STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

In the Matter of:

HUDSON DINER
(2011-02)
5500 Route 9W
Section 8; Block 2; Lot 27.1
B Zone

AMENDED SITE PLAN

Date: July 7, 2011 Time: 7:00 p.m.

Place: Town of Newburgh

Town Hall

1496 Route 300

Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman

FRANK S. GALLI

CLIFFORD C. BROWNE KENNETH MENNERICH JOSEPH E. PROFACI THOMAS E. FOGARTY

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.

BRYANT COCKS
PATRICK HINES
GERALD CANFIELD

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: Charles P. May

MICHELLE L. CONERO
10 Westview Drive
Wallkill, New York 12589
(845)-895-3018

Reported by: Rosemary A. Meyer

1 - HUDSON DINER -CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff, whenever you're ready. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Good evening ladies and 4 gentlemen. Welcome to the Town of Newburgh Planning Board meeting of July 7, 2011. 5 At this time we'll call the meeting to order with a 6 7 roll call vote starting with Tom Galli. BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Present. 8 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Present. 9 BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Present. 10 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present. 12 BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Here. 13 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Here. 14 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: The Planning Board has professional experts that provide reviews on the business 15 16 before us, including SEQRA determination as well as code and planning details. I'll ask them to introduce 17 18 themselves at this time. MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly, Planning Board 19 20 attorney. MR. CANFIELD: Gerry Canfield, Town of Newburgh Code 21 22 Compliance Supervisor. 23 MR. HINES: Pat Hines with Mc Gough, Hauser and Edsall 24 Consulting Engineers. MR. COCKS: Bryant Cocks, planning consultant. 25

- HUDSON DINER -

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: And I'll turn the meeting over to Joe Profaci at this time.

MR. PROFACI: Please join us for a salute to the flag.

(The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: If you have any cell phones or pagers, would you please turn them off. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Our first order of business this evening is Hudson Diner, Project No. 2011-02. It's an Amended Site Plan ARB being presented by Charles P. May and Associates.

MR. MAY: My name is Charles May. I'm representing the Hudson Diner which is located on Route 9W in Marlboro, New York. It's about eight miles north of the intersection of 9W and I-84.

The diner has been in existence since 1978. We are here this evening because the diner has requested a 960 square foot addition to the existing diner.

Just to share a little bit of the history of the Applicant's progress with the diner, as you may recall, when we first came here the determination was made that we had to appear before the Zoning Board of Appeals because we had to have a width, 60 foot frontage. We went to the Zoning Board of Appeals. The Zoning Board of Appeals has

- HUDSON DINER -

granted that setback requirement.

From there, we actually had many communications with the Orange County Health Department which I believe the chairman of the Planning Board and the Planning Board members have been copied on so you know that we had been in discussions with them.

The original intent was to try to expand the sewage disposal system. We looked at it in several different ways and we found out that the capital investment would not have a rate return.

What we would like to do is this evening present to you the 960 square foot addition which will be limited to 110 seats. As you may recall, we had sent the Orange County Health Department a copy of the SPEDS Permit. We also sent them a copy of the plans, the existing plans, and also the plans which demonstrate the square footage of the addition. The comments that we did receive from Ed Simms were that the actual addition would not have any impact on the existing sewage disposal system physically and that the existing sewage disposal system should be marked out during the period of construction.

The restaurant will be built, and the building management of the restaurant will be managed by De Rapalee Construction. There is a letter which was sent to the

1 - HUDSON DINER health department. And they have a construction manager 2 who will be on site at all times to manage the actual 3 4 construction of the facility. One of the comments that Bryant had was the fact that 5 he wanted to have the 60-foot front yard setback noted on 6 7 the cover sheet, which we will do, and the date that the ZBA had granted that setback. 8 No. 2, he speaks about the EAF, going to the 9 environmental mapper and the determination that we had 10 11 reviewed it and we didn't see any significant endangered species of plants or animals on that particular site; and 12 also, the architectural review of the restaurant itself. 13 14 The addition, if you all have your plans, you can see the 960 square foot addition will be in this particular 15 16 location. And all of the existing materials, colors, the 17 color of the stone, will all match the existing restaurant. So therefore, there will be no architectural changes which 18 have been in existence since 1978. 19 20 Having said that, I believe that that should conclude the presentation concerning this project at this time. 21 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from board members.

Anyone this evening?

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER GALLI: None, John.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Is there anything going to be

- HUDSON DINER -

done, any changes to the lighting or the parking?

MR. MAY: Well, there will be minor changes. There will be no changes to the lighting, but there will be minor changes to the parking which are indicated on the plan. We have a sufficient number of parking spaces in order to accommodate those changes. Those changes were presented to the Planning Board. The number of spaces that were required and the number of spaces that were provided are well within the requirements for parking spaces for restaurants according to your zoning code.

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: You refer to the EIF and the endangered species. You said there's no significant amount of endangered species. Would you rather say there is none? Because to say there's no significant, that means there is some.

MR. MAY: Okay. Well, in fact, I can retract. That's not a problem.

It's an asphalt pavement, as you may know, and there were no endangered -- if you want me to say there were no endangered --

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Assuming that's what you meant to say.

MR. MAY: Yes, yes. I'm sorry. I could make that, you know ...

- HUDSON DINER -

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: It may be semantics, but when you get into the technical stuff --

MR. MAY: I understand. I'm sorry that I made a little error there. I meant what you said.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, questions as far as Simm's letter.

MR. HINES: Yes. I received that in my package tonight. I see that. They have approval for 110 people in the facility. We would suggest that those notes be added to the plans because based on the parking count shown, there is significantly more than that, that you back into your parking calculations. I think Gerry just mentioned that the building may be posted right now for occupancy greater than that so you're kind of going a little backwards with the addition. So we would suggest that the 110 notation be placed on the Site Plan as well as, so it can be enforced by the Building Department.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gerry, do you agree?

MR. CANFIELD: Yes, I agreed. And also, the suggestion would be is if it could be perhaps a condition of the approval in the resolutions, a condition of approval on limiting the total occupant load to 110 if that's what Mr. May is indicating.

MR. MAY: That, I indicate 110 because 110 is what the

- HUDSON DINER limitations are for the SPEDS Permit as far as being flow,

design flow for the restaurant.

As far as what you're saying seating capacity for building occupancy, those are two different kind of issues. To be honest with you, it was my understanding it was up to 120. However, as the board, you know, as you say, 110 is the limitation according to the New York State DEC. I think that's what our understanding is.

MR. CANFIELD: I think in this scenario that the DEC, in the limitation in the SPEDS Permit, kind of supersedes what the building code intent of the net square footage can be calculated out for occupant load. So my suggestion would maintain, then, that it be a condition of resolution that we limit the occupant load to 110, total, to comply with the SPEDS Permit. Do you agree with that?

MR. LIEBERMAN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay.

MR. CANFIELD: That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant, is there anything else you'd like to add at this point? Bryant Cocks, town consultant.

MR. COCKS: No. Mr. May went through my comments. I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. So this is obviously

1	- HUDSON DINER -
2	under 4,000 square feet so there's no SEQRA determination.
3	MR. DONNELLY: Did we hear back from the Orange County
4	Planning Department?
5	MR. COCKS: Local.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So the action before us this
7	evening is to grant Amended Site Plan Approval and ARB
8	Approval for the Hudson Diner.
9	Mike, would you give us, please, the conditions and
10	resolution that would allow us to make that action?
11	MR. DONNELLY: The first would be a sign off letter
12	from Bryant for the items raised in his memo of June 30th.
13	Second condition will be the total occupant load on
14	the premises shall, per the SPEDS Permit, be limited to
15	110.
16	Pat, does this still need a DEC approval for the
17	sanitary disposal system? Or that's both the Health
18	Department and DEC are now
19	MR. HINES: The existing SPEDS Permit will remain and
20	the Health Department reviews between 1,000 and 10,000 as a
21	Health Department jurisdiction, so they're fine.
22	MR. DONNELLY: And they've written it and that takes
23	care of that.
24	MR. HINES: Yes.
25	MR. DONNELLY: We'll tie into the Zoning Board

- HUDSON DINER -

variance decision. I don't believe that there are any forms of financial security required. There's no new landscaping, there's no stormwater.

MR. HINES: There is new landscaping.

MR. DONNELLY: Okay. I'm sorry. So we'll need a landscape security inspection fee.

Our standard condition, our architectural review board and our standard condition regarding no construction of outdoor fixtures or amenities that are not shown on the plans.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The owner is here this evening?

MR. MAY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant, would you explain to the owner what will need to be done as far as the landscaping and posting of security because Karen isn't here. That way, normally what will happen is they'll look to apply for a building permit, but this requirement needs to be satisfied first.

MR. BRYANT: Yes. Mr. May will have to submit a landscaping cost estimate to Karen Arent for her review. When she approves that document she will forward it on to the Town Board and the Town Board has to approve the bond amount, then the bond has to be submitted to the town attorney. He'll do an approval letter, then I can do my

1 - HUDSON DINER final sign off for the document. 2 3 MR. MAY: We're really replacing the existing landscape in kind that's there, to be honest. Anyway, 4 that's not a problem. 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Because then it's supposed to be 6 7 guaranteed to live for two years. Anything else from any of the members? 8 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: We're doing the ARB right now? 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes. 10 11 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Okay. With the materials and whatnot, is the comment 12 13 sufficient that they're going to match exactly what's there 14 for inspection purposes and so on? 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: There is an ARB form. We'll will 16 make that part of the final submission. You'll complete 17 that as far as materials, listing material and colors, and 18 we'll have that as part of the record. MR. MAY: As I said before, they will be the same 19 20 matching. BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: The problem that we have, 21 sometimes the people, they'll come and they're present 22 things, they'll say things. When it actually happens it's 23

not what they say it is. So we need something so our folks

that do the inspection can say: Okay, this is what they

24

25

1	- HUDSON DINER -
2	agreed to, this is what we have.
3	MR. MAY: I understand.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any further questions or comments
5	from board members, from consultants?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then we'll move for a motion to
8	grant the Amended Site Plan Approval and ARB to the Hudson
9	Diner as subject to conditions that were presented to us by
10	the Planning Board attorney, Mike Donnelly.
11	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: So moved.
12	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli. I
14	have a second by Ken Mennerich.
15	Any further discussion on the motion?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll move for a roll call vote
18	starting with Frank Galli.
19	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.
20	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.
21	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.
22	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
23	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself.
25	(The motion was agreed and carried.)

1	- HUDSON DINER -
2	Congratulations. Good luck to you.
3	(Time noted: 7:14 p.m)
4	
5	* * * *
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Muyon ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1				
2		OF NEW YORK :		
3	 In the Matter o			X
4				
5		78 Balmvil:		
6		almville Road and	d Grand	
7		Section 43; Block R-1 Zo		47.0
8				
9				
10		CONCEPTUAL TWO-LO	OT SUBDI	<u>VISION</u>
11			Time:	July 7, 2011 7:14 P.M.
12			Place:	Town of Newburgh Town Hall
13				1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
14	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYI	N, Chair	man
15		FRANK S. GALLI CLIFFORD C. BROW	NNF.	
		KENNETH MENNERIO	CH	
16		JOSEPH E. PROFACTHOMAS E. FOGAR		
17	ALGO DDEGEME:	MICHAEL II DONNI		0
18	ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONNI BRYANT COCKS	тппі, во	Q.
19		PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIELD		
				_
20	APPLICANT'S REP	RESENTATIVE: Joi	n Bodend	orf
21				X
22		MICHELLE L		
23		10 Westvie Wallkill, New 1	York 12	
24		(845)- 89!	5-3018	
25		Repo	orted by	: Rosemary A. Meyer

- 78 BALMVILLE ROAD -

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Okay. Our next item of business is 78 Balmville Road, Project No. 2011-13. This a Conceptual Two-Lot Subdivision being presented by Tom Cerchiara.

MR. BODENDORF: Actually, Mr. Cerchiara could not be here tonight. I'm Jon Bodendorf from Hudson Land Design.
We're the engineers for the project --

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Thank you.

MR. BODENDORF: -- here on behalf of the Applicant.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you have a business card?

MR. BODENDORF: I can get one.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. At the end, and we'll give it to the stenographer.

MR. BODENDORF: Okay.

The subject property is located at 78 Balmville Road.

It's approximately 7.6 acres in size, located between

Balmville Road and Grand Avenue. It's within the town's

R-1 Zoning District. And currently, there's an existing

house on the property with some accessory structures.

What the Applicant is looking to do is to subdivide the property into two lots, one of which would contain the existing home and the accessory structures, and the other would provide for the construction of a new single-family home. The lot with the existing home would be reduced to

- 78 BALMVILLE ROAD -

5.9 acres and the newly created lot would be approximately
1.7 acres in size.

The new home would gain access from a new driveway to Grand Avenue. Water supply would be via a connection to the town's water main along Grand Avenue and wastewater disposal would be via a new subsurface sewage disposal system. That's essentially the project in a nutshell.

We did receive comments from your consultants. The majority of them were relatively minor. We've actually made most of the changes on the plan already. However, there was one item brought up by the planner. There's an existing pole barn used for storage on the property that's essentially sitting right on the property line. My understanding is that because they are now subdividing the property, it either needs to conform to current zoning which would mean a five foot setback from the property line, and/or a variance needs to be sought from the Zoning Board of Appeals. I spoke to the applicants. They do not want to remove it if they don't have to. They can't relocate it without destroying it so they'd like to pursue a variance with the ZBA.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant, what are your recommendations at this point?

MR. COCKS: As Tom mentioned, that was my third

- 78 BALMVILLE ROAD -

2 comment.

My second comment was regarding the new residential lot area local law. I did forward a copy of the law to his office. That needs to be changed.

The zoning table needs to be revised to show the actual dimensions of the parent parcel. And the lot building surface coverage requirement should also be shown in the bulk table. It's not showing two foot contours for the current parcel. They either can show these contours or he can request a waiver of this requirement from the Planning Board.

The owner's consent note must be signed before the final approval can be granted.

Surveyor's seal and signature are required before final approval can be granted.

The Town of Newburgh Highway Department need to approve the new driveway entrance on Grand Avenue.

And the plans should also be forwarded to the Water Department for their review before final approval is granted.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gerry, I notice you're looking at the code book. Is that in reference to the barn?

MR. CANFIELD: No.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No?

1 - 78 BALMVILLE ROAD -Pat Hines, do you have anything to add? 2 3 MR. HINES: Yes. We just had a couple cleanup items. 4 The septic system note requiring the submission of an as-built plan prior to the Certificate of Occupancy needs 5 to be added to the plans. 6 The highway superintendent's comments on the driveway 7 location. 8 The Town of Newburgh Water System notes must be added. 9 We provided them with our comments. 10 11 And we're suggesting that additional erosion sediment control be provided along the driveway as it's a relatively 12 13 steep run down to the town road so that should be 14 addressed. We sometimes have problems with driveways 15 cutting against slopes like that. 16 But that's all we have. We would recommend a Negative 17 Declaration. Sorry, the ZBA. 18 MR. BODENDORF: Most of those plan revisions have already been taken care of so on the next submission you'll 19 20 see that reflected. The comment about showing topography on the lot within 21 the existing house and accessory structures, do we request 22 that waiver at this time 23 or ... CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You could request it at this time. 24 MR. BODENDORF: I spoke to the Applicant. They, 25

1	- 78 BALMVILLE ROAD -
2	obviously, would rather not show that if it's not
3	necessary. Since there are no improvements proposed in
4	that area we'd like to get or request that waiver.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You've heard the presentation by
6	Jon, is your first name?
7	MR. BODENDORF: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: He would like the Board, based
9	upon his presentation, to grant a waiver for not providing
10	two foot contours.
11	I will poll the board members to see if they're in
12	favor.
13	Frank.
14	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Yes.
15	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Yes. Assuming Pat Hines doesn't
16	make a comment on it.
17	MR. HINES: Yes, I'm fine with it. There are no
18	improvements proposed in it. It would be a five acre
19	survey shown, consistent with five acres.
20	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: All right, fine. Yes.
21	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Yes.
22	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Yes.
23	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. Then let the record
25	show that the Planning Board is not requiring a providing

1 - 78 BALMVILLE ROAD of two-foot contour lines for the existing five acre parcel 2 3 where the current house is located because there will be no 4 change or additions to that parcel of land. Mike Donnelly, do you want to talk to us about providing a letter to the Zoning Board of Appeals? 6 7 MR. DONNELLY: Yes. At your direction, I'll send a letter to the Zoning Board. This is a side yard variance 8 for an existing structure that sits on the line, that 9 although currently protected, will lose its protection upon 10 11 subdivision. The Zoning Board has received a number of 12 these. At your direction I'll send that letter. 13 You will have to apply for that variance. 14 MR. BODENDORF: Right. MR. DONNELLY: The letter will just orient the Board 15 16 as to where things stand. 17 MR. BODENDORF: Does the letter need to be sent to the 18 Zoning Board before we make the application? Because we're 19 ready to get that in. 20 MR. DONNELLY: I'll get the letter out tomorrow. 21 MR. BODENDORF: Great. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion now to 22 23 grant Conceptual Approval for the two-lot subdivision for 24 78 Balmville Road.

BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: So moved.

25

1	- 78 BALMVILLE ROAD -
2	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken Mennerich
4	and a second by Tom Fogarty.
5	Any further discussion?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I move for a roll call vote
8	starting with Frank Galli.
9	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.
10	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.
11	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.
12	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
13	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So carried.
15	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you, Jon.
17	MR. BODENDORF: Thank you very much.
18	(Time noted: 7:21 p.m.)
19	
20	* * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyor ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1				
2		OF NEW YORK :		
3	 In the Matter o	· of:		X
4				
5		м & т		
6		(2010- Route 9W and C	•	Lane
7		Section 79; Bloc R-1/O		20.0
		R-1/0	Zone	
8				X
9		SITE PLA	N ARR	
10		21111111		- 3 F 0011
11				July 7, 2011 7:21 P.M.
12			Place:	Town of Newburgh Town Hall
				1496 Route 300
13				Newburgh, NY 12550
14	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTY FRANK S. GALLI	N, Chair	man
15		CLIFFORD C. BRO KENNETH MENNERI		
16		JOSEPH E. PROFA		
17		THOMAS E. FOGAR	LTY	
	ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONN	ELLY, ES	SQ.
18		BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES		
19		GERALD CANFIELD)	
20	APPLICANT'S REP	PRESENTATIVE: An	drew Wil	lingham
21				X
22		MICHELLE L		
23		10 Westvi Wallkill, New		
		(845)- 89		
24				
25		Rep	orted by	Rosemary A. Meyer

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Now, our next item of business, M and T Bank, Project No. 2010-23. It's a Site Plan ARB being presented by David Clouser and Associates.

MR. WILLINGHAM: Good evening. Andy Willingham of David Clouser and Associates. We are back.

As you, know we got Conditional Approval back in February. We're back for a few different reasons, the first one being we got an additional variance for an additional freestanding sign in the front here; and also need to get variances for the setback from the street line for both of those signs. We obtained those variances from the Zoning Board.

Also, it came to our attention that due to the flatness of the site there were some kind of lower areas that weren't draining well. Originally, we wanted to keep the same grade at the site. We decided to make the investment. M & T decided to make the investment to raise the site a couple of feet and really improve the drainage. So the whole site pretty much is raised a couple of feet to really improve the drainage, and that resulted in having to redo the entrance on 9W which resulted in needing a permit from DOT. So now, also, we're working with them currently, submitted in early May, just resubmitted back in May. Some minor comments.

Also, the drainage discharge point to the gutter over here along 9W, it's not the greatest discharge so we decided to apply to 5500 Route 9W some of the drainage into this catch basin here, first going into a dry well and then

to there. So that also needs DOT approval.

Also, kind of thinking about the construction and the fill that was coming in, our phasing plan changed lightly, which is Sheet SP 2, I believe. And also, we just kind of took this opportunity to, since we were submitting a full set of plans, to address all consultant comments and kind of get a lot of the stuff out of the way. So that's pretty much where we're looking at right now.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Pat Hines, you have outstanding comments on drainage?

MR. HINES: We took a look at the revised drainage system. We take no exception to it. It functions pretty much as it does today. They've incorporated some green infrastructure improvements per these papers and infiltration practices and rain gardens which we found acceptable. There's probably less impervious surface after this than prior.

We had a couple technical comments on the sanitary disposal system, just to specify the pumps. They're in the design report but not on the plans. And the note that's

required, submission of an as-built prior to Certificate of Occupancy.

We took a look at the DOT comments with regard to drainage. They want the drainage report stamped by the preparer, which I'm sure they can do. They had some comments that maybe are kind of Ken Worsten's (phonetic) purview for some signage and such that they requested, but they look like pretty technical comments. They weren't moving the locations of the driveways or anything as shown on the plans. So they've addressed the majority of our previous comments. We have a couple items, otherwise we're all good.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gerry, any outstanding code compliance issues that you see before us this evening?

MR. CANFIELD: No, I have nothing.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, in summary.

MR. COCKS: I have no additional comments. The Amended Site Plan hasn't changed in regard to building location, parking spaces, lighting or architecture so I have nothing further.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen wasn't able to attend this evening. She's preparing for some other projects that she has.

I think at this point, you're working on the landscape

1	- M & T BANK -
2	estimate?
3	MR. WILLINGHAM: It's been submitted.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's been submitted to Karen?
5	Comments from board members. Frank Galli.
6	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: No additional.
7	BOARD MEMBER BROWN: Nothing more.
8	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Did you get comments from
9	Karen?
10	MR. WILLINGHAM: Yeah. They were pretty minor.
11	There's three comments.
12	MR. DONNELLY: Complimentary.
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Joe.
14	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: No comment.
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom.
16	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Is the whole area going to be
17	raised two feet?
18	MR. WILLINGHAM: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah.
19	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Okay.
20	And the lower exit that goes out on Chestnut Lane, is
21	that going to be one way out?
22	MR. WILLINGHAM: This one?
23	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Yes.
24	MR. WILLINGHAM: Yes.
25	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: One way out right turn only?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLINGHAM: Yep.

MR. HINES: That was in the DOT comments. They gave the specifications for the signage that needs to go on those.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mr. Willingham, would you like to move forward with the ARB and we could take the actions jointly?

MR. HINES: There's going to be a temporary trailer here similar to what you did at Key Bank, Walgreen's?

MR. WILLINGHAM: Yes.

MR. HINES: I just want to remind the Board of that.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: They're putting in the new bank and I see in the parking lot down there. They're so common.

MR. HINES: They don't want to lose customers, they said.

MR. LIEBERMAN: That's why we're phasing the project, so that the temporary bank can be put in place before anything else happens.

This is the point elevation of the main bank. You see a lot of glass and screening. The reason for that is that we are attempting to be LEAD certified on this project. So it will be actually into the next, into the future. That's what this particular bank is looking to do. M & T had

asked that we set up our mechanical systems, as well as the architectural design, so that it will be a sample of what they will be doing with most of their branches.

The stone wall that was requested is going to be as shown on the Site Plan along the front of this. And this is actually a curve which you don't see in this particular elevation, but looking at the Site Plan you see how this particular corner right here is curved.

So that's essentially it.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Just go through the materials with us somewhat, please.

MR. LIEBERMAN: Okay. The entire building will be white. It'll be an efface and metal system. Efface meaning some of it will look like stucco and some of it will look like smooth metal panels. The color will really be up in this top area because that's going to be green shade which reflects. The light is reflected because this is facing south. And it hits that and it doesn't bounce back into the building because of this. It's been studied to work. And so the primary colors will be white, green.

Now, we've gone to a lot more planting than is required by your code, and I think that's one of the comments that Karen Arent made.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes.

MR. LIEBERMAN: Mainly because we, and we can't show it all on here because it would block the elevation, but the bank has asked that we develop the planting so that it will help with this building. I mean the building is going to feel like it's set into the woods. So that's a major expense that M & T has. We could have spent quite a bit less money on landscaping, but it will be an asset in terms of how this building will be seen.

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: One of Karen's comments was the type of the grass that is going to be planted in front fo the wall, I think. It was about -- it's pretty tall.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: It's a matter of opinion as far as the plant material, whether that plant material --

MR. LIEBERMAN: When that the grass is planted, the shrubs

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The grass meaning the variety of grass would have a height of three feet. And she just, you know, a matter of design.

MR. LIEBERMAN: The shrubs will be no higher than three feet in certain locations. We're putting a rain garden in, and that may be where the confusion is. But there will be -- this has all been pretty much set up by a landscape architect who has consulted with Karen. And as far as we know, she has no major objections. I hoped she

was here tonight, but ...

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Her real comment was the maintenance of some of these, that's all. She thought some of the perennial beds and such are attractive, but in the long run, who's going to maintain them. And it's just an exploratory comment as far as one designer's opinion bases another designer's opinion. It was kind --

BOARD MEMBER GALLI: They usually take care of the landscape pretty well. Banks usually do.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Since the existing bank has always naturally blended into the corner, it sounds like you're looking to do that with that.

MR. LIEBERMAN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom, you know that area around there.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Yes. This is in a R-1 Zone. I mean this looks like a very modernistic kind of building.

I mean there's no real homes around. You've got the golf course across the street, there's one home up on the hill and then there's woods in the back. So it's kind of isolated.

MR. LIEBERMAN: That's the primary reason for this planting that we're getting into, to soften the effect.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: I mean this, my original

- M & T BANK -
reaction when I see this is that this is going to be too
modern of a building for a residential area. I mean I am
sure that they'll do an excellent job and it will be
beautiful. I'm just wondering if it's too modern for that
area.
BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: It's modern, but it has a retro
look to it, almost art deco.
MR. LIEBERMAN: Thank you. That was the idea.
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: That's why we brought him back.
BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: He's on a hippy dippy.
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right, any comments from
anyone else?
(No verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. So the action before
us
Sam, Mr. Lieberman, you will be presenting, as Cliff
Browne mentioned earlier, a detailed list of materials.
You will list the identification numbers, the samples.
MR. LIEBERMAN: Sample numbers, everything, yes, as
part of the process that will develop as we complete the
drawings.
CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, the condition before us this
evening is to grant Conditional Final Site Plan Approval,

Amended, Amended Site Plan Approval for the M & T Bank --

1	– M & T BANK –
2	MR. DONNELLY: And ARB because ARB was not approved
3	the first time.
4	MR. LIEBERMAN: It wasn't submitted the last time.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Right.
6	MR. LIEBERMAN: We hadn't finished.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you want to carry us through
8	with the
9	MR. DONNELLY: Sure. We'll need a sign off letter
10	from Pat Hines on the issues in his June 29th memo.
11	I understand that a highway work permit from the DOT
12	will be required, but they have granted all other approvals
13	so we'll tie into the highway work permit.
14	We'll include a condition that says: Except as
15	modified, all conditions attached to the original granted
16	Site Plan Approval remain in effect.
17	We'll have the standard conditions of the
18	Architectural Review Board approval.
19	A landscape and stormwater security, I believe they're
20	both required because they were in the first one.
21	And our standard condition regarding no construction
22	of outdoor fixtures or amenities that are not shown on the
23	plan.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
25	Any comments on the conditions for the Amended Site

1	- M & T BANK -
2	Plan Approval and ARB Approval for M & T Bank presented by
3	Mike Donnelly, our attorney?
4	(No verbal response.)
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then I'll move for a motion to
6	grant those two actions.
7	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: So moved.
8	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Joe Profaci. I
10	have a second by Ken Mennerich.
11	Any further discussion?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I would move for a roll call vote
14	starting with Frank Galli.
15	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.
16	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.
17	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.
18	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
19	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And myself. So carried.
21	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
22	Congratulations. Good luck to you.
23	MR. LIEBERMAN: Thank you so much.
24	(Time noted: 7:35 p.m.)
25	* * * *

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Muyon ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

Taft Co	mpany and Sleepy	Hollow	Venture, LLC
Ga	(2011- rdnertown road a		r Drive
	ction 75; Block	1; Lot 2	4.22 and
	Section 73; Blo R-3 Z		ot 80
	TWO-LOT SUB	DIVISION	<u></u>
		Date:	
		Time:	7:35 P.M.
		Place:	Town of Newburg
			1496 Route 300
			Newburgh, NY 1
BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTY	N, Chair	man
	FRANK S. GALLI		
	CLIFFORD C. BROKENNETH MENNERI		
	JOSEPH E. PROFA		
	THOMAS E. FOGAR		
ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONN	ELLY, ES	SQ.
	BRYANT COCKS		
	PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIELD		
	GERALD CANFIELD		
APPLICANT'S REP	RESENTATIVE: Ha	rold Doc	e:e
	MICHELLE L	CONERC)
	10 Westvi		
	Wallkill, New	York 12	
	(845) - 89	5-3018	
	D		: Rosemary A. Me

- TAFT COMPANY and SLEEPY HOLLOW VENTURE, LLC -1 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Our next item of business is 2 Taft Company and Sleepy Hollow Venture, Project No. 3 4 2011-11. This is a two-lot subdivision being presented by Harold Doce of Vincent J. Doce, Associates. 5 MR. DOCE: Good evening. I'm Harold Doce. 6 7 Just to update this project, we made a request, as well as a acceptance letter, to the City of Newburgh 8 through Jim Osborne's office. I haven't heard back on that 9 10 yet. 11 Also, the Town Board has granted outside user status for a sewer. 12 We made a few minor changes to the plans since the 13 14 last meeting. I show two separate sanitary sewer services, 15 one for each of the proposed buildings. Updated the contours, two foot contours on the area to be developed. 16 17 And it sums up pretty much where it stands. 18 I was back tonight, hopefully to move forward and have a public hearing if the Board was inclined to do that. 19 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gerry Canfield, do you have anything to add to this? 21 22 MR. CANFIELD: Just a question on the outside user agreement that's required. I guess this question is for 23 24 Mike. The fee of \$3,500, that outside user agreement will

spell out at what time it's paid?

25

- TAFT COMPANY and SLEEPY HOLLOW VENTURE, LLC -

MR. DONNELLY: The letter said that a formal agreement will be sent. I haven't seen it, but it did mention the fee specifically.

MR. CANFIELD: Okay. The only reason I question that is sometimes, in the past, with outside user agreements they're either affixed to a building permit or a C of O. So just as long as that language is fixed with the agreement.

MR. DONNELLY: I saw the letter. They said it was approved that the fee would be due and an agreement would be sent so I assume the agreement covers it.

MR. CANFIELD: Okay. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, drainage consultant.

MR. HINES: We were just kind of conferring that two separate sanitary lines will be installed to service one for each of the individual houses. That's been shown on the plans. But they were close so we're suggesting a note that there will, in fact, be individual sewer lines for each house.

There's a need for an access maintenance agreement for the driveway as well as a utility easement for the water and sewer to the house in the rear. And then the City of Newburgh well acceptance letter is the only letter we're waiting for. Our previous comments were addressed.

1	- TAFT COMPANY and SLEEPY HOLLOW VENTURE, LLC -
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, planning consultant.
3	MR. COCKS: The two letters that the Planning Board is
4	also waiting for from the Town of Newburgh Highway
5	Department and approval of the driveway widening, and also
6	from Orange County Health Department on the issue of
7	whether this is a creeping subdivision. I think it would
8	be okay to schedule a public hearing, though we will need a
9	letter from the Health Department confirming whether this
10	is okay.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from board members.
12	Frank Galli.
13	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: The remaining six acres in the
14	back on Lot No. 2, you have no plans on doing anything with
15	that?
16	MR. DOCE: No, not at this time.
17	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: If they do how are they going to
18	access it? Off Gardnertown Road?
19	MR. DOCE: Yes. Well, yes, it would be off of
20	Gardnertown Road.
21	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: And this
22	MR. DOCE: There'd be no more the lot access from
23	Wenmar.
24	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Now, that's going to be a
25	driveway off of Wenmar?

- TAFT COMPANY and SLEEPY HOLLOW VENTURE, LLC -1 MR. DOCE: Right. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER GALLI: The two houses? 4 MR. DOCE: Right. And the agreements are -- the 5 Applicant's attorney has drawn those agreements up and as 6 soon they're done we'll be submitting them to Mike for his 7 review. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff Browne. 8 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Nothing. 9 10 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: I have no questions. 11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion from the 12 Board to grant a Negative Declaration for Taft Company and 13 Sleepy Hollow Venture, two-lot subdivision, and to set the 14 4th of August for a public hearing. BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: So moved. 15 16 BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken Mennerich. 18 I have a second by Joe Profaci. 19 Any discussion on the motion? 20 (No verbal response.) CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We'll move, then, for a roll call 21 22 vote starting with Frank Galli. 23 BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye. 24 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye. BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye. 25

1	- TAFT COMPANY and SLEEPY HOLLOW VENTURE, LLC -
2	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
3	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
5	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Karen, if you would work with
7	Bryant Cocks, our planning consultant, as far as the
8	letters for the mailing. And if possible, the Tuesday,
9	which would be the 2nd of August, if you could bring to
10	the office the return receipts and the certified mailings
11	so Frank Galli could have a chance working with them.
12	MR. DOCE: Thank you.
13	(Time noted: 7:40 p.m.)
14	
15	* * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
•	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyor ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1			
2		OF NEW YORK : COUNTY	
3	In the Matter of		X
4			
5		CRONK ESTATES II (2010-07)	
6		Peaceful Court Section 1; Block 2; Lot	17 2
7		AR Zone	17.2
8			X
9			Λ
10		SIX-LOT SUBDIVISION	
11		Time:	July 7, 2011 7:40 P.M.
12		Place:	Town of Newburgh Town Hall
13			1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
14	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairn	nan
15		CLIFFORD C. BROWNE KENNETH MENNERICH	
16		JOSEPH E. PROFACI	
17		THOMAS E. FOGARTY	
18	ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESOBRYANT COCKS	2.
19		PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIELD	
20	APPLICANT'S REPE	RESENTATIVE: Charles Bro	own, P.E.
21			X
22		MICHELLE L. CONERO	_
23		10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 129	589
		(845) - 895-3018	
24			
25		Reported by	Rosemary A. Meyer

- CRONK ESTATES, II -

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Our next item of business is Cronk Estates II, Project No. 2010-07. It's a six-lot subdivision being presented by Charles Brown of Taconic Design Engineering.

MR. BROWN: We were last before this board three weeks ago on this project.

The majority of the comments were pertaining to the stormwater. We met with the engineer, and based upon that, revised the plan. Added one more swale and made all three of those swales bio retention swales. And I believe we have a sign-off on that from the engineer.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, drainage consultant.

MR. HINES: Yes. My office had a couple of meetings with Mr. Brown's office regarding that. The Stormwater Pollution Plan is now in compliance with the DEC regulations, the 2011 version of those.

We have issued a municipal acceptance form which has been executed so that they can get coverage under the DEC Stormwater Permit.

And also, I'll be issuing a letter to the Code

Department that with the acceptance of the stop work order

that's currently on, I believe Lot 10, can be lifted by the

Town. That addressed all our previous comments. We had

previously commented on some septic separations, some

1 - CRONK ESTATES, II easements for the stormwater. The main comment that was 2 3 outstanding was the SWPP being accepted. And John 4 Szarowski from my office actually reviewed that, and he has signed off on it. 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. 6 7 Gerry Canfield, code compliance, do you have anything to add? 8 MR. CANFIELD: I have nothing further. The Notice of 9 Intent I understand has been issued, so concurring with the 10 11 facts that the stop work order on Lot 10 will be lifted tomorrow or Monday. 12 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, planning consultant. 14 MR. COCKS: I have no further comments. 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from board members. Frank Galli. 16 17 BOARD MEMBER GALLI: No additional. 18 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Nothing more. 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Anything additional? 20 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: I just have one about that 21 drain. Remember, we were talking about a drainage district, that one was necessary. Is that now --22 MR. HINES: I've had conversations. I wasn't at the 23 meeting where that came up, but I have, since, had 24 conversations with Jim Osborne. Similar to other projects 25

- CRONK ESTATES, II -

that have private roads, we have, in the past, allowed the drainage improvements, the maintenance of them to become a part of the private road access and maintenance agreement. We have a history of doing that. Rather than creating a drainage district, we have another mechanism to allow through the operation of maintenance of those. Normally, we create drainage districts where the stormwater treatment and collection are within town property or we would carve off a lot that will be owned and maintained by the drainage district.

MR. DONNELLY: I think the particular concern that occurred on this project was early on there were a lot of rain gardens.

MR. HINES: Yes.

MR. DONNELLY: And the worry was who and how were they to be maintained, and if they weren't, might the Town Board, in struggling with this issue, want to have what amounts to a backup drainage district with the right and authority to go, repair the place and charge back. But that issue all disappeared with the rain gardens largely fading.

MR. HINES: Yes, those have been removed in favor of the bio retention swales, a more conventional technique.

MR. BROWN: Also, I was at that meeting. And my

- CRONK ESTATES, II -

understanding was the concern the Town, is legitimate, is in the event that the homeowners maintain the road only, not the pond, because it's an MS-4 area there, the town would be on the hook for maintenance of that. In lieu of doing a drainage ditch on the site, we're working with the town attorney now to set up some clauses in individual deeds for lots on this. In the event they don't maintain it, the Town has the right to come in and do that. The town supervisor and the town attorney would prefer that rather than doing a full-blown drainage ditch on this property.

And as Pat said, all of those, what's called remote provisions that they put in there, they've all been removed into, consolidated in the area of the pond and the road itself. So that makes the maintenance more acceptable and easier to maintain.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Who maintains the swales?

MR. BROWN: Well, I mean they would be maintained by,
as per the maintenance agreement for the private road. All
property owners would be responsible for maintenance of all
the swales.

MR. HINES: Similar to the snowplowing and the routine upkeep.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Got you.

1	- CRONK ESTATES, II -
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any further comments?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion to grant a
5	Negative Declaration for the six-lot subdivision of Cronk
6	Estates and schedule August 4th for a public hearing.
7	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Joe Profaci.
9	And a second?
10	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a second by Ken Mennerich.
12	Any discussion on the motion?
13	(No verbal response),
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Roll call vote starting with Frank
15	Galli.
16	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.
17	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.
18	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.
19	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
20	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried.
22	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: As in this case, would you speak
24	with Bryant Cocks as far as the circulation for the
25	mailing. On the Tuesday before the meeting, August 4th,

1	- CRONK ESTATES, II -
2	return receipts to the Planning Board office.
3	(Time noted: 7:46 p.m.)
4	
5	* * * *
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Muyon ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1				
2		OF NEW YORK : (
3	 In the Matter of			X
4		•		
5		Grove-Vite Sub	divisio	on
6		(2011-12 Curtain La	•	
	s	Section 8; Block 1	; Lot 3	43.45
7		AR Zone		
8				X
9				
10		TWO-LOT SUBDI	<u>VISION</u>	-
11				July 7, 2011
		P.	lace:	7:46 P.M. Town of Newburgh
12				Town Hall 1496 Route 300
13				Newburgh, NY 12550
14	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN,	Chairm	ıan
15		FRANK S. GALLI CLIFFORD C. BROWN	F.	
		KENNETH MENNERICH		
16		JOSEPH E. PROFACI THOMAS E. FOGARTY		
17	AIGO DDEGENTE:	MICHAEL H. DONNEL	IV FCA	
18		BRYANT COCKS	ці, боў	! •
19		PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIELD		
			1 5	5. 7.
20	APPLICANT'S REPR	RESENTATIVE: Char	les Bro	wn, P.E.
21				X
22		MICHELLE L.		
23		10 Westview Wallkill, New Yo		589
24		(845) - 895-		
25		Report	ted by:	Rosemary A. Meyer

- GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION -

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Our next item of business is Grove-Vite Subdivision. Did I get that right? A two-lot subdivision. Again, Charles Brown presenting from Taconic Design Engineering.

MR. BROWN: We were also before the Planning Board on this one three weeks ago.

The major thing was the retaining wall, that was most of the comments, for the common driveway.

In addition to that, there was various comment about getting a fire truck in there. So we put some time into regrading that and eliminated the retaining walls, and also provided an inside radius of 35 foot minimum, 15 foot width, with an outside radius of 50 foot to allow the fire truck to get up to the residence.

The other major change is we added on, Sheet 1, the layout plans, the buildable areas, and they both exceed 10,000 square feet.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, planning consultant.

MR. COCKS: Yes. As mentioned, Mr. Brown did show the 10,000 square foot minimum buildable area. He also did revived EAF showing Central Hudson as permitting agency.

The license agreement was already submitted so no further action is required with that.

A common driveway, as mentioned, was revised so

1 - GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION there's no retaining walls. They will need a maintenance 2 3 agreement. And that's about it. 4 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Pat Hines, drainage consultant. 5 MR. HINES: Yes. For some reason I don't have any 6 7 comments on this site. I think they came in after the deadline. I do remember they came into my office, though. 8 I am noting that the retaining wall was one of my major 9 concerns that was just mentioned. They have been removed. 10 11 The finished floor elevations have been revised. 12 MR. BROWN: Actually, the garage floor elevation for 13 Lot 8, written for Lot 8, is 232. I will move that around 14 so that is shown. 15 MR. HINES: So the majority of our comments, the 16 significant ones, I see right here have been addressed so I 17 would not take exception to the Planning Board scheduling 18 the public hearing. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gerry Canfield, code compliance. 19 20 MR. CANFIELD: I have nothing, nothing additional. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from board members. 21 22 BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: No additional. 23 BOARD MEMBER GALLI: No. I'm good. 24 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: No. 25 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: No comment.

1	- GROVE-VITE SUBDIVISION -
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I would move to grand a
3	Negative Declaration and to set a public hearing for
4	Grove-Vite two-lot subdivision for the 4th of August.
5	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: So moved.
6	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken Mennerich.
8	I have a second by Tom Fogarty.
9	Any discussion on the motion?
10	(No verbal response.)
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Move for a roll call vote, please,
12	starting with Frank Galli.
13	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.
14	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.
15	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.
16	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
17	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. Agreed.
19	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
20	(Time noted: 7:49 p.m.)
21	
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyor ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

	E OF NEW YORK : COUNTY	
In the Matter of		X
	-	
	NEW YORK CITY	
DEP	ARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REPAIRS TO AQUEDUCT	
	In TOWN OF NEWBURGH	
		X
	BOARD BUSINESS	
		July 7, 2011
		7:49 P.M. Town of Newburgh
		Town Hall
		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chair FRANK S. GALLI CLIFFORD C. BROWNE KENNETH MENNERICH JOSEPH E. PROFACI THOMAS E. FOGARTY	rman
ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ES BRYANT COCKS	Q.
	PATRICK HINES	
	GERALD CANFIELD	
		X
	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive	
	Wallkill, New York 12	
	(845) - 895-3018	
	Reported by	: Rosemary A. Meyer

Τ	- NYC DEP REPAIRS TO AQUEDUCT -
2	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: For Board Business, we had a
3	discussion at the five o'clock work session on the first
4	item, the New York City Department of Environmental
5	Protection regarding the repairs to the aqueduct in the
6	Town of Newburgh. Any follow up discussion on that, John,
7	do you think, or no?
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No. Just open comments from the
9	board. It's a very interesting project.
10	(Time noted: 7:49 p.m.)
11	
12	* * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyor ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1			
2		OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING	BOARD
3	 In the Matter o	f:	X
4			
5		GREINER SUBDIVISION (2002-33)	
6			X
7		BOARD BUSINESS	
8			July 7, 2011
9		Time:	7:50 P.M. Town of Newburgh
10		riace.	Town Hall 1496 Route 300
11			Newburgh, NY 12550
12			
13	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chair	man
14		FRANK S. GALLI CLIFFORD C. BROWNE	
15		KENNETH MENNERICH JOSEPH E. PROFACI	
16		THOMAS E. FOGARTY	
17	ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESC	Q.
18		BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES	
19		GERALD CANFIELD	
20			X
21			
22			
23		MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive	
24		Wallkill, New York 12 (845)- 895-3018	589
			. Donomerce 3. 3
25		Reported by	: Rosemary A. Meyer

	- GREINER SUBDIVISION -
2	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: The next item under board
3	business is the Greiner Subdivision, Project No. 2002-33.
4	The Applicant is requesting a retroactive extension of
5	Conditional Preliminary Subdivision Approval which will run
6	from June 2, 2011 until December 2, 2011.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant Cocks, do you have anything
8	to add to this?
9	MR. COCKS: No.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. Then I move for a
11	motion to grant the extension with regard to Subdivision
12	Preliminary Approval to December 2, 2011.
13	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: So moved.
14	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Second
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli and
16	a second by Joe Profaci.
17	I ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.
18	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.
19	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.
20	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.
21	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.
22	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So carried.
24	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
25	(Time noted: 7:50 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyer ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1			
2		OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING	
3	 In the Matter o		X
4			
5		GOLDEN VISTA (1999-33)	
			X
7		BOARD BUSINESS	
9			July 7, 2011 7:50 P.M.
10		Place:	Town of Newburgh Town Hall 1496 Route 300
11			Newburgh, NY 12550
12			
13	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairr FRANK S. GALLI	nan
14		CLIFFORD C. BROWNE	
15		KENNETH MENNERICH JOSEPH E. PROFACI	
16		THOMAS E. FOGARTY	
17	ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESC	Q.
18		BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES	
19		GERALD CANFIELD	
20			X
21			
22		MICHELLE L. CONERO	
23		10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 129	589
24		(845)- 895-3018	
25		Transcribed by	Rosemary A. Meyer

1 - GOLDEN VISTA -BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: The next item under Board 2 Business is Golden Vista, Project No. 1999-33. 3 4 Applicant is requesting an extension of Conditional Final Site Plan Approval which would run from September 10, 2011 5 to March 10, 2012. 6 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Mike, I asked you to do some research on this. I suggested it because I always thought 8

that the project --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DONNELLY: Three years, two plus one. The Final Approval was granted on January 8, 2009. I'm not sure when it was entered in the office of the town clerk.

Do you have that, Frank? Do you have an entered copy? BOARD MEMBER GALLI: I don't have it.

MR. DONNELLY: It would be a maximum of three years from that date. That could have been -- well, if it was January 8th, then obviously, 9, 10, 11, it would have to be January of 2011. Right? I'm sorry. Of 2012. It may not have been signed and entered in the office of the clerk for a month or so after that so the March 12th date may, indeed, be correct. That would be the end of the line. I'm assuming since it came out, we got that March 12th date somewhere, that that's probably three years from when the resolution was entered in the office of the town clerk.

MR. HINES: It's March 10th.

1	- GOLDEN VISTA -		
2	MR. DONNELLY: I'm sorry, March 10th.		
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. Then we're satisfied		
4	that we'll move for that extension?		
5	MR. DONNELLY: Yes.		
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move to grant a Conditional		
7	Final Site Plan Approval extension for Golden Vista to		
8	March 10, 2012.		
9	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: So moved.		
10	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Second.		
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion by Ken Mennerich. Second		
12	by Joe Profaci.		
13	Any further discussion?		
14	(No verbal record.)		
15	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Move for a roll call vote starting		
16	with Frank Galli.		
17	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.		
18	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.		
19	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.		
20	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.		
21	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.		
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself, yes. So carried.		
23	(The motion was agreed and carried.)		
24	MR. COCKS: John, do you want me to indicate in my		
25	letter that the extension is the final extension that they		

1	- GOLDEN VISTA -
2	can
3	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What does the Board think?
4	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes.
6	(Time noted: 7:52 p.m.)
7	
8	* * * *
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyer ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

1			
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD		
3	X In the Matter of:		
4	In the Matter of:		
5	T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC (2005-47)		
6	(2005-48)		
7	X		
8	BOARD BUSINESS		
9	Date: July 7, 2011 Time: 7:52 P.M.		
10	Place: Town of Newburgh		
11	Town Hall 1496 Route 300		
12	Newburgh, NY 12550		
13			
14	BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI		
15	CLIFFORD C. BROWNE KENNETH MENNERICH JOSEPH E. PROFACI		
16	THOMAS E. FOGARTY		
17	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.		
18	ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ. BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES		
19	GERALD CANFIELD		
20	 x		
21	x		
22	MIGHELLE I GOVERNO		
23	MICHELLE L. CONERO 10 Westview Drive		
24	Wallkill, New York 12589 (845)- 895-3018		
25	Transcribed by: Rosemary A. Meyer		

- T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC -

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: The next item under board business is T-Mobile Northeast, LLC, Project No. 2005-47, formerly Omnipoint Communication Incorporated.

The applicant is requesting a recertification of the Special Use Permit for T-Mobile collocation on the Bannerman View Drive cell tower. The original Site Plan Special Use Permit and ARB approval was granted on May 4, 2006.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Bryant, bring us the law on this. Gerry, Bryant, chime in together. It's part of the code, correct?

MR. COCKS: Yes, it is.

MR. CANFIELD: Yes.

MR. COCKS: The Telecommunications Act, they have a five-year window, and then they need to get recertified another five years. So a lot of the previous ones that came in, they put up new antennas. That's why we've seen a lot of these come in and say they're doing the recollocation, they're taking off the old antennas, putting new ones on, so that triggers a new five years. These are the same antennas that went up in 2006 so they need to get recertified every five years.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. So it's just a simple motion of granting?

- T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC -

MR. DONNELLY: My suggestion would be after you grant it, or if you do grant it, that on the resolution itself, in the file we simply put the date recertified. There's no reason to issue a new resolution, but I think just a jotting on the front of the resolution that it was recertified on tonight's date would be all that's needed in terms recordkeeping.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: In the file itself.

MR. DONNELLY: Yes. In the resolution, probably right on the face of the resolution.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DONNELLY: You know, recertified by Planning Board vote July 7, 2011.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Gerry, would that work for your office? Because it's really Gerry's office that would need that.

MR. DONNELLY: Yes.

MR. CANFIELD: Yes. Basically, it's just a formality to comply with the five-year requirement, as Bryant had said. Both these applicant have recently been before us for collocations of additional antennnas. We did receive tower reports, we did receive structural reports, capacity. I know Tom was very vocal in questioning the capacity of the towers.

1 - T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC -BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Yes. 2 MR. CANFIELD: We received all that. So it's just a 3 4 formality of complying with the five year. MR. DONNELLY: We all know we did it tonight. I just 5 want to make sure that there's something in the file that 6 when somebody opens it up they'll see it was done and not 7 have to dig through minutes. Whatever that takes to do 8 that. 9 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Should we also say with that 10 11 comment on there that it was recertified due to and list those things that Gerry just mentioned, that list, because 12 13 of dat, dat, dat? Otherwise, it's like: So why'd you 14 recertify? 15 MR. COCKS: Do you want me to just write a formal 16 letter to attach to the file? I also have to send it to the Applicant also and let them know. 17 18 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A chronological history of what is occurring in the course of the last few years. 19 20 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Otherwise, it may not look like you did anything, just it was rubber-stamped it. 21 22 MR. CANFIELD: Essentially, though, what we're saying is the conditions haven't changed from what was originally 23 approved. 24 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: And that we have reviewed it 25

1 - T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC very recently. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: As long as there's nothing in the file that says in order to be recertified they had to 4 fulfill these following requirements. 5 MR. CANFIELD: That make good sense. 6 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion to grant T-Mobile Northeast Project No. 2005-47, and also Project 8 No. 2005-48, a five-year extension of the Special Use 9 Permit subject to Bryant Cocks attaching to the resolution 10 11 a more recent chronological history of the structural 12 reports that substantiate the quality of this tower. 13 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: So moved. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by --15 BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: -- Tom Fogarty. We have a second 17 by Joe Profaci. 18 I move for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli. 19 BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye. 20 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye. 21 BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye. 22 BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye. 23 BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So carried. 25 (The motion was agreed and carried.)

1	- T-MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC -
2	(Time noted: 7:57 p.m.)
3	
4	* * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Meyer ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011

	OF NEW YORK		
In the Matter o			
	FOUR PROPOS FROM THE TOWN		
	BOARD	BUSINESS	
		Time:	July 7, 2011 7:57 P.M. Town of Newburgh Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12
	FRANK S. GALL CLIFFORD C. B KENNETH MENNE JOSEPH E. PRO THOMAS E. FOG	ROWNE RICH FACI ARTY	
ALSO PRESENT:	MICHAEL H. DO BRYANT COCKS PATRICK HINES GERALD CANFIE	·	SQ.
		L. CONERC	
	Wallkill, Ne (845)-	w York 12 895-3018	2589
	_	aguibad b	γ : Rosemary A. Mey

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: The last item of business, discussion of the four proposed Local Laws from the Town of Newburgh Town Board dated June 22, 2011.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Three of them we worked on.

MR. DONNELLY: Yes. There's only one new one, although there have been some slight changes.

The four are Local Law No. 2, is the lot line change law that only had some additional provisions that Mark put in since you last saw it. The second is the residential lot area exception or grandfathering law. The third is the buildable area law. Again, it had some minor changes. The last one is senior housing. It's new.

Just quickly, what they are, the lot line change law, if you look at it, is contained in the definitional provisions. It defines a lot line as something other than a subdivision and you're given the discretion to determine whether or not it complies with the definition.

Essentially what that is is one, that it is a minor boundary location where the land is being moved from one lot to an immediately adjoining lot. It shall not result in any nonconforming lot becoming conforming or making an existing lot more nonconforming. And it shall not turn a nonconforming lot into a conforming lot. There's a procedural methodology set forth which is what you used to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do. You do require a map, we want to see the deed. And a public hearing is not required.

BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: What is the logic for if the lot line is going to change a nonconforming to conforming: Why are we treating that differently? It would seem like that's something we'd want to happen and we should make the process easier for that to happen.

MR. DONNELLY: Well, I think the idea is if you have a very difficult nonconforming lot that you want to make that something that is going to have a full level of formal review. I know what you're saying. You should encourage to make things conforming. But some of these, you have existing -- well, I'm trying to think of a context where that might happen. I guess the idea is this is such a lax level of formality, such a simplifying procedure, that if a lot is going to be made, a current non-building lot is going to become a building lot, that should have subdivision. We're not talking about two existing lots, both of which are buildable, that are adjusting their boundary line. Now you're taking a piece of land that can't be built upon and you're going to allow it to be built upon. In essence, you're creating a new building lot. So I think that was the thought process.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Yes, but I have the same as

2 Kenny.

BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: I could see where two houses, one of the lots nonconforming, the other's conforming and the two owners agree to change the lot line and they both become conforming.

MR. HINES: We've seen swimming pools and driveway and landscape swap.

MR. DONNELLY: If you want me to include a suggestion that they revise that and remove that part of it, if that's the feeling of the Board, that's certainly something we can include.

MR. CANFIELD: If I may, thinking out loud, a scenario where you could have an existing non-conforming lot, say with no structure on it, I think this may be the intent to eliminate the applicant from using a lot line change to circumvent the need for a variance. You follow me? If they're adjacent property, contiguous owners, in lieu of going to the Zoning for a variance, they may look. Because a lot line change, as this is written, can be done filed by deed through the clerk's office so there would be no need for a full review from the Planning Board or say, Zoning. So I think this is looking to perhaps prevent that from happening.

MR. DONNELLY: It's not to say that somebody can't do

it, they would just have to do it at the level of subdivision.

MR. COCKS: And it's a case where it's vacant land. But if both properties had a dwelling on them, it should really be the simple process.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: So if I own a piece of property and it's divided, we have two nonconforming lots, can I still move my lot line in order to make at least one conforming lot? According to this --

MR. CANFIELD: According to this, you can remove the lot line, extinguish it. You would consolidate the lots. This doesn't comply to that. You could do that and make it one conforming lot.

BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: One conforming log.

MR. DONNELLY: What if we added the word "shall not make an unimproved nonconforming lot into a conforming lot"? That way if it was one with two houses and you were just encouraging the adjustment, that could go at the lower level of formality, but something that really was making a building lot, which is what would happen if it's vacant land and nonconforming and you're now allowing it to become conforming. The idea is you shouldn't create building lots without subdivision approval. That's the state law. So if you made the suggestion that you add the word "unimproved"

nonconforming lot into a conforming lot," that might cover it. I don't know how that sits with you. If you want me to I could put that in a report.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Sure. Why not.

MR. COCKS: One other thing I saw in there is that the Planning Board had the option to set it up for a consultants' work session before it even comes in front of you. I thought it was weird because it said that the Planning Board has to allow it. So I don't know why they would say that the Planning Board has the ability to set it up for a consultants' work session before it comes to the Planning Board. It didn't make any sense. It made it seem like you would have the power to just look at it and say, without the rest of the Planning Board being there, you can go straight to a consultants' work session. I thought that was strange.

MR. DONNELLY: Well, under current procedures, it wouldn't happen. But if the law authorizes it, at some future date there may be another way to skin the cat. I think they're just trying to make it as informal and as streamlined as possible.

MR. CANFIELD: There's one additional thing, too,
Mike, you may want to mention. The numbering of it, it's
not sequential. It's number, as its proposal, is 163.13.

That would be the start of Article 3 (a). 163.13 already exists. That starts Article 4. So he may want to look at that. Mark may want to look at that. Perhaps 163.12 (a), perhaps. It's just not sequential of what's already existing.

MR. DONNELLY: Thank you. I'm sure he'd appreciate hearing that.

The next law is the residential lot area exception.

This is always hard for me to explain.

Remember, there was a Local Law that talked about building envelopes and building area standard which was the new section, 185.48 (1). This is the one that talked about the building area where the lot -- here it is, 185.48 (1). "The building envelope and buildable area standards imposed by Section 185.48 shall not apply to a residential lot in a subdivision." These are the exemptions. I guess I'm doing it correctly.

This is Local Law No. 4. They talk about grandfathering, that's what is this, from the new buildable lot area exceptions. What they've done in the law is apply grandfathering to any project that received Final Subdivision or Final Site Plan Approval before November 1, 2010, which was the night that the original Local Law was enacted. And then they extend that protection for a period

of three years only, which is consistent with the state law type of grandfathering. They had left this out of the law. You had discussed it. I think you had corresponded with them over the issue. Now they're including that grandfathering law as Local Law No. 4. I don't think, unless you have something you want me to comment, that it needs any further comment now.

Local Law No. 3 is the buildable area provision that deals with the small lot that is smaller than the minimum buildable area. And if it does not contain any of the typical protected areas, DEC regulated, waterbodies, steep slopes, floodplains, areas subject to title inundation, rights of way of existing public and private roads. In those cases when the lot is so small there is no minimum buildable area you can include the area immediately adjacent to the building envelope to see if it satisfies the minimum buildable area. I think they found that there would be occasions when the lot is so small that it would virtually become unbuildable by virtue of this. In those cases you can use the remaining area outside to compute that side.

And then the final Local Law is a new one. It's the senior citizen law. You may remember the way the senior citizen section works currently, it's an all or nothing.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you want to have senior citizen housing your entire project has to be senior citizen housing. I think there's a feeling, at least I gather this is where it comes from, but certainly in the developer community, construction of stand alone senior housing projects has, for various reasons, died. Those are still a need. financing and no real ability do it. The Town Board still wants to provide senior housing, and this Local Law provides for incentives to create housing opportunities. I think what they learned, in part, from Golden Vista, is we would still love to have senior housing, but we can't make the whole project senior. What we would like to do is make a part of it senior. So what this says is they get a density bonus for providing senior housing. And if they get it, at least one out of every three of the additional units must be senior housing. This would allow a project to have a mix of both senior and non age restricted housing. I don't think it would just go to Vista, I think it's the reality of what's going on out in the residential development marketplace. I know there's some clients that I have for a whole lot of reasons, not all of which are easy to digest, senior housing has really died for the time being despite the fact that there's still a need. think it's trying to incentify, more realistic.

1 - FOUR PROPOSED LOCAL LAWS -BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: 2 Mike. 3 MR. DONNELLY: Yes. 4 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Is there anything in there that talks to how they would manage that, enforce it? 5 MR. DONNELLY: After it's built? 6 7 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Yes. MR. DONNELLY: There may be in the senior citizen 8 article itself. I think there's an agreement that they 9 have to enter into, and I think it may even be recorded. I 10 11 forget how it works. But the existing senior citizen law covered that issue by requiring the unit to remain that way 12 13 subject to an approval by the Town Board. 14 BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: And somebody in the town is 15 going to, over time, make sure that those units are 16 maintained as senior --17 MR. DONNELLY: I don't know if we've ever had occasion 18 to see violations or enforce it. I haven't seen the mechanism of it. But I know there's a requirement that 19 20 they enter into an agreement with the Town Board that provides for enforcement. 21 22 MR. CANFIELD: I take it currently, the Town Board is 23 struggling with creating so to speak, a housing authority. I don't know that that's the correct name of it, but it is 24

a committee to govern this type thing. I think that's

25

where that would cover it.

MR. DONNELLY: That would be the more mature version. I know a lot, Nassau County, for instance, has a Nassau County Housing Authority. All the senior citizen projects, many of which are fee owned rather than rental, the housing authority fixes the sales price. And you cannot record a deed with the Orange County Clerk's Office for those units unless you have the endorsement and stamp of the Nassau County Housing Authority. They do it based upon an annual increase in prices. They allow you to include the cost of capital improvements paid since you took ownership, less a depreciation amount. They have a very formalized procedure for doing do it. It keeps the senior --

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: I was just interested if they had thought that far into how it's going to work later.

MR. DONNELLY: I don't know that there's critical mass for that even in Nassau County. It really only works on a county basis. It's very difficult to do that on a town basis. I don't know if this county could do that. But certainly, there are provisions in the senior citizen housing law that are intended to ensure that the units remain senior housing units. Whether it works or not, I don't know if we have any experience.

BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Thank you.

1	- FOUR PROPOSED LOCAL LAWS -				
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any additions or comments from the				
3	board?				
4	(No verbal response.)				
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Mike, then, if you would				
6	please make out a comment letter to the Town Board and Mark				
7	Taylor.				
8	MR. DONNELLY: Very good.				
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion to close				
10	the Planning Board meeting of July 7, 2011.				
11	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: So moved.				
12	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Second.				
13	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Frank Galli. I				
14	have a second by Ken Mennerich.				
15	I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank				
16	Galli.				
17	BOARD MEMBER GALLI: Aye.				
18	BOARD MEMBER BROWNE: Aye.				
19	BOARD MEMBER MENNERICH: Aye.				
20	BOARD MEMBER PROFACI: Aye.				
21	BOARD MEMBER FOGARTY: Aye.				
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself.				
23	(The motion was agreed and carried.)				
24	(Time noted: 8:11 p.m.)				
25	* * * *				

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings herein, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision. I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof. Josemany Jr. Muyon ROSEMARY A. MEYER Date Transcribed: August 1, 2011