZAROWSKI: As far as the stormwater comments; yeah, you've done a little bit beyond what you needed to do. In fact, you're putting practices that aren't necessarily required on these lots. I mean at an expense to the homeowners. You've got dry swales in the back of the lots that are not really needed necessarily, and they're in areas that aren't necessarily being disturbed.

The rain garden is disconnecting the roof tops. Having the roof drain leaders go to the lawn is sufficient. You don't need to have the rain gardens. These are some of the maintenance issues that we need to figure out, whether or not you really need to go to this level or if we're comfortable with just having to disconnect the roof tops.

Monday is fine with me. I think I'm available all day.

There was a question that I had about

2.2

using dry swales which really gave you very
little toward the runoff reduction requirements
as they only allow twenty percent toward that.

MR. BROWN: It depends on the soil type. Right.

MR. SZAROWSKI: Because of the soil type there. There are other practices where a single bio-filter might give you everything you need, and that allows you forty percent. This is the old -- out of the old stormwater manual the bio-filtration area that's been brought forward to the green infrastructure. It's the planted soil, the under drains. That might give you more for what you're looking for. And then if you're treating the water quality in this with a diversion, you probably can go to a dry pond and save a lot of area as well.

MR. BROWN: I agree with a lot of that.

I think when we meet we can get this all resolved on Monday. That being said -- is that it?

Sorry, John.

MR. SZAROWSKI: That's the bulk. I mean, again, that's most of my comments. I think a lot of the Town's concerns go away if you lose

CRONK ESTATES 1 22 2 a lot of the additional practices. MR. BROWN: Right. 3 MR. SZAROWSKI: The site plan will --4 if you do end up going with bio-filtration and a 5 6 dry pond, then your plans are going to change a little bit. I don't know if the Board is 7 comfortable moving forward or not. 8 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. 10 point of having a consultants' meeting on the 20th of June, I'll poll the Board Members to see 11 12 if they want to authorize that. Frank Galli? 13 MR. GALLI: Is there enough money in 14 the escrow account, John? 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think there has 16 been a letter sent out to the owner. He hasn't

been a letter sent out to the owner. He hasn't responded to that.

MR. BROWN: I'm not aware of that. I'll make sure that --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think that that has to be in place in order to cover the necessary costs that will be coming in on this project. So we'll make that a condition of setting this meeting for the 20th.

MR. GALLI: That's Monday. Today is

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

Thursday. I mean if the money is there for the voucher, then I would say yes. If it's not there, I would say no.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then we would cancel it for whatever time it's scheduled for for Monday.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?

MR. MENNERICH: I agree with Frank.

MR. FOGARTY: I'm not in favor of having a public hearing until this whole drainage issue is resolved.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: In which case we won't make a SEQRA determination until the meeting is over and we hear from our consultants that all the issues have been addressed. Then we can make a SEQRA determination.

MR. BROWN: Fair enough.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?

MR. WARD: I agree with Tom.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So then I'll move for a motion to set this for a consultants' work session for the 20th of June with the understanding that the applicant will bring his

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

1	CRONK ESTATES 24
2	escrow account to a current balance.
3	MR. GALLI: So moved.
4	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
6	Frank Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
7	Any discussion of the motion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
10	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
11	MR. GALLI: Aye.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
13	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
14	MR. WARD: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
16	carried.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
19	
20	(Time noted: 7:20 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		26
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
3	In the Matter of	
4		
5	GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION (2011-12)	
6	Curtain Lane	
7	Section 8; Block 1; Lot 43.45 AR Zone	
8	X	
9		
10	CONCEPTUAL TWO-LOT SUBDIVISION	
11	Date: June 16, 2011 Time: 7:20 p.m.	
12	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall	
13	1496 Route 300	
14	Newburgh, NY 12550	
15	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI	
16	KENNETH MENNERICH	
17	THOMAS P. FOGARTY JOHN A. WARD	
18	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.	
19	BRYANT COCKS JOHN R. SZAROWSKI	
20	KAREN ARENT GERALD CANFIELD	
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: CHARLES BROWN	
23	X MICHELLE L. CONERO	
24	10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589	
25	(845)895-3018	

MR. FOGARTY: The next item on the agenda is Grove-Vite Subdivision, project number 2011-12. It's a conceptual two-lot subdivision being presented by Charles Brown of Taconic Design Engineering.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. This is a two-lot subdivision of the 8-acre parcel that was at the end of Curtain Lane. It comes off Old Post Road in the north end of Town.

The proposal is to cut the lot in half.

There are no extensions of the road. They'll

both be serviced by an existing road. One will

be 4 acres, the other will be 4.15 acres, the

other will be about 2.25.

There is a Central Hudson line, an easement, running through this property.

The septic for the original lot was approved on the other side of that. The septic field has been put in and the pipe sleeve has been installed for that. We're proposing to use that same sleeve because they had to blast to get that through there, and Central Hudson really does not want them blasting underneath the power lines. Again, to that effect we'll put in an

1	GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION 28
2	easement so the force main for the proposed
3	additional lot, lot 9, can go through that same
4	sleeve, and because the septic for that would
5	also be on the other side of the power lines.
6	They'll be served by individual wells.
7	That's the extent of this application.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Comments
9	from our consultants. We'll start with Bryant
10	Cocks, Planning Consultant.
11	MR. COCKS: My first comment was
12	regarding the minimal buildable area box. That
13	needs to be shown around both houses. 10,000
14	square feet is required by Local Law 9 of 2010.
15	I took a look at it and it looks like
16	all the provisions can be met.
17	MR. BROWN: We have 14,750 on lot A and
18	22,000 and change on lot 9. That's excluding the
19	easements the driveway easements and the sewer
20	easements I was just talking about. I did check
21	that. Thank you for that. We do meet that. I
22	can put that on the plans so that it's documented
23	on the plans at the next submission. Thank you.
24	MR. COCKS: No variances will be
25	required for the project.

Τ	GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION 29
2	It meets all subdivision regulation
3	requirements. No waivers are being requested.
4	The common driveway on lot 9 is usually
5	along the property line but there's some steep
6	slopes along the property line. I would think
7	that a retaining wall would be needed if you guys
8	didn't use the current configuration.
9	MR. BROWN: It's shown with a six-foot
10	retaining wall.
11	MR. COCKS: Okay. The owner's
12	endorsement note must be signed before approval
13	can be granted.
14	The common driveway access and
15	maintenance agreement and also the sewer easement
16	must be submitted for review and approval.
17	The short form E.A.F. should be revised
18	to show that question 11 is checked yes and the
19	Central Hudson license agreement is being listed.
20	Even though you have that, it should still be
21	listed in the E.A.F.
22	That was about it.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John with McGoey,
24	Hauser & Edsall?
25	MR. SZAROWSKI: You need to check the

1	GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION 30
2	garage floor and finished floor elevations on lot
3	9.
4	MR. BROWN: That was off by ten feet.
5	It should be ten feet higher. Yup. Sorry about
6	that.
7	MR. SZAROWSKI: That's okay. These
8	things happen.
9	Pat Hines was concerned about the
10	proximity of the retaining wall to the driveway,
11	whether or not it was designed for truck traffic.
12	MR. BROWN: It wasn't. I will run that
13	design. The guardrail is a very good idea on
14	this.
15	MR. SZAROWSKI: And then of course
16	because of the retaining wall being part of the
17	required for part of the site, bonding for the
18	retaining wall will be required.
19	The timing of the construction of the
20	driveways is a concern because of the steep
21	slopes. We're going to make sure you spell that
22	out because there's extensive grading. And of
23	course, obviously, the retaining walls are
24	required.

You need the pipe size and inverts at

	51
2	the crossing, the driveway crossing. I guess Pat
3	Hines was asking to confirm that the sleeve
4	actually existed.
5	MR. BROWN: It is there. We'll confirm
6	we'll have the surveyor confirm the location.
7	MR. SZAROWSKI: Because of the
8	proximity of the houses to the setbacks, the
9	house and the septic, we need to have notes on
10	there stating that they need to be staked out.
11	MR. BROWN: Yup.
12	MR. SZAROWSKI: Again the common
13	maintenance driveway common driveway
14	maintenance agreement.
15	We need the surveyor of record on the
16	plans.
17	MR. BROWN: Charles Bohls did the
18	original survey. Believe it or not, he's still
19	around. He did the fill work and gave us a
20	markup, so we're on top of that.
21	MR. SZAROWSKI: That will be required
22	before it's filed.
23	A note should be added stating that
24	those notes are to be provided prior to the
25	certificate of occupancy.

1	GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION 3
2	Then there is a discrepancy on the
3	dosing for the pump station on lot 8.
4	MR. BROWN: I recalculated. That will
5	be 250 feet.
6	MR. SZAROWSKI: That's the extent of
7	our comments.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll discuss
9	Jerry Canfield, do you have anything to add to
10	this?
11	MR. CANFIELD: No. The only concern
12	that I had was the driveway by the retaining
13	wall. Charlie, if you could show the turning
14	radius and that a larger vehicle, especially a
15	fire truck, can make that swing to get up in
16	there. That driveway appears to be 300, 350
17	feet.
18	MR. BROWN: It is long. Yes.
19	MR. CANFIELD: One other question. On
20	the design of the retaining wall, is this your
21	design or is this a cut sheet?
22	MR. BROWN: No. I designed it based
23	upon I do have to add for surge load for the
24	trucks. I will amend that design. I did the
25	design.

1	GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION 33
2	MR. CANFIELD: We'll need the certified
3	engineered design.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Comments
5	from Board Members. Frank Galli?
6	MR. GALLI: Charlie, on the septic
7	design, I think I missed something, you're going
8	to have two separate septic systems?
9	MR. BROWN: Yes.
10	MR. GALLI: Where are they going to be
11	located?
12	MR. BROWN: The septic system for lot
13	A, this was lot A, the field is actually in
14	MR. GALLI: Past the Central Hudson
15	MR. BROWN: On the other side. That's
16	the way it was originally approved. The sleeve
17	was put in. Again, they had to blast for that.
18	There's another house there. The new septic will
19	be right next to that, again on the other side of
20	the power lines.
21	MR. GALLI: How is it going to get
22	there?
23	MR. BROWN: We have to use a large pump
24	because of the distance and the elevation. It
25	will travel through this easement, into the same

If you could possibly show us.

2	MR. BROWN: It's an interlocking block
3	wall. They're all over the place. One just
4	failed on the parking lot down by the river.
5	Similar to that. Again, there's an example that
6	the construction needs to be actually inspected
7	by an engineer because there were some issues
8	with that section that came down. That's the
9	style. They do come in different colors. I mean
10	if you want I can talk to the applicant and we
11	could bring in or take something out of the
12	catalog that shows the color.
13	MR. WARD: Just to have a reference to
14	it. Thank you.
15	MR. BROWN: No problem.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move from the
17	Board to grant conceptual approval for the two-
18	lot subdivision of Grove-Vite located on Curtain
19	Lane.
20	MR. WARD: So moved.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
22	John Ward. Do I have a second?
23	MR. FOGARTY: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A second by Tom
25	Fogarty. Any discussion of the motion?

1	LONGVIEW FARMS 36
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
4	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
5	MR. GALLI: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
8	MR. WARD: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So
10	carried. Thank you.
11	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We have two
13	items of Board Business and then we can wrap
14	it up.
15	MR. DONNELLY: Is that ready for a
16	declaration of significance at all?
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I guess they're
18	going to revise their plans and come back. There
19	are some more details we need.
20	
21	(Time noted: 7:30 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		38
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
3	In the Matter of	
4		
5	LONGVIEW FARMS	
6	(2006-39)	
7	Request for an Extension of Conditional Preliminary Approval	
8	ricriminary Approvar	
9	X	
10	BOARD BUSINESS	
11	Date: June 16, 2011	
12	Time: 7:30 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh	
13	Town Hall 1496 Route 300	
14	Newburgh, NY 12550	
15	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman	
16	FRANK S. GALLI KENNETH MENNERICH	
17	THOMAS P. FOGARTY JOHN A. WARD	
18	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.	
19	BRYANT COCKS JOHN R. SZAROWSKI	
20	KAREN ARENT GERALD CANFIELD	
21		
22	X	
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive	
24	Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)895-3018	
25	(010)000	

1	LONGVIEW FARMS 39
2	MR. FOGARTY: The first item under
3	Board Business is Longview Farms, project
4	number 2006-39.
5	The applicant is requesting an
6	extension of their conditional preliminary
7	approval. The approval will run from June
8	14, 2011 to December 14, 2011.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for
10	a motion to grant Longview Farms' extension.
11	MR. GALLI: So moved.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
14	Frank Galli and a second by Ken Mennerich. I'll
15	ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
16	Galli.
17	MR. GALLI: Aye.
18	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
19	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
20	MR. WARD: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye myself.
22	Carried.
23	Thank you.
24	
25	(Time noted: 7:32 p.m.)

1		41
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
3	X	
4	In the Matter of	
5	MOODETELD MANOD CUDDIVICTON DUACE IT	
6	WOODFIELD MANOR SUBDIVISION, PHASE II (1995-34)	
7	Request for an Extension of Conditional Preliminary Approval	
8	rreriminar, npprovar	
9	X	
10	BOARD BUSINESS	
11		
12	Date: June 16, 2011 Time: 7:32 p.m.	
13	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall	
14	1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550	
15		
16	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI	
17	KENNETH MENNERICH THOMAS P. FOGARTY	
18	JOHN A. WARD	
19	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ. BRYANT COCKS	
20	JOHN R. SZAROWSKI KAREN ARENT	
21	GERALD CANFIELD	
22		
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO	
24	10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589	
25	(845)895-3018 MR. FOGARTY: The final item under	

1	WOODFIELD MANOR SUBDIVISION, PHASE II 42
2	Board Business is Woodfield Manor
3	Subdivision, phase II, project number
4	1995-34.
5	The applicant is requesting an
6	extension of their conditional preliminary
7	approval. This approval will run from
8	July 16, 2011 to July 16, 2012.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
10	motion to grant that extension also.
11	MR. GALLI: So moved.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A motion by Frank
14	Galli. Again a second by Ken Mennerich. I'll ask
15	for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
16	MR. GALLI: Aye.
17	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
18	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
19	MR. WARD: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. Thank you.
21	I'll move for a motion to close the
22	Planning Board meeting of June 16, 2011.
23	MR. GALLI: So moved.
24	MR. FOGARTY: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by

1	43
2	Frank Galli and a second by Tom Fogarty. Roll
3	call vote starting with Frank Galli.
4	MR. GALLI: Aye.
5	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
6	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
7	MR. WARD: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So
9	carried.
10	
11	(Time noted: 7:34 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.2

DATED: July 21, 2011