1	1					
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD					
3	X In the Matter of					
4						
5	SUMMIT LANE EXPANSION (2015-18)					
6						
7	Stewart Avenue Section 97; Block 1; Lots 47 & 48 R-3 Zone					
8	X					
9	SITE PLAN					
10	Date: November 5, 2015					
11	Time: 7:00 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh					
12	Town Hall 1496 Route 300					
13	Newburgh, NY 12550					
14	DOADD MEMBERG. TOUN D. EMAGUEYN Cholisman					
15	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI					
16	CLIFFORD C. BROWNE KENNETH MENNERICH					
17	DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD					
18	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.					
19	PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIELD					
20						
21	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN CAPPELLA					
22	JOSEPH SARCHINO					
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO					
24	10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589					
25	(845) 895-3018					

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 interpretations we needed, one related to the existing single-family house location relating to 3 the front yard and the other one relating to the conversion of the former daycare center with the 5 Newburgh JCC into a storage facility for use of 7 the tenants of both the Summit Lane Expansion and the original Summit Lane project. Those are 9 before the ZBA. We have a hearing set for 10 November 24th on that. We're optimistic we'll 11 have a decent result. In any event, we believe 12 regardless of the use of those two buildings, 13 that we're prepared to move the process forward 14 and address the engineering comments. When we do 15 get a decision from the ZBA we can look at that 16 in more detail.

I'll turn it over to Joe.

MR. SARCHINO: Thank you. We made a submission to the Board to just clean up a little --- we're going to the ZBA on the 24th -- clean up some of the engineering items in front of us.

There weren't many changes other than relocating a water valve, adding some storm drainage. One of the major changes that we made was revising the emergency drive from twelve feet

Code Compliance?

1	SUMMIT LANE EXPANSION 9
2	MR. MENNERICH: I agree with Frank.
3	MR. DOMINICK: I agree.
4	MR. WARD: I agree.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record show
6	that the Planning Board waived the public hearing
7	for the Summit Park Extension.
8	John, I guess you know the format. You
9	can either e-mail or call the Planning Board
10	office, and at that point we'll know what the
11	schedule and what the agenda looks like.
12	MR. CAPPELLA: Okay. Thank you very
13	much.
14	
15	(Time noted: 7:06 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		10
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		_
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		

MR. BROWNE: The next item of business

we have is Healey Kia, project number 2015-25.

This is an amended site plan. It's going to be

presented by JMC Planning.

MR. CORDISCO: Good evening, Mr.

Chairman and Members of the Board. I'm Dominic

Cordisco. I'm trying to help out Mr. Sarchino

because he's so tired from his previous

presentation.

All kidding aside, we are here tonight regarding the amended site plan approval. This site was originally approved for a Volkswagen dealership, and I imagine a number of people are very happy that they actually did not move forward with the Volkswagen dealership given all the trouble they've had as of late. We are here regarding an amended site plan approval to conform this to the Kia dealership plans.

Obviously Mr. Sarchino is here. I believe that at last appearance they walked through the site differences and the internal configuration regarding the site.

We also have Ken Syvertsen here tonight, our Architect. I'd like to turn it over

to him now if I may. I believe he has come

prepared to give a full presentation regarding

the architectural details. Without further ado,

I'd like to turn it over to him.

2.3

MR. SYVERTSEN: Ken Syvertsen with Syvertsen Rigosu Architects.

The last time we were here you asked me for an exterior finish schedule. Hopefully you remember what the building looks like. It's white panels, a lot of glass and some concrete block. Really three or four materials. It's the Kia standards.

What we put together was a finished board, pretty much the white panel, the glass, some interior colors, the concrete block. It's not your typical ugly gray concrete block. It's a nice faced gray concrete block. Then the accent red color for the signage. Then in the back of the building is like a stucco panel. It's going to be that color. It's pretty straightforward. It's pretty sharp looking.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So if I remember correctly, we didn't do -- we didn't approve the ARB at the last meeting.

1	HEALEY KIA 14
2	MR. SYVERTSEN: Correct.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You brought samples
4	this evening for us to look at and then see if
5	we'll move on that. Thank you.
6	Frank, any questions or comments?
7	MR. GALLI: No additional.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff?
9	MR. BROWNE: No. I'm glad to see that
10	all the things are identified so our code
11	compliance folks can identify it. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?
13	MR. MENNERICH: Where it says service,
14	is that considered part of the signage?
15	MR. SYVERTSEN: That's all part of the
16	signage. That was in our calculations.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave?
18	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?
20	MR. WARD: With that height the way it
21	is, does that include the screening for up on top
22	for any air conditioning and everything else?
23	MR. SYVERTSEN: The units are in the
24	back. They'll be individually screened.
25	MR. WARD: Thanks.

1	HEALEY KIA 15
2	MR. SYVERTSEN: It's a two-story
3	building. It's limited to the height that was
4	set for the Volkswagen. We don't have a parapet.
5	It's all individually screened.
6	MR. WARD: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield, any
8	questions?
9	MR. CANFIELD: Nothing outstanding.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, do you
11	have anything as far as ARB?
12	MR. HINES: No comments on the ARB.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll take one
14	thing at a time. I'll move for a motion to grant
15	ARB approval for the Healey Kia dealership
16	located on Route 17K.
17	MR. DOMINICK: So moved.
18	MR. WARD: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
20	made by Dave Dominick, I have a second by John
21	Ward. Any discussion of the motion?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
24	roll call vote for approval starting with Frank
25	Galli.

1	HEALEY KIA 16
2	MR. GALLI: Aye.
3	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
4	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
5	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
6	MR. WARD: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
8	Congratulations.
9	What do we have next?
10	MR. CORDISCO: Mr. Chairman, since this
11	is an amended site plan approval, I believe a
12	public hearing is discretionary on the project.
13	I don't believe at last appearance the Board
14	actually determined whether or not to waive the
15	public hearing. I would suggest that that would
16	be the next order of business.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. Having
18	heard from Dominic Cordisco, the Attorney for
19	Healey Kia, I'll poll the Board Members to see if
20	they want to hold a public hearing.
21	MR. GALLI: No.
22	MR. BROWNE: No.
23	MR. MENNERICH: No.
24	MR. DOMINICK: No.
25	MR. WARD: No.

2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record show
3	that the Planning Board waived a public hearing
4	for the Healey Kia dealership on Route 17K.
5	MR. CORDISCO: The Board previously, as
6	I said earlier, granted site plan approval for
7	the Volkswagen dealership. This would be treated
8	as I believe an amended site plan approval. As
9	part of that approval they adopted a negative
10	declaration under SEQRA for this project. We've
11	shown we provided information to the Board
12	that we are within all the limits that were
13	previously established in that negative
14	declaration. I would encourage you to, how ever
15	you frame it, either readopt the negative
16	declaration or adopt a determination of
17	consistency that this amended approval is

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly, Planning Board Attorney, what would you recommend?

consistent with your prior approval.

MR. DONNELLY: A SEQRA consistency determination is what makes sense. That means it falls within the parameters of the original SEQRA review and you stand by your negative

1	HEALEY KIA 18
2	declaration.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard the
4	comments from our Attorney, Mike Donnelly, he
5	suggests that we have a SEQRA consistency
6	determination based upon the fact that under
7	SEQRA there was not really any additional adverse
8	impacts, everything is satisfactory.
9	We'll move for that approval, Michael?
10	MR. DONNELLY: Yes.
11	MR. BROWNE: One question. Should we
12	somehow tie it back to the project number of the
13	preceding project?
14	MR. DONNELLY: I'll recite the earlier
15	SEQRA history from the Volkswagen resolution.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A question by Cliff
17	Browne.
18	We have a motion on the table to have a
19	SEQRA consistency determination approval for
20	Healey Kia. I'll move for that motion.
21	MR. GALLI: So moved.
22	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
24	Frank Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
25	Any discussion of the motion?

1	HEALEY KIA 19
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
4	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
5	MR. GALLI: Aye.
6	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
7	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
8	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
9	MR. WARD: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye. Motion
11	carried.
12	I think we're waiting to hear back from
13	the City on the flow acceptance. We can't take
14	any further action until we have that.
15	MR. CORDISCO: Yes. Just procedurally
16	to tee that up if I may, that the Volkswagen
17	project did receive the flow acceptance letter
18	confirmation from the City of Newburgh. The
19	flows associated with the calculations for the
20	Kia dealership are just slightly larger. I think
21	they are about 2,000 gallons larger for this
22	project. Mr. Osborne, the Town Engineer, had
23	written on October 19th to the City of Newburgh,
24	and so we are waiting for that to come back. I
25	believe that you could make it a condition of the

2	approval.	Of	course	I	
---	-----------	----	--------	---	--

MR. DONNELLY: Dominic, I didn't speak to you about it earlier because I didn't mention it when we talked. Our contract with the City prohibits the issuance of final approvals without the flow acceptance letter. We are authorized to issue preliminary approvals. I don't know what that does for you here since you are likely to be back in no time at all asking for final approval. If it's important to you and the Board is inclined, we could issue a preliminary approval. Without the flow acceptance letter we're not permitted to issue a final. It might be just as easy to wait, have the final --

MR. CORDISCO: I agree. Preliminary approval doesn't get us anything, especially since we're moving towards building permit plans.

I expect that we'll be submitting those.

In terms of making that submission, if it's all right with Mr. Canfield, we would like to be working informally with his office on that submission during this time period so that, you know, when we do come back with the City flow acceptance letter, which I expect we will receive

1 HEALEY KIA 21 because there's no indication from the City that 2 they are at or near their capacity. Quite 3 frankly, they need the money. This is really an 5 accounting issue for them, to make sure that they get properly paid for all the flow that is sent 7 to them by the Town. As long as we can be working towards a building permit during this 9 time period, I don't see any issue with waiting 10 for that letter to come in before the Board 11 considers further action. 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Once we 13 receive the letter we'll discuss setting you for 14 a meeting for final approval. 15 I can't speak for Jerry Canfield. 16 MR. CANFIELD: If I may, I can not issue a building permit without a signed site 17 plan. I'm sure you're aware of that. What I can 18 do to facilitate is I can start a cursory 19 20 building permit review. 21 MR. CORDISCO: Yes. 22 MR. CANFIELD: If you have your 23 drawings, your architecturals are complete. There's a degree of detail. Submit that and we 24

can start that review process. That may start to

2 address some of the issues.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CORDISCO: Right. So that way we

can make up some time there for time while we're

waiting here.

MR. DONNELLY: Just so we're on the same wave length, I would use the conditions that were in the original Volkswagen resolution.

Running through them quickly, we note the ZBA approval and tie into it. The DOT will need to issue a highway work permit. Demolition permits will be required. We'll note the flow acceptance letter after it's received. The standard condition for ARB approval. We had included a condition, and I assume it's still in effect, that no retaining wall above four feet in height may be constructed except following presentment and approval of plans prepared, stamped by a licensed engineer and presented to Jerry's office. A landscape security inspection fee. A stormwater security inspection fee. standard condition that prohibits the construction of any outdoor fixtures or amenities not shown on the site plan.

MR. CORDISCO: Yes.

1	HEALEY KIA 23
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Questions or
3	comments from Board Members?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, do you
6	have anything to add?
7	MR. HINES: I don't. Just whether it
8	was going to be an agenda item or Board Business.
9	MR. MENNERICH: Board Business.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Board Business.
11	MR. CORDISCO: Thank you very much.
12	Good night everyone.
13	
14	(Time noted: 7:17 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		24
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		

MR. BROWNE: The next item of business we have is CVS Pharmacy/Store, project number 2015-23. It's a site plan.

2.3

MS. CHIOCCHIO: Good evening, Mr.

Chairman, Members of the Planning Board. I'm

Lucia Chiocchio from Cuddy & Feder. I'm filling

in for my colleague, Anthony Miranda, who had a

conflict and could not be here tonight. I'm

joined by the CVS development team this evening,

Patrick O'Leary and John Canning from VHB, the

design team, and Tracy Rohl, vice president of

real estate.

I'll summarize some of the activities we've been up to since our last submission and then I'll turn it over to Patrick to walk you through the site plan and the design and its current status.

Since we were here in September we did make another submission which included several more details on the project plan, an updated EAF, full EAF, which included U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and DEC letters indicating that the proposed project would not be a taking with respect to the Indiana Bat and the Long Eared Bat

species. We also submitted a stormwater management plan, a draft SWPPP, a letter or memo addressing the comments that were made by the Planning Board and the consultants that we had had at that time, in addition to addressing some of the comments from Orange County. We obviously submitted detailed drawings.

2.3

Since September we also received confirmation from Mr. Canfield that the proposed use is permitted, the proposed prescription drive-through is also permitted, and that the Planning Board has the discretion to vary the number or to set the number of off-street parking spaces for the facility.

With respect to SEQRA, when we were here in September the Planning Board declared its intent to be lead agency, and I believe circulated notices to the other involved agencies. My understanding is that there had been no response to that notice. Our hope is that tonight the Planning Board can declare itself lead agency for purposes of SEQRA as we move forward.

With that, I will turn it over to

Mr. Chairman, with your permission I do have reduced size copies of the graphics I'll be using this evening. If I may approach and hand these out.

excuse me, 50 Main Street in White Plains.

I would like to begin briefly with the existing conditions plan for the proposed project. We had been before you and presented on a preliminary basis a preliminary plan. Just to catch up, we have Union Avenue, South Plank Road here, the site located adjacent to the Dairy Queen. Across the street we have a commercial development, I think Red Ginger or a delicatessen. The other corner over here is a car wash. To this side of the site, I believe the company's name is Lincare, a light manufacturing facility with an associated parking area.

Our site itself has a fairly steep

2	topography from north to south. There's about 16
3	feet of grade differential across the site
4	itself. Along the Dairy Queen site here there's
5	a retaining wall that varies in height from zero
6	to about five feet, maybe five-and-a-half feet
7	here in the back corner. Once again, that
8	retaining wall is back on this side. The zone
9	line runs through the site, traversing
10	approximately forty, fifty feet along the back
11	boundary line, down the westerly boundary line
12	and then off to the west. It's important to
13	understand the topography for the site. The
14	designers and engineers are working on this site
15	and trying to comply with the design guidelines.
16	It became very challenging to the designers
17	themselves to meet one of the criteria, which was
18	to try to push the building up front. The issue
19	with that is it's truly working against gravity
20	on this slope in the sense that because of the
21	sloping of the site as you go back deeper into
22	the site. If we try to push the building forward
23	on this site, and keep in mind storm drains, it
24	will impact design for the storm drains, what
25	ends up happening is you end up with large

retaining walls across the front of the site with a building elevated up in the air. We don't think that meets the intent of the design guidelines when you're looking at it. If you try to cut the site down here, pull the building up to the street line, the same token, you're truly fighting gravity because what we can't do fundamentally is make water run uphill. All of our storm drain area would be setback here, twelve, fourteen feet above. We tried to find a reasonable compromise to accommodate and comply with the design principles, both from a building siting standpoint but also paying attention to the storm drain.

The second sheet you have is what we are proposing for the proposed CVS project. Here it is, a 13,600 square foot building. It does have an associated prescription pick-up/drop-off point located on the back of the site.

We are showing seventy-four parking spaces for the site. By calculation of the Zoning Code, I believe it would require ninety-one. If we look at industry standards on the order of five per thousand square feet of

retail area, you'd be looking at somewhere around seventy. We tried to find a balance between those two while respecting the fact that we do want to create frontage here along South Plank Road that is going to be friendly and is going to have high aesthetic value, both on behalf of CVS as well as the community.

The site is accessed from North Plank
Road by one curb cut, a full access drive aisle
which will traverse through the entire site and
allow for a whole curb cut here on a private
drive. CVS and the adjacent owners are in the
process of working out easement agreements which
will have to be submitted to the Board for review
as well as filed on the land records.

In looking at the overall site here and trying to resolve and provide low-impact design storm drains, one of the first elements we had considered is the Lincare facility over here.

Most of their storm drain from the parking lot sheet flows over onto what would be the CVS site.

About a half acre of paved impervious area that has to be dealt with was sheet flow going from the west to east here. That was the first

3

element we looked at. This is a fairly substantial volume that we're picking up on the site and we want to work with.

5 In addition to that, we're concerned about providing low-impact design in the form of 7 rain gardens, infiltration, sand filters and other design elements. So once we site the 8 9 building on the site, so we can minimize 10 hopefully the retaining walls, not create a 11 barrier from South Plank Road from an aesthetic 12 standpoint, we placed the building on the back 13 side here so we can get any of the operational 14 amenities away from South Plank Road and shield 15 it. What it did do is it allowed a great 16 opportunity for this area up along South Plank Road from a landscape standpoint as well as 17 18 design of low-impact drainage elements. plan, you'll see here we have a rain garden here 19 20 which ultimately empties out into a small 21 infiltration detention facility. We have another 22 rain garden located over in this area, which once 23 again will ultimately empty out into the detention infiltration facility. We have another 24 grass detention infiltration area over here in 25

2.3

the northwest corner of the property. This area here is completely dedicated to managing the stormwater that sheet flows off of the adjacent site. We're catching the sheet flow in a grass-lined swale which will come down along the property line and ultimately discharge over here to the grass detention infiltration basin.

Internally to the site we do have paving. We did our best to minimize it by reducing the parking for the site to seventy-four. Seventy-four is adequate for CVS. It's slightly larger than what is required by industry standards. We'll certainly defer to any recommendations from the Board with respect to how we should adjust that in the future.

Internally to the parking area we have a sand filter located in this area here. We have underground infiltration facilities in this area. The underground infiltration facility in this area is dedicated essentially in it's entirety to handle the roof water from the CVS. We have clean roof water we don't want to get mixed in with any other parking lot water or deleterious materials that may come off the parking surfaces

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

on site. What we have done is we've enclosed the storm drain system for the roof water here, put it directly into the infiltration underground. It really doesn't require above-ground

infiltration techniques or further cleansing, so it makes perfect sense to do this under the parking lot. The remainder of the parking lot is being collected in an enclosed storm drain system, part of which will go into the sand filter here to improve water quality. Ultimately this water will once again go into this infiltration area. The remaining portion of the parking lot here will find it's way over to the rain garden here, go through a series of water quality treatments prior to being discharged over here to the infiltration basin. This area of the drive and this area of the parking lot will come down to this rain garden for water quality purposes and ultimately discharge, once again,

into that infiltration basin there.

We have kept in mind that hopefully we'll be able to attract some pedestrian traffic with future changes in characteristics and mobility within the community. We're providing

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sidewalks from South Plank Road coming in to the site here. We're providing in this area a speed table to provide safe pedestrian access across the drive aisle as well as help control and deter from any potential cut-through traffic associated with -- coming from South Plank Road up through the private drive or vice versa, from the private drive down to South Plank Road. In the area here adjacent to the CVS, from a pedestrian standpoint we're providing benches with trees and landscape around it as well as a bike rack facility here for anybody who may be using bicycles.

35

I did touch briefly on the operational elements associated with the store. The goal here was to get the operational elements as far back on the site. We have a very lush landscaping scheme plan to work in conjunction with the rain gardens. What we don't want to do is have any operational elements on the front side to deter from it.

The compactor is located directly behind the store over here, so it will not be visible from the South Plank Road area. We do have one additional trash receptacle/dumpster

2 over here. All recyclable materials are directly loaded from the store into the compactor, so 3 there's no trash that's being brought outside. For non-recyclable materials we do need to 5 provide a dumpster over here in this area. We 7 tried to find a place that was as obscured as possible while accessible to the loading area and 9 the door where the refuse would be coming out. 10 We provided additional screening over here. 11 We've also placed the transformer in this 12 location with screening so it will be shielded 13 from sight from South Plank Road.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are a number of retaining walls still required. Once again, we are fighting gravity and topography on this site. We do understand the design criteria and the requirement for any walls in excess of four feet to require stamped signed structural drawings.

The retaining wall on the westerly side of the site over here varies in height from zero to six feet, runs approximately from the infiltration basin up past the crosswalk into the store. If you cross over the street, we're dividing up the walls so we can break the massing

of the walk
three-hund
break the
chosen the
property
This wall

of the walls. We don't want one continuous three-hundred foot long wall. We're trying to break the massing of those walls up. We've chosen this point to switch the wall from the property line onto and into the site itself. This wall here varies generally from zero to four feet.

On the other side of the store, similarly we have a wall here on the back corner, zero to five feet in height, around this corner of the site.

Then the larger retaining wall is the one out front here. That wall varies in height from zero to eighteen feet. It is a fairly substantial wall. I think in just thinking about it, if you think about trying to develop this site and pull the building up to the street front, you can see it would necessitate essentially this wall pulling itself up to the street front so you could create a parking area and a pad for the building itself. We just think it would have a very strange look to have a twelve, eighteen foot wall and a building on top of it, particularly with respect to the way the

2.3

rest of the commercial area has been developed out here. So we worked very hard trying to find a balance between the low-impact design to be environmentally sensitive on the site as well as comply with the guidelines.

We are concerned about pedestrian movement in here.

We heard you very clearly after the last meeting with regard to all of these topics. We went back, trying to find a balance between them. We could not find a way to meet all the principles in the design guidelines, but we do need to remember they are design guidelines. We did our best to adhere to them and hopefully create an entrance and a frontage along South Plank Road that people are going to consider very aesthetically appealing for sight.

Prior to turning it over to Mr.

Canning; with respect to the traffic at this

point, Mr. Chairman would you prefer to go to the

traffic side or --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What I think we'll do at this particular point, Pat, thanks for your presentation. You covered just about every part

2 had them.

2.3

MR. O'LEARY: I read the comments.

Unless I misunderstood, I think the drainage report is still under review. It seemed to suggest it was under review. It did provide about fifteen comments. Much of that material is contained within the drainage report. There may be other elements that we need to supplement, and we will certainly be expeditious in responding to any additional comments that may be forthcoming from the review.

MR. HINES: The substantial ones there have to do with design of the, as you stated, infiltration practices and the soils and the information that are needed to document that. Things like the infiltration galleys that you're proposing up in the parking area, there's no — there's a calculation of I think 2.5 inches per hour but no basis in the report to come up with that. We'll need that soil testing, or at least specification, because that's in a fill area, of that material.

The stormwater management facilities along the State highway, there's a concern that

for a substantial period of time during storm
events there may be water in them. We're
requesting that you take a look at possible
protection for vehicles entering those. I know
you're providing a fence per the Town's
requirements, but we want you to take a look at
whether or not there's an issue with potential
vehicles entering there.

The capacity of the existing pipe under Route 52, your entire site is tributary, eventually, to that pipe, and we just want to make sure that that pipe has capacity to convey everything that's being collected there, including the offsite upgrading in tributary areas there. I'm sure DOT will have that same comment as you're connecting. That is their pipe.

Design calculations for the sand filter. I didn't see those in the report. We'll need those.

The use of perforated pipe in the infiltration basin, it's either infiltration basin or it has a perforated pipe. It may function more as a bio retention area if that

2	perforated pipe remains there rather than the
3	infiltration. We'll need some additional details
4	on that.

2.3

I have some other technical comments on the stormwater, but I think we can work those out with the applicant's representative when they revise that report.

The retaining walls. As you discussed, the one wall that's eighteen feet high, at work session the Board was concerned of the visuals on that. The Board does do architectural review, and I think — they are going to request from you the standard architectural review of the building but they're going to want to incorporate a rendering of how the site looks, what it's going to look like from Route 52. The eighteen-foot high wall is a substantial impact to that corridor. I think they are going to want to see some materials, what that wall is going to be built of, colors, how it's going to look in that corridor.

John, do you want me to hit the rest of them?

25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Whatever you feel

2 necessary.

MR. HINES: The project will need a

City of Newburgh flow acceptance letter. I

believe that's been submitted and we're awaiting

City of Newburgh's response to that.

Also, the Board discussed previously a connection through to the neighboring Dairy Queen facility. I know your responses back were due to existing site constraints and topography, that that wasn't going to be available.

Ken Wersted's comments, our Traffic

Consultant, as well as I had the determination of
the sidewalk kind of leaves people at the DOT
property line. I suggested that that continue
out to at least the roadway.

Ken Wersted's comments that I received today regarding the traffic also suggested possibly a connection along the frontage there in the right-of-way to provide a sidewalk to the neighboring facilities.

There is a rather large curbed island along -- it's not a sidewalk by any means but there's a curbed island in front of the Dairy Queen facility that can function as pedestrian.

2	MR. BROWNE: A couple things. On the
3	front drainage area and the wall I'm all over
4	the country seeing things. One of the things
5	that stands out when I see a large retaining wall
6	it's almost as high as this wall here and
7	expansive. What I see often times is in the
8	design of the wall, there's designs actually
9	designed in the wall to break it up, typically
10	using maybe a different shape block, recessed,
11	incessed or something to create a design within
12	the wall itself. It kind of breaks it up, and
13	that's attractive rather than just a big blank
14	wall. So possibly if you can think of something
15	to do in that nature. I don't have any idea what
16	it could be or should be or whatever. Just to
17	break up that whole big thing. It can be done I
18	think fairly inexpensively just by maybe
19	different designs. Just work on that. You've
20	probably seen it.

MR. O'LEARY: Completely understood. Former structural engineer. I guess I'm still a structural engineer.

MR. BROWNE: The other thing is with the drainage area in the front, again I've seen

front where everybody can see.

some of those done necessity as a drainage area but done beautifully. And then part of that is okay, if you can do it in such a way it's really attractive but then also consider maintenance, because one of the things we discussed earlier is you can do it initially, it looks great for the first year, then it just kind of isn't maintained. So if you can work on that so it could be minimal maintenance and still be extremely attractive. That will be right up

MR. O'LEARY: Just a quick note on that. These basins themselves are about -- around a foot to eighteen inches deep with very gentle side slopes moving down toward them. The intent is to have this area look essentially like a landscaped lawn or garden for somebody. So these are not the types of facilities that you would anticipate seeing phragmites and other things growing out of them in the future. This should look like a landscaped, manicured lawn throughout it's perpetuity. One of the nice things about that from a maintenance standpoint is it's easily accessible and easy to take out

2.3

any silt sediments that may evolve through the stormwater down there. What we need to do, obviously, is develop some type of rendering so that you can get a better visualization of what this area is going to look like. I think it will look very nice, particularly if we put in some elevation relief so that you have breaks in the wall where the pattern changes, both in elevation and the wall itself, as well as color. That's a very great suggestion.

MR. BROWNE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?

MR. MENNERICH: On the waiving of the guidelines for the parking in the front of the building; with the high wall for people traveling on South Plank Road, I don't think they're going to see the cars in that parking lot that much. It's not like they're looking down onto a big parking area. So personally I don't see a problem with waiving that.

MR. O'LEARY: That's actually the intent. Part of the way we have this setup with the eighteen-foot wall there is so as you're traversing along South Plank Road and you look

2 coming in, providing an area for people who would be exiting the store or otherwise to have a place 3 to sit down. We wanted some landscaping around it. It didn't seem to be a very attractive area 5 on this side of the store or in the center of the 7 parking areas. We didn't want to bring them back out front here because it leads them away from 9 their access in and out of the site. So we tried 10 to provide as much area over here to provide that 11 amenity as possible. This is the area we 12 happened to select. Obviously the backside, the 13 operational area, we don't want people there. The other area that we could have considered 14 would have been over here in the area where the 15 16 suggested access over to the Dairy Queen was. 17 There's about a fifteen-foot grade differential 18 over here. Trying to create an area with park benches and things like that nature, just very 19 20 difficult. It just adds to more walks. I think 21 the pedestrian accessibility coming along the 22 front, we'll work with CVS immediately beginning tomorrow to see about means and methods to 23 24 incorporate a sidewalk as part of the landscape 25 element over here so we can get some activity

across the site and up the sidewalk. If there are suggestions for other locations, we'd love to hear it.

MR. DOMINICK: My only concern was the park benches. If I'm sitting there as a consumer, it looks like an eight-foot width path or eight-foot section. To the right of me I have an access road, or what might be a cut through to avoid that light, traffic on the left of me. To the right of me I have vehicle traffic that's coming into the complex or to the drive-through. So being a neighborhood pharmacy, with that in mind you think of calm and tranquil. You've got me in the middle of the red zone, the hot zone.

MR. O'LEARY: It's relative to this drive aisle here. It's one of the least used drive aisles you're going to find in America today. Peak volumes -- I've been involved in the CVS program about twenty years, since it went freestanding. I think the peak volumes we've ever recorded is fifteen vehicles in an hour going through the drive-through. That's not normal. More traditional volumes you'll see going through prescription pick-up/drop-off point, probably six

25

2	or eight vehicles in an hour. So this is not a
3	heavily traveled vehicular area over here. If
4	you look, this is very wide. We have twenty-six
5	feet, twenty-eight feet for that drive aisle
6	there. So part of it is we didn't want people
7	sitting next to a drive aisle that had people
8	going up and down. If there are other
9	suggestions, be it from the Board. We're just
10	running out of places on the site, in part due to
11	the topographic constraints. Like I said, we
12	can't get this across here because of a
13	fifteen-foot change in elevation, twelve-foot
14	change. In conjunction with Dairy Queen itself
15	has a four to six-foot wall over here. This was
16	the logical area. If I was standing back and
17	looking at it, I would say why don't you go right
18	over here with your benches or something and put
19	a little crosswalk going over this way, but the
20	problem is it leads them to nowhere. It's kind
21	of a dead-end area and they end up coming back
22	across to get to the sidewalks. But we can
23	certainly entertain any suggestions. We're open
24	to any better ideas.

MR. MENNERICH: Have you considered

2	reducing	the	number	of pa	rking	spaces	and	using
3	part of v	where	the pa	arking	is fo	or that?	?	

2.3

MR. O'LEARY: We hadn't considered reducing the parking spaces any further until we received some comment and feedback from the Board. We were taking kind of a blind guess and going from ninety-one down to seventy-four, so we didn't want to be too presumptive and take away additional spaces. That may provide for an opportunity, particularly if a couple spaces were taken out right in this area, we could keep people in close proximity still to the sidewalks over here and have a more substantial area to place benches and bike racks and of that nature. I think it would eliminate the conflicts with the drive aisles that you had referred to, if that would be acceptable to the Board.

MR. WARD: They'll need a rest after the elevation.

MR. O'LEARY: They'll need a rest after coming up the hill. Yes.

MR. MENNERICH: It's been our experience with this type of installation that the parking -- the actual number of cars that are

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

parked at any one time is way lower than the spaces that are available. We've seen it on some of our field trips in other municipalities, too.

But I think if you could consider that as an option, to change that.

MR. O'LEARY: I think this is a great idea and a great look, potentially a great location for it.

One suggestion I would make relative to the parking, as it's noted I've been involved with their program about twenty years. wouldn't suggest going below seventy, seventy-two spaces. Under normal operation for a robust CVS they'll use around seventy percent of the spaces through the course of normal operation, and then you've got the holiday times which are, for a pharmacy I consider it expanded because the holidays include things like Mother's Day and Valentine's Day where people are in getting cards and stuff. They really do use upwards of sixty-five to seventy spots. I wouldn't go much below that. I think there's room to still take away a couple more spots and make a much nicer area right here with the benches and bike racks.

2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point maybe
3	we will hold off making a decision on off-street
4	parking and variances until you define the areas
5	that were suggested by Dave Dominick and Ken
6	Mennerich. So we'll put that off at this point.
7	John Ward do you have anything else,
8	Dave?

9 MR. DOMINICK: No.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WARD: I'm going to ditto on Cliff, too, with the wall. I've been around, too, seen different styles and everything else. A big factor with making it an a attraction type thing, the color is a big factor. You don't want a plain Jane color. Whether it's sloped or whatever it is, you can do it.

At the same time, I had a question about your decorative fence. What is that going to be?

MR. O'LEARY: The decorative fence, there's a detail in the plan. Offhand I don't remember what sheet. It will be anodized aluminum black in an attempt to simulate a wrought iron type of fence. I would not consider it structural in nature in that it won't keep a

associated with the compactor, the vinyl clad

1	CVS PHARMACY/STORE 59
2	So the objective is achieved in any event.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Does the Board
4	agree?
5	MR. MENNERICH: Yes.
6	MR. WARD: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard the
8	language to support the waiver for parking in the
9	front yard presented by our Attorney, Mike
10	Donnelly, I'll move for that motion.
11	MR. GALLI: So moved.
12	MR. WARD: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
14	Frank Galli. I have a second by John Ward. Any
15	discussion of the motion?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a
18	roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
19	MR. GALLI: Aye.
20	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
21	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
22	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
23	MR. WARD: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself.
25	Anything else at this point?

1	CVS PHARMACY/STORE 60
2	MS. CHIOCCHIO: Thank you, Chairman.
3	The Planning Board has the discretion as to
4	whether they'd like to hold a public hearing.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We decided to hold
6	making that decision until we see the revisions
7	to the next plans as it relates to one of the
8	most important elements, that being the retaining
9	wall in the front.
10	MS. CHIOCCHIO: Very good.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We're actually
12	looking at that as being a visual impact and
13	we're putting that as a SEQRA issue.
14	MS. CHIOCCHIO: Very good.
15	MR. HINES: The other thing we
16	discussed at work session, which didn't come up,
17	was on top of the retaining wall there's a
18	proposed six-foot high fence. It seems larger
19	than we normally see. We're having you take a
20	look at that as well. It may increase the visual
21	impact. It certainly needs a fence at the height
22	you have but six feet may be higher
23	MR. O'LEARY: Four feet may be a better
24	alternative.
25	MR. DOMINICK: Pat, did you also

1	CVS PHARMACY/STORE 61
2	mention we need information on signage?
3	MR. HINES: Yes, in my comments.
4	On your next submission we discussed
5	the private road or the private drive you're
6	connecting to doesn't give you credit towards the
7	sign guidelines for street frontage. You only
8	have the street frontage along the State highway.
9	The Board normally requests a signage chart to
10	show compliance with the Town's sign ordinance.
11	You get half a square foot per foot or something
12	I think it is.
13	MR. CANFIELD: Total square footage of
14	the sign allowable is fifty percent of the
15	frontage, the linear frontage.
16	MS. CHIOCCHIO: We'll provide more
17	architectural details.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
19	MS. CHIOCCHIO: Very good. Thank you
20	for your time.
21	
22	(Time noted: 8:00 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1		62
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		_
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		

GOLD'S GYM 1 64 MR. BROWNE: Our next item of business 2 3 is Gold's Gym, project 2016-15. It's a site plan being presented by Mauri --5 MR. DESING: Good evening. I'm Jay Desing with Mauri Architects. 6 We last met with the Board back in mid 7 September. At that time we had most of our 9 details resolved with our proposed expansion of the addition to the rear of the building. Our 10 11 stormwater plan had been reviewed and approved by 12 Mr. Hines. The one last aspect was our connection 13 14 to the municipal sewer system. We have submitted 15 a plan and details for our sewer pump station and 16 we've also submitted to the City of Newburgh for 17 our flow acceptance. They've replied and we do have an approved flow acceptance letter from 18 19 them. 20 There aren't really any other changes 21 to the site that I need to go over with you. 22 We're ready to move ahead if that's acceptable to 2.3 the Board. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines? 24 25 MR. HINES: I concur with that.

1	GOLD'S GYM 65
2	last time I think the project was ready for
3	approval it didn't have the City of Newburgh flow
4	acceptance letter. At this point we have
5	received that information, so I think we're okay
6	with issuing an approval.
7	There's going to be a stormwater and
8	landscape security required and a stormwater
9	maintenance agreement to be executed. Otherwise
10	all of our previous comments have been addressed.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield,
12	Code Compliance?
13	MR. CANFIELD: I have nothing
14	additional.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any additional
16	comments from Board Members?
17	MR. GALLI: No.
18	MR. BROWNE: No.
19	MR. MENNERICH: No.
20	MR. DOMINICK: No.
21	MR. WARD: No.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike Donnelly,
23	would you present to the Board the resolution for
24	approval?
25	MR. DONNELLY: I think it's both site

GOLD'S GYM 1 66 2 plan and ARB. First, we'll note the issuance of the 3 Newburgh flow acceptance letter. Our standard Architectural Review Board condition. 5 required landscape security and inspection fee. The inspection fee will be in the amount of 7 \$2,000. A stormwater improvement security and 9 inspection fee together with a stormwater control 10 facility maintenance agreement. Our standard 11 condition stating that no outdoor fixtures or 12 amenities not shown on the plan can be 13 constructed without amended approval. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard the 15 conditions presented from our Attorney Mike 16 Donnelly for the Gold's Gym site plan, I'll move 17 for a motion to grant the final approval. MR. DOMINICK: So moved. 18 19 MR. WARD: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by 21 Dave Dominick. I have a second by John Ward. Any discussion of the motion? 22 2.3 (No response.) 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a 25 roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.

1	GOLD'S GYM 67
2	MR. GALLI: Aye.
3	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
4	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
5	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
6	MR. WARD: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
8	MR. DESING: Thank you. Good evening.
9	(Time noted: 8:03 p.m.)
10	
11	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
12	
13	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand
14	Reporter and Notary Public within and for
15	the State of New York, do hereby certify
16	that I recorded stenographically the
17	proceedings herein at the time and place
18	noted in the heading hereof, and that the
19	foregoing is an accurate and complete
20	transcript of same to the best of my
21	knowledge and belief.
22	
23	
24	

DATED: November 30, 2015

(845)895 - 3018

CARLOS DOMINGUES II

typically see in a boundary condition. We plan

When I looked to subdivide it, what I found out

CARLOS DOMINGUES II

1	CARLOS DOMINGUES II 76
2	up into that area.
3	MR. BROWN: Yeah. You know what, I'll
4	have them change the hatches. I should add it to
5	the legend so it's clear.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Thanks.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Michael, anything
8	else we have to do on this?
9	MR. DONNELLY: I don't think so.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Charlie.
11	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
12	
13	(Time noted: 8:10 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		77
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		_
19		
20		
21		
22	DATED: November 30, 2015	
23		
24		
25		

1	ELM FARM SUBDIVISION 79
2	MR. BROWN: Elm Farm Subdivision,
3	project number 2000-09. The applicant is
4	requesting a six-month extension of
5	conditional final approval from the 5th of
6	November 2015 to the 5th of May 2016.
7	MR. GALLI: So moved.
8	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
10	Frank Galli. I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
11	I'll ask for a roll call vote.
12	MR. GALLI: Aye.
13	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
14	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
15	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
16	MR. WARD: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye myself. So
18	carried.
19	
20	(Time noted: 8:11 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		80
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		

1		83
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		

1	85
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff, the last
3	thing is that the Planning Board will notify the
4	New York City Department of Environmental
5	Protection that as a group we'll be meeting on
6	the 20th I believe it is, 20th which is a Friday,
7	if it's acceptable, 10 o'clock in the morning to
8	do a site inspection of the work being done on
9	Route 9W.
10	
11	(Time noted: 8:12 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		86
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		

2	MR. DONNELLY: John, One Powelton, the
3	letter from the architect. You'll recall when
4	this was before the Board it was referred to the
5	Zoning Board for, I believe it was five separate
6	variances. The Zoning Board turned those down.
7	The applicant has taken the Zoning Board's
8	comments to heart and wishes to provide the
9	Planning Board and the Zoning Board with a
10	revised site plan that I assume is going to show
11	a need for fewer variances than were originally
12	proposed.
13	The letter that the applicant wrote
14	requested whether they should go directly to the
15	Zoning Board or come to the Planning Board first.
16	During your work session you decided as a group
17	that the applicant should come to the Zoning
18	Board first.
19	With your permission I'll write that
20	letter.
21	MR. GALLI: Come to the Planning Board
22	first.
23	MR. DONNELLY: I'm sorry. Thank you.

all the business.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think that covers

24

1	ONE POWELTON AVENUE 89
2	We covered Local 17. I'll contact the
3	DEP as far as the site inspection at this point.
4	I'll for a motion to close the Planning
5	Board meeting of the 5th of November.
6	MR. WARD: So moved.
7	MR. GALLI: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by
9	John Ward, a second by Frank Galli. I'll ask for
10	a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
11	MR. GALLI: Aye.
12	MR. BROWNE: Aye.
13	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
14	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
15	MR. WARD: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
17	
18	(Time noted: 8:14 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		90
2		
3	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand	
8	Reporter and Notary Public within and for	
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify	
10	that I recorded stenographically the	
11	proceedings herein at the time and place	
12	noted in the heading hereof, and that the	
13	foregoing is an accurate and complete	
14	transcript of same to the best of my	
15	knowledge and belief.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	DATED: November 30, 2015	
24		