1	-			
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD			
3	X In the Matter of			
4				
5				
6	CHADWICK LAKE/CROWN (VERIZON) (2014-13)			
7	490 Quaker Street			
8	Section 11; Block 1; Lot 143 AR Zone			
9	x			
10	SITE PLAN - WIRELESS COMMUNICATION			
11	Date: June 19, 2014			
12	Time: 7:00 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh			
13	Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550			
14	Newburgh, Nr 12550			
15	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman			
16	FRANK S. GALLI KENNETH MENNERICH			
17	DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD			
18				
19	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.  PATRICK HINES			
20	MICHAEL MUSSO			
21				
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: SCOTT OLSON			
23	X			
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive			
25	Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)895-3018			

1	CHADWICK LAKE/CROWN (VERIZON)	2
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good evening,	
3	ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to welcome you	
4	to the Town of Newburgh Planning Board meeting	
5	of the 19th of June.	
6	At this time I'll call for a roll	
7	call vote.	
8	MR. GALLI: Present.	
9	MR. MENNERICH: Present.	
10	MR. DOMINICK: Present.	
11	MR. WARD: Present.	
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present.	
13	We have consultants that work for the	
14	Planning Board. I'll ask that they introduce	
15	themselves.	
16	MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly,	
17	Planning Board Attorney.	
18	MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero,	
19	Stenographer.	
20	MR. HINES: Pat Hines with McGoey,	
21	Hauser & Edsall Consulting Engineers.	
22	MR. MUSSO: Mike Musso, HDR Wireless	
23	Communications.	
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point we'	11
25	turn the meeting over to John Ward.	

simply is we have other facilities in the area

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

but we're running into a capacity issue. not so much there's absolutely no coverage in the area for us. We do have some coverage. Our metrics basically are showing us our capacity is getting close to the limit. We've modified all the towers surrounding us to try to increase our capacity to the maximum extent possible. We're left with no other choice but a new facility. Luckily there's this tower that would fit right in with our network. If we can install the antennas and equipment there, we would boost our capacity tremendously. It will obviously help that immediate area. It will help the other areas where we have the other towers because we can pull those signals back a little bit. We look at it as a win-win. You don't get a new tower, we get to improve our network and provide for the increased demand that never seems to stop down in this area.

We're also giving you some of the specifications on the antennas. I think Mike reviewed them. Actually, Mike reviewed the draft application. We submitted that before we submitted the final to you. We went back and

that if moisture is detected between those walls

existing infrastructure.

Mike, would you advise the Board?

MR. MUSSO: Just to reiterate what the

applicant rep went through, this would be a

preferred site under the existing wireless

ordinance, not going into a new neighborhood for

a new tower or a new structure. This is using

It's important to note the existing monopole will not be increased in height. No lighting or anything like that would be added. There's three arrays on that tower now. This would be, if approved, a fourth array below the other ones.

A couple comments in that I have reviewed emergency generators in the past for office-type of development, not necessarily for cell towers. I'm familiar with the spec. The generator itself is built with the containment system. As the applicant rep mentioned, these sites, as you know, are monitored, Verizon, Sprint, Metro PCS, for example, are on this site. They do monitor signal, power, frequency and any problems. Of course it's the carrier's incentive to correct them quickly — to detect them and

2.3

correct them quickly. The emergency generator would be tied into that system as well. Yes, it's within the existing shelter. It's enclosed, not out in the open. I will certainly discuss the spec with Jerry Canfield as well to make sure he's comfortable about it or if there's any other notifications. Yeah, we are seeing this more, just anecdotally after Super Storm Sandy.

I can tell you what the city is doing in terms of redundancy, and many municipalities and carriers. The idea of having your cell phones down in a bad situation weather wise or any other kind of event, is something that the wireless carriers are very interested in remedying. Generators are not atypical at these types of facilities. Again, I'm familiar with the spec. They'll run only during an emergency, and usually one day a week they'll be fired up just to make sure that they can run and that they're in good working condition when and if they are needed.

A couple other things that we'll review, and we'll of course put this into a tech memo or letter report prior to the next meeting

on this. There's twelve panel antennas. The size and shape are very common to what's up on that tower now with the other providers. I think it's a good point about color matching, not only the cables that will run along the outside of the monopole but also the support structure that's being proposed, and the color of the panel antennas as well.

At the ground the equipment shelter is about 30 foot by 12 foot in dimensions and about 11 feet tall, consistent with the ground- based equipment that's there now. So those colors and textures we could finalize and make recommendations for that as well.

We've looked a little bit at the structural analysis and there is a certification which we always look for on the applicant's end by a New York State PE. Because this is a colocation we're going to look at that a little bit closer, at the design assumptions and things like that. Between now and the time that our tech memo is issued, I'll probably have some clarifications -- some last questions or clarifications for the applicant rep, especially

2.3

with regard to the structural issue and also with regard to the need for the site. You heard tonight that it's really based on the capacity and other neighboring sites really being overstressed. The applicant has submitted a very thorough radiofrequency analysis showing those trends, and I do believe the site is justified but it's not the typical gap in coverage that you're used to reviewing when a new site or new equipment comes on board or is being proposed.

We did issue seven points before the final application was filed about a week ago.

All of those were addressed by the applicant. We asked a little bit about the configuration of the ground-based area, how it fits into the existing fencing. You heard tonight it's a little bit of a bump out, a change in that area.

We did ask about the generator. We wanted to see a specification on that and a couple clarifications on the plans, including how the cables would be routed.

So to our satisfaction we think that the application is certainly comprehensive and we're ready to finalize our findings report for

1	CHADWICK LAKE/CROWN (VERIZON) 15
2	MR. HINES: I would need a set to send.
3	I received one.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you have a
5	set
6	MR. OLSON: I do.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: we can circulate
8	to the Orange County Planning Department?
9	Mike, we can make a SEQRA determination
10	now or would you wait?
11	MR. DONNELLY: I think you could. Is
12	part II of the EAF filled out? I haven't seen
13	it. If not, it should wait until we've filled
14	out part II. From what we discussed at work
15	session, there are no significant adverse impacts
16	present from the site.
17	MR. OLSON: It's not completed.
18	MR. DONNELLY: We'll need to do that.
19	We'll put off the declaration of significance.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point we'll
21	move for a motion to set the Chadwick Lake/Crown
22	(Verizon) site plan application located on Quaker
23	Road for a public hearing on the 19th of July.
24	MR. GALLI: So moved.
25	MR. WARD: Second.

1	CHADWICK LAKE/CROWN (VERIZON) 16
2	MR. HINES: The 17th.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The 17th. Excuse
4	me. I have a motion by Frank Galli. A second
5	by?
6	MR. WARD: John Ward.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward. I'll
8	ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
9	Galli.
10	MR. GALLI: Aye.
11	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
12	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
13	MR. WARD: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
15	carried.
16	Pat, can we package the public hearing
17	notice and the informational letter together?
18	MR. HINES: Yes. We'll do the same,
19	similar to the next public hearing.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: If you'll work with
21	Pat Hines, he'll help you walk through the
22	notification.
23	MR. OLSON: Will do.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
25	Any additional comments or questions?

1	CHADWICK LAKE/CROWN (VERIZON) 17
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
4	I'll move for a motion to circulate it
5	to the Orange County Planning Department.
6	MR. DOMINICK: So moved.
7	MR. GALLI: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
9	Dave Dominick. I have a second by John Ward
10	excuse me, by Frank Galli. Any discussion of the
11	motion?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
14	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
15	MR. GALLI: Aye.
16	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
17	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
18	MR. WARD: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So
20	carried.
21	Thank you.
22	
23	(Time noted: 7:13 p.m.)
24	
25	

1		18
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: July 14, 2014	
24		

ELM FARM SUBDIVISION

1

25

The access for the parent parcel is off

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

water lines. The sewer will be extended out to Wells Road. The last couple lots near the entrance to Fostertown will be -- sewer will be individual pump stations for those lots.

This project had a full stormwater pollution prevention plan done. It's in a residential R-2 Zone.

It received preliminary approval I believe in November of 2012. Since that time we've had applications to Orange County Health Department and received realty subdivision, and we received approval for the water main extension. We also met with the Army Corp of Engineers and obtained a jurisdictional

1			
2			

sidewalks are in front of what is a drainage district lot, so there won't be a residential -- even if the Town made an ordinance that the residential lots front the sidewalk, you have to maintain them. There's an issue outstanding with that as well as two of the lots are not party to this subdivision right now but the road runs alongside and in front of. That issue with the sidewalk needs to be addressed with the Town and the Town Board.

The other comment that needs to be discussed with the Board is the phasing of the project, whether this is going to be a traditionally phased project or if it's construction phase. I did note you provided three separate bond estimates. Normally for a phased project we would have one bond estimate at a time as the project came in phasing. I don't know if you can talk to the Board about the plans for the developer to phase this.

MR. EWALD: I think the intention was if he could file it in three separate phases.

That's why we prepared the three bond estimates.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That makes sense.

MR. HINES: Just what will happen is if phase I is the phase you're filing, that bond estimate will be signed off now. We're not going to approve bond estimates that may be years down the road. If that's the case, we'll look at it that way. You'll need preliminary on the entire.

MR. DONNELLY: He has preliminary on the entire. You have to submit a map just for phase I because that's all you could file.

MR. EWALD: Okay. I wasn't sure how it was handled in this municipality. In the past we've received conditional final on the plans we presented and then we would receive, you know, a final approval on each phase as we -- as we --

MR. DONNELLY: The problem is the bonding is a moving target. If it's years down the road, costs change. I don't think the Board would be inclined to grant final for all four phases. We'd grant you final for the phase you want to start, bond that. In all likelihood, by then you would have satisfied all the conditions and it should be a pro forma approval but for the updating of the bonding.

MR. HINES: The other issue is the

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward, do you

1	ELM FARM SUBDIVISION 2
2	have anything?
3	MR. WARD: If you're phasing, what are
4	you doing in reference to the infrastructure??
5	MR. EWALD: This is a little tough to
6	see. There's a second sheet of the plan set.
7	The first phase was going to be the main road,
8	Blackdon Court which connects from Fostertown to
9	Wells Road, and that's where our water service
10	would go from. It loops those two roads. Then
11	the main sewer trunk line would be along there.
12	It also contains the stormwater infrastructure
13	that would serve all those lots. So the first
14	phase would be basically right through here, and
15	then phase II would just be a small portion
16	because this is a high point along Tamarack Drive
17	and it splits in grade this way and this way. So
18	this sewer and stormwater flows around over to
19	here and this comes down to here. So this would
20	be the next section, and all of that would be
21	served by the existing infrastructure already pu
22	in, and then the final phase.

23 MR. WARD: Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?

25 MR. MENNERICH: On phases II and III,

the last Tuesday of this month?

1	ELM FARM SUBDIVISION 31
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Second by Ken
3	Mennerich. Any discussion of the motion?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll ask for a roll
6	call vote starting with Frank Galli.
7	MR. GALLI: Aye.
8	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
9	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
10	MR. WARD: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
12	carried.
13	Look hard for the City flow acceptance
14	letter.
15	MR. EWALD: I found it in our file, so
16	I'll provide a copy.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Great. Good.
18	Thank you very much.
19	Just for our convenience, would you
20	make a copy and a point of e-mailing it to the
21	Planning Board office?
22	MR. EWALD: Certainly.
23	
24	(Time noted: 7:24 p.m.)
25	

1		32
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		-
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: July 14, 2014	
24		

Wallkill, New York 12589

(845)895 - 3018

MR. CRAWFORD: I thought he did when he

25

action.

1	LANDS OF CRAWFORD 39
2	MR. GALLI: Aye.
3	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
4	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
5	MR. WARD: Aye.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
7	motion to grant final approval for the lot line
8	change subject to the conditions presented to us
9	by Planning Board Attorney, Mike Donnelly.
10	MR. GALLI: So moved.
11	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
13	Frank Galli and a second by Ken Mennerich. Any
14	discussion of the motion?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
17	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
18	MR. GALLI: Aye.
19	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
20	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
21	MR. WARD: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
23	Thank you.
24	
25	(Time noted: 7:31 p.m.)

1		40
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		-
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: July 14, 2014	
24		

25

1 ZERO LOT LINE 42

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We have two items
of Board Business this evening. We'll let Mike
Donnelly discuss with us the zero lot line the
Town has developed. It's a zoning amendment.

MR. DONNELLY: The Polar Club applicant has approached the Town Board and requested that the Town Board consider revising it's zoning chapter provisions to allow multi-family housing development with what they are calling, it makes sense, zero lot lines. The concept is rather than have condominium style ownership, there be fee ownership. Under the code today it wouldn't be permitted because it wouldn't satisfy the various bulk table setback requirements of the code. To the applicant it makes a more marketable project because financing of the units by purchasers is much easier, and they point out, and I think Mark Taylor echoed this in his own letter, that the Town may well benefit because the method of imposing real property taxes generally results in higher revenues to the Town for fee ownership than it does for condominium ownership.

The concept is set forth in a letter

1 ZERO LOT LINE 43

2.3

dated February 3rd to the Town Board. There are no real specifics as to how they would achieve the objective. They did make reference to the Town of New Windsor which has, they say, done this already. Perhaps one could look at their code to see how they have accomplished it.

The referral to you is not based upon an actual local law but merely the concept, and the Town Board wishes to know whether you have any comments or whether you look on the change favorably.

We discussed it briefly at work session. By and large it does not implicate much in the way of planning because the project would look identical from the outside whether it was condominium ownership or there were lot lines on a piece of paper. It would require subdivision approval in the case of zero lot line multifamily housing whereas when you have a condominium style development it is site plan approval only.

If you wish me to deliver any comments to the Town Board, whether thumbs up or thumbs down, or any concerns, I'd be happy to write the

1	ZERO LOT LINE 44
2	letter for you.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat, do you have
4	anything to add?
5	MR. HINES: I know several
6	municipalities that do it that way.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Questions, Frank
8	Galli?
9	MR. GALLI: I don't have any.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff Browne?
11	MR. BROWNE: No.
12	MR. MENNERICH: On that concept, when
13	you have multiple buildings how do the is
14	there provisions in that kind of a code that
15	there's going to be certain spacing between the
16	buildings?
17	MR. DONNELLY: There's lots of ways to
18	do it. Pat mentioned earlier, and I've seen some
19	developments that actually leave a portion of the
20	yard in front of or behind the building to be
21	owned by the individual homeowner so that they
22	can have a garden or some space and lawn to sit
23	in. Of course all of the remaining land that's
24	not dedicated to the zero lot line units would
25	still have to be owned by some type of homeowners

1	ZERO LOT LINE 45
2	association. I think there's lots of ways to
3	skin the apple.
4	MR. HINES: I would imagine your zoning
5	provisions would prevail. Your rear building
6	setbacks and such would still
7	MR. DONNELLY: The buildings
8	themselves. I'm sorry.
9	MR. MENNERICH: Okay.
10	MR. HINES: those would still, I'm
11	assuming, remain.
12	MR. DONNELLY: The project would not
13	change at all. It's compliant now. The site
14	plan would not change at all. We would simply
15	drop a subdivision map on top of it where the
16	lines would follow the common walls between the
17	units.
18	MR. MENNERICH: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave Dominick?
20	MR. DOMINICK: No.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?
22	MR. WARD: No.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would the Board
24	like to have Mike Donnelly prepare a letter in
25	one form or another to forward on to the Town

1	ZERO LOT LINE 46
2	Board?
3	MR. GALLI: Sure.
4	MR. BROWNE: Yes.
5	MR. MENNERICH: Yes.
6	MR. DOMINICK: Yes.
7	MR. WARD: Yes.
8	MR. DONNELLY: Favorable without
9	particular comment or is there anything you want
10	to add?
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What would the
12	Board like it to read?
13	MR. GALLI: Just the overlay, we're in
14	favor of it and there's no problem.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any specifics that
16	anyone wants to add to that?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay.
19	(Time noted: 7:35 p.m.)
20	(Time resumed: 7:37 p.m.)
21	MR. MENNERICH: On the Zero lot line,
22	the concept of having an area for a garden, I
23	suppose depending on how the lines were spaced.
24	Also there could be a patio if it was on the
25	ground level, that kind of thing. I can I can

1	ZERO LOT LINE 4/
2	see where owners would like some of those
3	provisions, it gives them some flexibility, but
4	it also could make the physical appearance of the
5	whole project stand out by somebody that's, you
6	know, doing something that's ridiculous.
7	MR. DONNELLY: You wouldn't have a
8	cohesive planting plan, you'd have everybody with
9	their own front yard plantings. So you would
LO	recommend against it?
11	MR. HINES: A bunch of pink flamingoes
12	on the ground.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think what he's
L 4	saying is the concept of an outdoor living space
15	should be looked at as far as the pros and cons
16	of it.
L7	MR. MENNERICH: Yeah, yeah. I think we
18	should alert the Town Board to the fact that
19	MR. DONNELLY: The potential pitfall in
20	doing it. I'll mention that.
21	MR. HINES: You can do it without doing
22	that, too. You can use the front wall of the
23	building as the zero.
24	MR. MENNERICH: We really don't know
25	whether they're asking the the developer is

1	ZERO LOT LINE 48
2	asking for just that or
3	MR. DONNELLY: There's no specifics.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A project that has
5	been built that does have a zero lot line is up
6	where Pat Hines' office is. It was just recently
7	built out by Baker Brothers.
8	MR. HINES: The Grove.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The Grove. It was
10	a remarkable project actually that was built out
11	in almost the course of a year.
12	MR. HINES: It's probably been two
13	years but they have been selling units even when
14	nothing else was selling. They're just finishing
15	up some of the final buildings now.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What would be a
17	good street for that to approach if they were
18	interested in looking at it?
19	MR. HINES: It's Airport Center Drive.
20	If you go into the airport as if you're going to
21	the terminal and you make the first left and come
22	up the hill, you'll hit it.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: First left or first
24	right?
25	MR. HINES: If you come in from the

1		49
2	terminal.	
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're right.	
4	You're right. All right.	
5		
6	(Time noted: 7:39 p.m.)	
7		
8	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
9		
LO	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
11	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
L2	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
13	that I recorded stenographically the	
L 4	proceedings herein at the time and place	
L5	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
L 6	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
L7	transcript of same to the best of my	
L 8	knowledge and belief.	
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	DATED: July 14, 2014	

25

(845)895-3018

25

1	DOMINIGUES - HICKORY HILL 51
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The last item under
3	Board Business is the lands of Hickory Hill,
4	Section 46, Block 1 Dominigues, thank you.
5	Dominigues, Hickory Hill, project 2011-17.
6	The applicant is requesting a
7	ninety-day extension from June 6, 2014 to the
8	6th of September 2014.
9	MR. GALLI: So moved.
10	MR. WARD: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
12	Frank Galli, a second by John Ward. Any
13	discussion of the motion?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
16	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
17	MR. GALLI: Aye.
18	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
19	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
20	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
21	MR. WARD: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So
23	carried.
24	We do have a meeting the day before
25	July 4th. We do have right now two public

1	DOMINIGUES - HICKORY HILL 52
2	hearings scheduled. Sorry about that.
3	(Time noted: 7:37 p.m.)
4	(Discussion on the Zero Lot Line
5	took place.)
6	(Time resumed: 7:39 p.m.)
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
8	motion to close the Planning Board meeting of
9	June 19th.
10	MR. GALLI: So moved.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A motion by Frank
12	Galli.
13	MR. WARD: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A second by John
15	Ward. I'll move for a roll call vote starting
16	with Frank Galli.
17	MR. GALLI: Aye.
18	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
19	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
20	MR. FOGARTY: Aye.
21	MR. WARD: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
23	(Time noted: 7:40 p.m.)
24	
25	

1		53
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
8	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
9	that I recorded stenographically the	
10	proceedings herein at the time and place	
11	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
12	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
13	transcript of same to the best of my	
14	knowledge and belief.	
15		
16		
17		
18		_
19		
20		
21		
22	DATED: July 14, 2014	
23		
24		
25		