1	POTTER'S RIDGE 2
2	MR. BROWNE: Good evening, ladies and
3	gentlemen. Welcome to the Town of Newburgh
4	Planning Board meeting of September 20, 2012.
5	At this time I'll call the meeting to
6	order with a roll call vote starting with Frank
7	Galli.
8	MR. GALLI: Present.
9	MR. BROWNE: Present.
10	MR. MENNERICH: Present.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present.
12	MR. PROFACI: Here.
13	MR. WARD: Present.
14	MR. BROWNE: The Planning Board has
15	professional experts that provide reviews and
16	input on the business before us, including SEQRA
17	determinations as well as code and planning
18	details. At this point I would ask them to
19	introduce themselves.
20	MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly,
21	Planning Board Attorney.
22	MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero,
23	Stenographer.
24	MR. CANFIELD: Jerry Canfield, Town of
25	Newburgh Code Compliance Supervisor.

1	POTTER'S RIDGE
2	MR. HINES: Pat Hines with McGoey,
3	Hauser & Edsall Consulting Engineers.
4	MR. COCKS: Bryant Cocks, Planning
5	Consultant.
6	MR. MUSSO: Mike Musso with HDR,
7	Telecommunications Consultant.
8	MR. BROWNE: I'll turn the meeting over
9	to Joe Profaci.
10	MR. PROFACI: Please join us in the
11	Pledge.
12	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
13	MR. PROFACI: If you have any
14	electronic communication devices, would you
15	please switch them off. Thank you.
16	MR. BROWNE: The first item of business
17	we have this evening is Potter's Ridge, project
18	number 2012-15. This is a two-lot residential
19	subdivision and lot line change being presented
20	by Jim Raab.
21	MR. RAAB: We have taken into
22	consideration everything and made the changes to
23	the plan that were requested. We are scheduled
24	for a workshop meeting with the Town Board about
2.5	the three lets on a common driveway. We now

1	POTTER'S RIDGE
2	changed it so all three lots have frontage on
3	Leslie Road, and they're going to turn into a
4	common driveway with the three lots accessing.
5	That's pretty much it. We made all the changes
6	that both Bryant and Pat asked for.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. Bryant
8	Cocks, Planning Consultant?
9	MR. COCKS: As Jim mentioned, they did
10	make all the requested changes.
11	A stamped survey sheet will need to be
12	provided with the final plan set and the
13	buildable area requirement shown on the plans.
14	Other than that, I have nothing additional.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines?
16	MR. HINES: Our first comment has to do
17	with the note on the plans that says that the
18	Thurston lot will be connected to Town water
19	prior to the issuance of a CO. I think it's lot
20	2. At the work session we discussed this, and
21	with input from Mike Donnelly we feel the
22	Thurston lot should be connected to Town water
23	prior to filing of the map because the mechanism
24	to get them to connect to Town water could be

years away if there's no CO or building permit.

1	POTTER'S RIDGE 5
2	There's really no method for the owner of the
3	future new lot to require the Thurstons to
4	connect at any point in time. It could be a
5	significant issue.
6	MR. RAAB: The only problem with that
7	is the plan is to do them both at the same time.
8	MR. HINES: Once you file a map, we
9	don't have that control.
10	MR. DONNELLY: Apart from the control,
11	the lot only qualifies size wise if it has a
12	water connection. So how can we allow you to
13	file a map when it hasn't done the thing that
14	qualifies it for approval?
15	MR. RAAB: I have no issue with that.
16	We just thought that the what we put on the
17	map we thought was sufficient. Obviously it's
18	not.
19	MR. DONNELLY: You can always do the
20	improvements and then file the map.
21	MR. RAAB: He could do that, too.
22	Okay. So then we've got to do it that way. So
23	we have to put a note on the map that says before
24	we file the map, the water
25	MR. DONNELLY: You don't need a note.

1	POTTER'S RIDGE 6
2	MR. HINES: It can be a condition of
3	approval. Leave it as a note. The map won't be
4	filed until it's done.
5	MR. DONNELLY: You can get an approval
6	from the Board.
7	MR. RAAB: Can the service be brought
8	there? Do they have to connect to it if the
9	service is there?
LO	MR. HINES: They have to connect.
11	There's an existing residence. If it was just a
12	vacant lot, the service being available is fine.
13	Because there's a structure
L 4	MR. RAAB: I'm asking all the
15	questions.
16	MR. HINES: You're trying hard.
L7	MR. RAAB: You have to try. All right.
18	So that's a condition we're going to have to live
L 9	with if we're going to do it this way. So it has
20	to be connected before
21	MR. HINES: Filing the map.
22	MR. RAAB: before the filing of the
23	map.
24	MR. HINES: You can get a conditional
25	final approval with at least one of the

1	POTTER'S RIDGE 7
2	conditions being the Thurston lot will be
3	connected, that way it will meet zoning.
4	Otherwise if you filed it now it would be
5	nonconforming.
6	MR. RAAB: Okay.
7	MR. HINES: It would be a nonconforming
8	lot which we couldn't approve.
9	The other note is the balance of the
LO	parcel doesn't have the topography that's
11	required to be shown. We're suggesting the
12	Planning Board waive that requirement. There are
13	no proposed improvements to the back of the
L 4	balance parcel. It would be a futile effort to
15	show that.
L 6	Our last comment is the septic system
L7	has been revised per our previous comments and is
18	now acceptable. That's all we have.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield,
20	Code Compliance?
21	MR. CANFIELD: I don't have anything
22	additional. The consultants covered it already.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Board Members.
24	Frank Galli?
) 5	MP CALLY No We covered it

1	POTTER'S RIDGE 8
2	MR. BROWNE: Nothing more.
3	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
4	MR. PROFACI: No.
5	MR. WARD: No.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll
7	move for a motion from the Board to grant
8	conceptual approval for the two-lot residential
9	subdivision and lot line change for Potter's
10	Ridge, we declare a negative declaration and set
11	it for October 18th.
12	Is that the correct date, Bryant?
13	MR. COCKS: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: October 18th for a
15	public hearing.
16	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
17	MR. GALLI: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
19	Ken Mennerich. I have a second by Frank Galli.
20	Any discussion of the motion?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
23	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
24	MR. GALLI: Aye.
25	MR. BROWNE: Aye.

1	POTTER'S RIDGE	9
2	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.	
3	MR. PROFACI: Aye.	
4	MR. WARD: Aye.	
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So	
6	carried.	
7	Bryant, would you remind Jim Raab, as	
8	far as the notice, now we're covering 500 feet	
9	for public hearing notices.	
10	MR. RAAB: Thank you very much.	
11		
12	(Time noted: 7:05 p.m.)	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		10
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		_
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: October 9, 2012	
24		

1	1	11
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD	
3	X In the Matter of	
4		
5	MASTER DEVELOPERS, LLC (2012-20)	
6	River Road	
7	Section 9; Block 3; Lots 53.21, 53.22 & 53.23 R-1 Zone	
8	X	
9	LOT LINE CHANGE	
10	Date: September 20, 2012 Time: 7:05 p.m.	
11	Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall	
12	1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550	
13	Nonzargii, Ni 12000	
14	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman	
15	FRANK S. GALLI CLIFFORD C. BROWNE	
16	KENNETH MENNERICH JOSEPH E. PROFACI	
17	JOHN A. WARD	
18	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ. BRYANT COCKS	
19	PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIELD	
20	MICHAEL MUSSO	
21	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: RICHARD SPISTO	
22	X	
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive	
24	Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)895-3018	
25		

MR. BROWNE: The next item of business we have is Master Developers, LLC, project number 2012-20. This is a lot line change being represented by Richard Spisto.

Did I say that right?

MR. SPISTO: Yes. Good evening. This is an existing three-lot subdivision on River Road. It's located on the west side of River Road, about 500 feet south of Oak Street.

We're proposing to take this from a three-lot to a two-lot. The original subdivision I brought before this Board in, I believe it was the early part of 2006. I was granted approval I believe in January of 2007. We met all zoning. There were no special permits. Environmental issues were none either. We complied with a rather simple three-lot subdivision on the property.

The new subdivision, in essence now we're giving more space to the property. I think it's more amenable to the actual location and the area which it's in, which is Balmville.

Pretty much the engineering from the original lot and the original approved

alternate septic system on the new lot --

other resolution earlier and there was that

MR. SPISTO: Quite frankly, the houses are much more spread apart now, so it's a lot easier to develop both of these lots without disturbing the other. If you need it, fine.

MR. HINES: You're going to need it for the driveway. The previous subdivision had the existing structure on lot 2 to be removed.

MR. SPISTO: Yes.

MR. HINES: This one now has kind of an option, it can stay or it can be removed.

MR. SPISTO: It's pretty much probably going to stay. Yes, it had the option if we built a new home, to tear that one down, then we would pretty much employ the new septic system shown with the possible backup over there. If not, this house will stay. I'm kind of planning on renovating the existing septic field.

MR. HINES: That leads to the question where is the existing septic field?

almost the same, if I was going to build on lot 1

1	MASTER DEVELOPERS, LLC 17
2	or 2, the original two first lots, or 2 and 3,
3	pardon me, the house had to come down first.
4	It's understood if I'm going to build a new home
5	the house is coming down.
6	MR. HINES: There is a note to that
7	effect.
8	MR. SPISTO: I believe so.
9	MR. HINES: There is. I was more
10	concerned with where the septic is. If the house
11	stays on lot 1 and grades across lot 2, I didn't
12	want the septic
13	MR. SPISTO: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from Board
15	Members?
16	MR. GALLI: No additional.
17	MR. BROWNE: No.
18	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
19	MR. PROFACI: Nothing.
20	MR. WARD: No questions.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, as Bryant had
22	said, we have to make a SEQRA determination.
23	MR. DONNELLY: Right.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then we're moving
25	toward granting approval for the lot line change

2	for	Master	Developers.

21

22

23

24

25

Can you give us the language for 3 granting that approval in the resolution? 5 MR. DONNELLY: Sure. We're going to need sign-off letters from both Bryant and Pat for the items they just mentioned in their memos. 7 I'm going to leave the condition requiring the 9 cross-grading easement as a checklist. I took it 10 right out of the old resolution. Then the 11 standard conditions for the lot line, that you 12 must confirm there are no buried utilities, and 13 that ties into the septic field in the area. 14 You're going to have to file a map with the Real 15 Property Tax Service. The map will have to show 16 accurate acreage determinations, metes and 17 bounds. We're going to need, as the code says, one mylar and ten copies. There's a procedure 18 19 set forth in the code, and the resolution recites what those are. 20

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard the conditions of approval for the lot line change for Master Developers, I'll move for a negative declaration and also those conditions for approval.

1	MASTER DEVELOPERS, LLC	20
2	e-mail address before you leave.	
3	MR. SPISTO: Thank you.	
4		
5	(Time noted: 7:12 p.m.)	
6		
7		
8	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
9		
10	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
11	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
12	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
13	that I recorded stenographically the	
14	proceedings herein at the time and place	
15	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
16	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
17	transcript of same to the best of my	
18	knowledge and belief.	
19		
20		
21		_
22		
23		
24	DATED: October 9, 2012	

MR. BROWNE: The next two items we have on our agenda are both public hearings. Prior to introducing those I was going to ask Mike

Donnelly to give us a brief overview of what a public hearing is for and its purpose.

MR. DONNELLY: Both items on tonight's agenda are public hearings for cell tower applications, to change equipment on existing towers. The Planning Board holds public hearings before it takes action in order to hear from the public and make sure that the Board hasn't missed an issue that you, the members of the public, are aware of by virtue of living in the vicinity of the location.

What will happen is after the applicant is asked to give a brief presentation of the project, the Chairman will call upon those members of the public that wish to be heard. We would ask that you raise your hand. You will be recognized by the Chairman. If you would, step forward to speak, give your name, spell it if you could for our Stenographer, tell us where you live in relation to the project, and direct your comments or questions to the Board. If the

questions can be answered easily either by the applicant's representative or one of the Town's technical representatives, they will do that.

MR. BROWNE: The first one is Sprint/
Nextel on Quaker Street. This is project number
2012-16. It's a public hearing on a site plan
and special use permit being presented by --

MR. MOSS: I'm evidently not Cara. My name is Adam Moss and I'm here on Cara's behalf from the law firm of Snyder & Snyder, LLP in Tarrytown.

MR. BROWNE: Before we start I'm going to ask Ken Mennerich to read the notice of hearing, followed by Frank Galli with the status of the mailings.

MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of hearing,
Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please take
notice that the Planning Board of the Town of
Newburgh, Orange County, New York will hold a
public hearing pursuant to the Municipal Code of
the Town of Newburgh, Chapter 185-57 Section K
and Chapter 168-16 Section A, on the application
of Sprint Quaker Street for a site plan and
special use permit for the installation of

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

letter. There was one additional -- I'm not sure who I should submit it to. One additional letter that confirms a certified letter was received.

That was not able to be delivered.

6 I'll be brief as we have two

applications that are coming up. This is an application to modify equipment on a 150-foot tower at 409 Quaker Street. I'm not sure if everybody has plans in front of them. I just want to clearly state at the outset there's no new tower. No new additional towers on top of what's been previously approved. This is an upgrade of Sprint's equipment. Actually, in this particular case there will be a reduction in the amount of antennas at the tower. Four panel antennas are existing. We're going to be going down to three. At the base of the tower, within the existing fenced area, there won't be any significant changes, just the replacement of a couple cabinets and one small battery cabinet.

Very briefly, just pursuant to the

Federal Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of TRA, a

local Government may not deny and shall approve

any location of an existing tower or base

right in the definition.

station, and that's provided if not substantially being changed. We submitted application materials which explain why this application fits that description. We're not increasing the height of the tower, we're reducing the number of antennas, there's not going to be significant changes to the cabinets at the base of the facility. We think that this application fits

Very briefly, we submitted in support of this application, and at the request of Mr.

Musso, FCC licenses, a structural report, plans, a short EAF and an RF statement which explains the need for the facility. At this point, certainly any comments from the public, or if Mr.

Musso has anything to add, you know, we would be happy to hear and respond to any questions.

Mr. Musso did submit a letter dated September 14th which indicated that the application was complete, and it's my understanding that the County Planning Board hasn't had the thirty days yet to review the application. I understand there won't be a decision tonight.

So, being, at the close of everything
we would respectfully request, if it's possible,
to reach a SEQRA determination and close the
public hearing if there are no further concerns.

I would be happy to cede the floor at this point.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is there anyone in
the audience this evening who has any questions
or comments as far as the presentation that Mr.

Moss has made for the panel changeover on Quaker
Street, would you raise your hand and give your
name and your address?

(No response.)

13 (No res

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. At this point we'll turn to our Telecommunication Consultant, Mike Musso.

MR. MUSSO: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board and Members of the Public, thanks for having me back. It's been a little while, probably ten or twelve months, but I'm sure this Board recalls going through some similar upgrade applications. This is really in the realm of wireless communication providers in our area changing their service, increasing the type of operation and type of network they have and what

2.3

they are offering to consumers. I use the term here of LTE or long term evolution. Sprint is one of those carriers that services our region. We're seeing this not only in Newburgh but in several municipalities around New York, and certainly broad areas throughout the country as well.

The facility, as mentioned, is a 150foot monopole. This is the one across from the
Plattekill service area on the Thruway. I
remember working on this for some of the original
co-locations many years ago.

We did review the application that came in. We did request some additional points for clarification. We're satisfied that everything is submitted and received.

Some of the key things that we looked at were confirmed. Aesthetically there was really no change, or no noticeable change that anyone would see driving by a facility or living in proximity to the tower. I know the tower is fairly well buffered from homes in the area. There's some decent separation distance there, as you know.

2.3

There's currently four panel antennas that are about 4 1/2 to 6 feet in length. At the top spot of the 150-foot pole the applicant will reduce them to three more advanced antennas to keep up with the technology, and the spectrum, and speed and what not.

Aside from that, there's also something called radiohead units, kind of a newer term.

These are smaller boxes. They're about 18 inches in length. They're mounted behind the antennas.

Our feeling is that there's really no noticeable change in the visual appearance of the tower in any way. They're losing one panel antenna.

Again, no lighting, no increase in onthe-ground equipment.

Sprint, like the other carriers, have a dedicated area within a fenced-in compound. The fenced-in compound will not change at all. Some of the equipment cabinets are being upgraded in the same way the panel antennas are. Again, we don't see any visual impacts or things of note.

Consistent with other upgrade reviews that we've conducted, we did receive a certification that the tower can withstand these

changes. That came from a New York State
professional engineer who certified the design,
the foundation of the pole structure itself. As
we stated, we reviewed that certification and
we're happy to provide that to be on file here.
Again, there's actually less weight that would be
on that pole and less stress. We have that
certification from the New York State PE.

I personally reviewed the calculations for radiofrequency emissions in the area. That monopole has always been in compliance with the standards.

One of the clarifications was about these new, higher technology antennas, if they change in any way in the power that they emit radiofrequency at. I did review those calculations and confirmed that we're going to be well below the general public maximum permissible exposure level.

Upon completing our review we put a short memo report together that goes through essentially what I had stated, and I included some of the similar recommendations that we've had on other upgrades, noting that fencing in the

2	ground-based equipment will be maintained, the
3	area will stay secure and in compliance, not only
4	with the Town but also with the Federal
5	Communications Commission. We also recommend
6	color mapping of all visible elements to match
7	the grayish monopole that's there. So the
8	replacement antennas should do the same thing,
9	but also the radiohead units and any cabling that
10	might be visible up near the top of the antenna.
11	Again, we don't feel that's significant.
12	I know Mike Donnelly may have some
13	additional conditions based on what we've done
14	and how we've handled upgrade reviews in the
15	past, but to me this one seems fairly
16	straightforward for the reasons I've mentioned.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, do you have
18	other conditions or do you want to
19	MR. DONNELLY: I've tracked the ones
20	from Mike's report. We have one final condition
21	we include in all of them, and that simply says
22	no construction other than that which is shown or
23	the plans. No other facilities may be
24	constructed without additional approval from the
25	Board.

hearing and maybe temporarily, you know, put it

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL - QUAKER STREET 36
2	Planning Department for our meeting of October
3	4th, then we'll reschedule it for Board Business
4	on the meeting of the 18th.
5	MR. GALLI: So moved.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
8	Frank Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
9	Any discussion of the motion?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
12	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
13	MR. GALLI: Aye.
14	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
15	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
16	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
17	MR. WARD: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So
19	carried.
20	MR. MOSS: Thank you.
21	
22	(Time noted: 7:26 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1		37
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: October 9, 2012	
24		

(845)895-3018

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROWNE: The next item of business is Sprint/Nextel. This one is on North Plank Road, project number 2012-17. Again it's a public hearing for a site plan and special use permit. Again we have Adam Moss.

I will ask Ken Mennerich to read the notice of hearing and Frank Galli to follow with the status of the notice of mailings.

MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of hearing, Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please take notice that the Planning Board of the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York will hold a public hearing pursuant to the Municipal Code of the Town of Newburgh, Chapter 185-57 Section K and Chapter 168-16 Section A, on the application of Sprint North Plank Road, for a site plan and special permit for the installation of cellular phone antennas on the side of the water tower on the Mid-Valley Mall site on premises 39 North Plank Road, (water tank), in the Town of Newburgh, designated on Town Tax Map as Section 75, Block 1, Lot 11, B Zone. Said hearing will be held on the 20th day of September at the Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300, Newburgh,

New York at 7 p.m. at which time all interested

3 persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

By order of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board.

John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning Board Town

of Newburgh. Dated August 30, 2012."

MR. GALLI: The public hearing notice was published in The Mid-Hudson Times and The Sentinel. 115 notices were mailed out, 78 came back signed, 6 were undeliverable. The notice of hearings are all in order.

MR. MOSS: Again for the record, Adam
Moss from Snyder & Snyder. This is a similar
application as the one we were just discussing.
At 39 North Plank Road is the Mid-Valley water
tank. It's approximately 132 feet tall. Sprint
has existing antennas on the top of the tower.
Similar to the other application, there will be
no increase in height from the set of antennas.
In this case four panel antennas will be removed,
four antennas will be replacing those. There's
also the retrofitting of an existing cabinet at
the base of the water tank and the addition of a
small battery cabinet. There won't be any
additional changes made to the compound.

2.3

Again, as was the case in the last application, pursuant to the TRA we believe that this application should be approved since there won't be any substantial changes to the tank.

As I stated, the height of the water tank won't be changed. The height of the antennas won't be changed. The change to the equipment will be minimal, only one battery and cabinet will be added.

In support of this application we submitted FCC licenses, a structural report. We submitted plans, a short EAF and RF statement that explains the need for the facility.

This application was also reviewed by Mr. Musso who submitted a letter dated

September 14, 2012 which stated that the application was complete.

Again we respectfully request a SEQRA determination from the Board, and, if there are no further comments or questions beyond this meeting, if the public hearing could be closed.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is there anyone in the audience this evening who has any questions

There's a steel frame at the very top of the 132-

impacts to the area.

22

23

24

25

Among our recommendations we do feel that color matching should occur. I think that's been done fairly effectively considering the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 panel antennas on the side of the water tank from

3 other carriers that are there, and all the

4 cabling that runs down and on top of the tank.

5 And in fact, sometimes you have to look pretty

carefully to see some of the cabling. Some of

7 the other elements I think have been color

8 matched fairly well. We think that recommendation

9 should run with this upgrade as well.

As noted, we did look at power levels. We did look at the clarifications and questions that the applicant answered. We feel that the responses were sufficient.

As one additional possible condition of approval for the Board to consider, we did get structural calculations and a structural certification from a New York State PE. The analysis, however, focused on the steel frame that sits on top of the water tank. I believe it was inherent in the structural engineer's review but we just want confirmation, like all the other providers have done, that the structure and foundation could withhold this upgrade. It's a very like switch out, four antennas for four antennas. For the file that's something we think

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff Browne?

MR. GALLI: That's fine.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROWNE: The analysis, the unit, the thing is old. Agreed it's probably a good idea to do it just because of the age of this thing and what it's being used for. Like I said, rust out over time. It's not like a conventional monopole or tower that was built specifically for this purpose. It's probably worth doing just so it's on the record.

MR. MOSS: That's how we feel about it as well. Just to bring up the point that you mentioned, it may have been Verizon or AT&T that actually did a conditions survey because during our discussions a couple years ago that point was brought up by this Board a couple different times. We feel very good about the condition. Looking back to that report, which is probably about two years ago, I agree. I think for the specific upgrade from Sprint now in 2012, it would be good just to have something in there. I'm sure that the engineer will be able to certify it. Thinking back to the calculations that were done, it's an empty tank and that's an awful lot of water that's assigned for. It should be something that the certifying engineer

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL - NORTH PLANK ROAD 49
2	MR. WARD: No questions.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Additional
4	questions or comments from the public?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I'll move for
7	a motion to close the public hearing for the
8	Sprint/Nextel North Plank Road site plan and
9	special use permit.
10	MR. MENNERICH: So moved.
11	MR. PROFACI: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
13	Ken Mennerich. I have a second by Joe Profaci.
14	I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank
15	Galli.
16	MR. GALLI: Aye.
17	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
18	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
19	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
20	MR. WARD: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So
22	carried.
23	Mike Donnelly.
24	MR. DONNELLY: I will track the
25	conditions that Mike just outlined. There's one

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL - NORTH PLANK ROAD 51
2	MR. WARD: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself aye. So
4	carried.
5	I'll move for a motion from the Board
6	to set this for an October 4th approval subject
7	to the return of the Orange County Planning
8	Department comments, and an alternate date for
9	our meeting under Board Business of the 18th of
10	October.
11	MR. PROFACI: So moved.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
14	Joe Profaci. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
15	Is there any discussion of the motion?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
18	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
19	MR. GALLI: Aye.
20	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
21	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
22	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
23	MR. WARD: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself aye.
25	Mr. Moss, thank you.

1	SPRINT/NEXTEL - NORTH PLANK ROAD	52
2	MR. MOSS: Thank you very much.	
3		
4	(Time noted: 7:41 p.m.)	
5		
6		
7	CERTIFICATION	
8		
9		
10	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
11	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
12	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
13	that I recorded stenographically the	
14	proceedings herein at the time and place	
15	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
16	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
17	transcript of same to the best of my	
18	knowledge and belief.	
19		
20		
21		_
22		
23		
24	DATED: October 9, 2012	
25		

MR. BROWNE: The next item on the agenda is Parke Lane at Newburgh, project number 2012-13. This is a 160-unit residential site plan, ARB and lot line change being presented by Dominic Cordisco.

MR. CORDISCO: Good evening. For the record, I'm Dominic Cordisco. I'm here tonight with Joe Sarchino, the project engineer, and Joe Forgione, the developer.

We revised the plans in light of our prior meeting based on the comments we received. At this time I would like to turn it over to Joe Sarchino to give a brief overview of the changes in the plans.

MR. SARCHINO: I think three of the main comments that we had received from the Board at the last meeting, and we spent a lot of time discussing, was the width of the loop road around the building. It was indicated that the 24-foot width that was shown wasn't adequate based on some code requirements, so we're widening the loop road to 26 feet throughout the entire section of the loop road.

One other concern that was indicated

1	PARKE LANE AT NEWBURGH 55
2	was there was a potential for a bottleneck to
3	occur here if there was a fire at this building
4	here, if the fire truck had to stop here, the
5	intersection. So we revised the design to
6	provide a grassed area shown in this light green
7	which a vehicle could come through and access
8	around a potential bottleneck here and then come
9	back into the roadway and go through the loop.
10	That could also be used coming down this way and
11	then exiting out.
12	The last change that we did was to
13	it was also expressed that the width of the
14	boulevard driveway wasn't adequate as well. We
15	had it listed at 14 feet. We've now increased
16	the width to 20 feet in each direction. We have
17	inland 20 and outland 20.
18	The other things we've done is we
19	completed the plans as far as the landscape plan,
20	lighting plan, and we submitted that to the Board
21	for review as well.
22	That's basically a summary of the plan
23	changes. If there's any other comments from the

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point we'll

Board, I'd be happy to answer them.

24

1	PARKE LANE AT NEWBURGH 56
2	turn to Jerry Canfield, Code Compliance.
3	MR. CANFIELD: As indicated, the change
4	to the plans as far as the road width and the
5	intersection have been reviewed by our department
6	which we find acceptable and compliant with the
7	New York State Building and Fire Code.
8	Additionally, I should mention that the
9	jurisdictional fire department, which is the
10	Orange Lake Fire Department, has received these
11	plans and has also reviewed and approved the
12	changes as they've been presented.
13	Additionally, I might add we did
14	discuss a separation issue of the buildings,
15	which after further review it was deemed that the
16	building separation is compliant with the zoning
17	regulations. We previously discussed that the
18	last time this project was before the Board.
19	With that, I have nothing additional.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, Drainage
21	Consultant?
22	MR. HINES: We reviewed the stormwater
23	management plan that was provided and we also
2 4	walked the site with the applicant's

representative to visually review the sump hole

or subsurface discharge, for lack of a better term, on the site. We did find that that does in fact exist on the site. We believe, as the applicant's representative discussed, as a result of some previous fill operation probably associated with the Route 84 construction where they disposed of large boulders from the cut sections of 84 to that area into what was probably a ravine. We're okay with that situation. We suggested some operation and maintenance notes be added in the future, that the applicants maintain that so that it doesn't become clogged.

We are requesting additional infiltration testing of the stormwater management area to the rear of the site which functions as an infiltration basin that the Board is used to seeing. There is no outlet and it only will infiltrate into the ground. We're working with the applicants to come up with a happy medium, for lack of a better term, between the design guidelines which require one test every 200 square feet, which would result in 80 some tests within the stormwater area, and what we think

the Route 84 drainage system to the -- or through

2.3

2 the complex as proposed.

We're also requesting, as the Town requires, that the infiltration area be shown to be fenced to prevent access. Those will be filled with water. We're suggesting those be fenced, which is typically a requirement of the Town.

We reviewed the project with regard to the SEQRA information submitted and the technical review we've done and we have no exception to a negative declaration being issued.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, Planning Consultant?

MR. COCKS: The lot line change plan needs to be revised to show a bulk table with the zoning requirements with the existing and proposed setback. The setback lines should also be shown on both the Newburgh Jewish Community Center lots 47 and 48.

The applicant discussed the increase in road width, the second comment.

The 40-foot landscaped buffer needs to be shown on the plans to provide the setback line.

over in Wappingers, by the way. The buildings

MR. GALLI: I did visit the project

24

MR. DONNELLY: Let us know.

MR. SARCHINO: We'll talk to them.

24

Board approval required for a waiver on the intersection distance --

MR. HINES: Yes. 25

23

meandering through. I think we were able to

going through. We could have had you turning and

24

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SARCHINO: We can do that. If the Board would like it, it would be acceptable to

Any discussion of the motion?

law as proposed has an exemption, so for any

24

25

MR. CORDISCO: Yes. I do know that the

1		70
2		
3	CERTIFICATION	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		_
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: October 9, 2012	
24		

24

25

has the discretion to consider an extension.

That's what we're asking to do at this time.

2	MR. DONNELLY: The other issue is you
3	also had a variance.
4	MR. CORDISCO: Correct.
5	MR. DONNELLY: We were wondering what
6	the status of that is.
7	MR. CORDISCO: I looked at that as
8	well. The variance actually, the timeframe
9	for the variance to expire doesn't expire until
10	after the Planning Board approvals.
11	MR. DONNELLY: After the plans are
12	signed. It's still valid. It won't start
13	ticking until the plans are signed. Then you can
14	grant the full extension.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would move for a
16	motion to grant an extension to the Route 9W gas
17	and convenience store to October 8, 2013.
18	MR. PROFACI: So moved.
19	MR. WARD: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
21	Joe Profaci. I have a second by John Ward. Any
22	discussion of the motion?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
25	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.

1	ROUTE 9W GAS & CONVENIENCE STORE 74
2	MR. GALLI: Aye.
3	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
4	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
5	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
6	MR. WARD: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
8	carried.
9	I was talking with you the other
10	evening. This is just for you as far as traffic
11	and a decrease in the volumes on the roads.
12	MR. CORDISCO: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That was done
14	locally. Just for your own education, Dominic
15	also does a lot of teaching for the Orange County
16	Municipal Planning Federation. I think you have
17	an all-day program tomorrow.
18	MR. CORDISCO: I do a lot of talking.
19	That's all right. Thank you very much. I
20	appreciate it.
21	
22	(Time noted: 8:00 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1		75
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: October 9, 2012	
24		

1	THE POLO CLUB 77
2	MR. BROWNE: The next item of business
3	we have is Polo Club, project number 2006-09.
4	The applicant is requesting an extension of
5	conditional preliminary site plan approval which
6	will run from September 29, 2012 to March 29,
7	2013.
8	If you will bring us along on your
9	projects.
10	MR. WEINBERG: David Weinberg. I'm the
11	developer of both the Polo Club and Driscoll.
12	Presently the project has been slowly moving
13	along in trying to get some of the easements that
14	are necessary in order for the Route 300 sewer
15	line to be finished. But really the crux of it
16	is because of the economic conditions, right now
17	things are just very, very difficult to get
18	construction financing and move it along. We
19	respectfully request an extension be granted.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any questions or
21	comments from Board Members?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
24	motion to grant conditional preliminary site plan
25	approval for the Polo Club to March 29, 2013.

1	THE POLO CLUB 78
2	MR. PROFACI: So moved.
3	MR. GALLI: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
5	Joe Profaci. I have a second by Frank Galli.
6	Any discussion of the motion?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
9	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
10	MR. GALLI: Aye.
11	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
12	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
13	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
14	MR. WARD: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So
16	carried.
17	Thank you.
18	
19	(Time noted: 8:01 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		79
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: October 9, 2012	
24		

Wallkill, New York 12589

(845)895 - 3018

1	DRISCOLL SUBDIVISION	82
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So	
3	carried.	
4		
5	(Time noted: 8:02 p.m.)	
6		
7		
8	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
9		
10		
11	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
12	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
13	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
14	that I recorded stenographically the	
15	proceedings herein at the time and place	
16	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
17	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
18	transcript of same to the best of my	
19	knowledge and belief.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	DATED: October 9, 2012	

Wallkill, New York 12589

(845)895 - 3018

1	LANDS OF ZAZON 84
2	MR. BROWNE: The next is Lands of
3	Zazon, project number 2004-29. The applicant is
4	requesting an extension of conditional final
5	subdivision approval which will run from
6	September 19, 2012 to March 19, 2013.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move to grant
8	the extension of conditional final subdivision
9	approval for the Lands of Zazon to March 19,
10	20
11	MR. DONNELLY: 13.
12	MR. GALLI: So moved.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. You said
14	that earlier. I couldn't understand what you were
15	saying when you were saying that. I wasn't part
16	of the whole conversation.
17	MR. DONNELLY: Those things have a way
18	of carrying themselves forward.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Who made the
20	motion?
21	MR. GALLI: I did.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And a second?
23	MR. WARD: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
25	Frank Galli and a second by John Ward. There was

1	LANDS OF ZAZON 85
2	discussion by Mike Donnelly. Any further
3	discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll ask for a roll
6	call vote starting with Frank Galli.
7	MR. GALLI: Aye.
8	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
9	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
10	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
11	MR. WARD: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So
13	carried.
14	MR. BROWNE: One other thing can I
15	bring up? The application tonight for the tower,
16	the applicant cited a code change.
17	MR. DONNELLY: You may have been
18	MR. BROWNE: In light of that, I think
19	we should send a note to Town Board requesting
20	that if it meets those guidelines it does need a
21	public hearing. Our consultant looked at it. If
22	he approves it if he looks at it and tells us
23	that everything is in order, then
24	MR. DONNELLY: Jerry can update. The
25	Town Board I think has come to some agreement

1 LANDS OF ZAZON 86

with Mike Musso on the cost of his proposal to do just that.

MR. BROWNE: Okay, good.

MR. CANFIELD: For the record, Mike is a hundred percent right. If you remember, we had spoke about this in the past, about streamlining our code and also incorporating the legislation. Speaking with Supervisor Booth and the Planning Board Chairman, we decided to get a price quote from Mike Musso of what he would charge the Town to look at our existing code and incorporate the new legislation, and also look at and suggest streamlining the application process for these applications such as tonight.

Mike did submit a proposal. The cost proposal did include certain money to handle the SEQRA process. The Town Board felt we could handle the SEQRA process ourselves with inhouse attorneys. So it was renegotiated. He removed that price, the Town Board approved to move forward with the project. The next step will be to sit down with Mike Musso, my department, to start setting up meetings. Initially his first step will be to review the existing code and then

1	LANDS OF ZAZON 87
2	we'll look at incorporating and then talk about
3	streamlining. Before anything is finalized, this
4	Board will have an opportunity to input and
5	review, and then of course ultimately the end
6	result will be amending the tower excuse me,
7	the chapter of the municipal code that addresses
8	telecommunication towers. That will need a Town
9	Board action to take effect. So it's going to be
LO	a lengthy process but that's our objective.
1	MR. BROWNE: Thank you. Thank you,
12	John.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would then move
L 4	for a motion to close the Planning Board meeting
15	of September 20, 2012.
16	MR. WARD: So moved.
17	MR. PROFACI: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
19	John Ward and a second by Joe Profaci. I'll call
20	for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
21	MR. GALLI: Aye.
22	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
23	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
24	MR. PROFACI: Aye.
25	MR. WARD: Aye.

LANDS OF ZAZON 88 1 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. 3 carried. 5 (Time noted: 8:08 p.m.) 7 CERTIFICATION 8 9 I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand 10 Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify 11 12 that I recorded stenographically the 13 proceedings herein at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and that the 14 15 foregoing is an accurate and complete 16 transcript of same to the best of my knowledge and belief. 17 18 19 20 21 22 DATED: October 9, 2012 23 24